MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT SOURCE SELECTION

SUBJECT	CDBG Parks & A & E Profession		DATE POSTED	March 3, 2010					
PURCHASING REPRESENTATIVE	Frank Lamberts	on, 749-3042	DATE CONTRACT SHALL BE AWARDED	N/A					
DEPARTMENT	Financial Manag Dept./Purchasin		CONSEQUENCES IF DEFERRED	N/A Ruha					
SOURCE RECOMMENDATION	IBI Group; King and WilsonMiller	•	AUTHORIZED BY DATE	Rob Cuthbert March 3, 2010					
		ACTIC	ON DESIRED						
Associates, Inc., Sara	sota, FL; and Wils	with following top onMiller, Inc., P	o ranked firms: IBI Group, Inc	c., Sarasota, FL; King Engineering evelopment Block Grants (CDBG) s.					
Federal/State law(s),			ULATING AUTHORITY Comp Plan/Land Development Cod	le, ordinances, resolutions, policy.)					
Manatee County Code of Laws, Chapter 2-26 Manatee County Purchasing Ordinance, Section 2-26-40 and the Standards and Procedures approved by the County Administrator.									
2. ma	BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION								
Estimated expenditure of grant funds during the three year contracts: \$200,000.									
Funding Sourc	e: HUD and CDBG	6 funds							
to include; des funded by a Ho	ign, permitting and busing and Urban [construction pha Development (HL	ase administration on an as ne	l Engineering Consulting Services eded basis Projects will be elopment Block Grants (CDBG).					
Manatee Coun	ity firms that were o	directly contacted	l are:						
Forney Engine George Young Hough Engine Jerry Zoller, Al ME3 Consulti O'Brien Archite Ugarte & Asso	ects, PA, Bradento ociates, Palmetto (s	subconsultant to sponse) no response) consultant to ZN ubconsultant to <u>IE</u> n (submitted a pr ubconsultant to 2	S) <u>3l</u> - recommended for negotiat oposal) ZNS)	ions)					
WilsonMiller Inc., Palmetto (recommended for negotiations) ZNS Engineering, Bradenton (submitted a proposal)									
Recommend negotiations with: IBI Group, Inc., Sarasota, FL; King Engineering SUMMARY Associates, Inc., Sarasota, FL; and WilsonMiller, Inc., Palmetto, FL									
ATTACHMENTS: (List in order as attached) INSTRUCTIONS TO BOARD RECORDS:									
1. Confirmation Em Ed Hunzeker	nail to	N/A							
COST	To be negotiated		SOURCE (ACCT# & NAME)	Department to advise					
COMMENTS	N/A		Q. OF RECURRING COSTS CAL IMPACT STATEMENT)	N/A					

- There were no other Manatee County firms found that would provide the products and services required by the RFP.
- Proposals were received from the following firms:

Manatee County firms:

O'Brien Architects, PA, Bradenton, FL WilsonMiller Inc., Palmetto, FL ZNS Engineering, Bradenton, FL

Local firms:

Bayside Engineering, Tampa, FL CPH, Sarasota, FL DMK Associates, Inc., Venice, FL Hardeman-Kempton & Associates, Seffner, FL IBI Group Inc., Sarasota, FL Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc., Sarasota, FL King Engineering Associates, Inc., Sarasota, FL WadeTrim, Inc., Tampa, FL Wannemacher Jensen Architects, Inc., St. Petersburg, FL

Other firms:

BCI Engineers & Scientists, Lakeland, FL Bellhomoherbert and Company, Inc., Orlando, FL Steiger Suarez Architectural Partnership, South Miami, FL

- 7/31/09 1/21/10 the appropriate HUD and CDBG proposal procedures were followed. The Request for Proposal (RFP) was broadcast via DemandStar to one thousand seven hundred forty seven (1,747) Architectural and Engineering firms; eighty (80) firms downloaded the RFP and fifteen (15) firms' submitted proposals.
- The RFP was advertized locally in the Bradenton Herald and TEMPO News, posted on the County's website, and provided to the Manatee County Chamber of Commerce for release to members.
- The Selection Committee consisted of five staff:

Cindy Turner, Parks and Recreation Department (PRD) Tim Hochuli, Public Works Department (PWD) William O'Shea, Neighborhood Services Department (NSD) Tom Yager, Property Management Department (PMD) Frank Lambertson, Financial Management Department (FMD)

- The Selection Committee convened 1/21/10 to score and rank all firms to have the top ranked firm provide Parks and Transportation Architectural & Engineering Consulting Services on an as required basis.
- The Selection Committee ranked the Parks and Transportation Architectural & Engineering firm's as follows:

Firm	FMD	PWD	PMD	PRD	NSD	Total Points	Final Raw Score
BCI Engineers & Scientists	68	60	94	78	79	379	10
Bayside Engineering	82	60	70	68	68	348	8
Bellhomoherbert & Co	64	62	72-	62	70	330	4
СРН	74	78	87	82	67	388	11
DMK Associates, Inc	80	60	83	56	67	346	7

Hardeman-Kempton & Assoc.	72	52	66	76	58	324	3
IBI Group, Inc	86	69	84	84	85	408	15 **
Jones Edmunds & Assoc	66	65	61	58	67	317	2
King Engineering Assoc	88	57	82	86	89	402	14 **
O'Brien Architects	76	57	79	50	82	344	6
Steiger Suarez Architectural	62	45	52	37	37	233	1
Wade Trim, Inc	70	62	92	84	87	395	12
Wannemacher Jensen Arch.	84	54	55	81	62	336	5
Wilson Miller, Inc	90	72	64	82	88	396	13 **
ZNS Engineering	78	50	89	76	77	370	9

**Selected Firms'

- HUD and CDBG required the use of a point system for evaluation. Individual Selection Committee Members determined raw points for each proposer based on the evaluation factors listed in paragraph C.01 of the RFP. Only whole points could be assessed, and no more than the maximum raw points afforded to each evaluation factor.
- CDBG mandates that to balance any extremes in the assignment of raw points by any Individual Selection Committee Member, the sum of the raw points will be used to determine a score as follows: highest raw point score = 15 points, 2nd highest raw point score = 14 points, 3rd highest raw point score = 13 points, 4th highest raw point score = 12 points, and shall continue in similar progression for the number of proposers to be ranked.