MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT SOURCE SELECTION

SUBJECT	RFP# 12-2318BG - Professional Services for Pavement Management System	DATE POSTED	December 17, 2012 MC
PURCHASING REPRESENTATIVE	Blair C. Getz x 3053	DATE CONTRACT SHALL BE AWARDED	December 24, 2012 or there after
DEPARTMENT	Financial Management Dept./Purchasing Div.	CONSEQUENCES IF DEFERRED	N/A
SOURCE RECOMMENDATION	Request for Proposals #12-2318BG Transmap Corporation.	AUTHORIZED BY DATE	Melissa M. Wendel, CPPO Purchasing Official December 17, 2012

ACTION DESIRED

Authorization to enter into negotiations with Transmap Corporation of Upper Arlington, Ohio to provide Professional Services for Pavement Management System.

ENABLING/REGULATING AUTHORITY

Federal/State law(s), administrative ruling(s), Manatee County Comp Plan/Land Development Code, ordinances, resolutions, policy.)

Manatee County Code of laws

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

- The Manatee County Department of Public Works requested proposals for the development of a Pavement Management System (PMS). This may include but is not limited to inventory, data collection, condition assessment, computer data entry and modeling, financial planning and projections for optimizing pavement asset management. The intent of the PMS will be to provide the County staff with a systematic, consistent and reproducible method for determining priorities and optimal time of improvements for economically managing its roadway assets. The PMS will provide benefits such as guidance for long-term financial planning and assess the implications of varying funding levels and facilitate planning for maintenance and repair to monitor the effectiveness of roadway improvement levels.
- Tramsmap Corporation is registered in the State of Florida and professionally competent to perform and render the services stated in the Request for Proposal.
- Proposals were received from the following firms:

Manatee County Firms that submitted proposals:

There are no known Manatee County firms who provide this service.

Local firms that submitted proposals include:

Cribb Philbeck Wraver Group of Brandon Jones Edmunds and Associates of Tampa

Atkins North America, Inc. of Sarasota Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corporation

Wilson Miller, Inc. (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.) of Sarasota

Other (non-local) firms that submitted proposal include:

IMS Infrastructure Management Services, LLC of Illinois Enterprise Information Solutions, Inc. of Maryland

Transmap Corporation of Ohio with an inactive office space in Tampa

See page 2 for further details

SUMMARY	Recommend negotiations v	with Transmap Corporation		
ATTACHMENTS: (List in order as attached)		INSTRUCTIONS TO BOARD RECORDS:		
N/A		N/A		
COST	Less than \$500,00.00 for the Pavement Management System	SOURCE (ACCT# & NAME)	Local Option 5 cent Gas Tax	
COMMENTS	N/A	AMT./FREQ. OF RECURRING COSTS (ATTACH FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT)	N/A	

- The Request for Proposal was posted on DemandStar, the County website, the Bradenton herald, Tempo News and so
 provided to the Manatee County Chamber of Commerce for release to its members. Eight (8) firms submitted
 proposals.
- The Evaluation Committee Members consisted of:
 Brian Martineau, Senior Engineering Specialist, Public Works Department
 Mark Murphy, Applications Manager, Information Services
 Blair Getz, Contracts Negotiator, Purchasing Division, Financial Management Department
- 9/11/2012 the Evaluation Committee convened to review and short list firms from the proposals received. The Committee after extensive discussion voted to short list Four (4) firms that submitted Proposals to provide Oral Presentations. Those firms in alphabetical order are:

Enterprise Information Solutions, Inc. - Maryland IMS Infrastructure management Services – Illinois Kisinger Campo – Tampa Trans Map Corp. – Tampa

- **10/5/12** Oral Presentations were conducted. Afterwards, the Committee discussed the presenting firms and concluded that more discussion needed to take place before voting on the firm that would be selected for negotiations.
- 10/26/2012 The Evaluation Committee convened for further review of the presenting firms and ultimately concluded that a vote was required. The resulting vote of two to one (2:1) was in favor of Trans Map Corporation of Ohio and requests approval to enter into negotiations with this firm.

Transmap Corporation does not require the utilization of subcontractors and will employ the following methods of collection, HD digital images geo-referenced, rut and ride profiler and an infrared LIDAR sensor. TRANSMAP has completed over 20 MICROPAVER projects in the last 4 years and has experience with the CITIWORKS and MICROPAVER system integration which is currently used by Manatee County. The base of the program for Manatee County is the Environmental System Research Institute (ESRI) centerline data, which is the software manufacturer that the County utilizes. TRANSMAP is an ESRI Public Works Partner and Developer and is the only vehicle based asset Management Company hired by ESRI to collect roadway and pavement Infrastructure data.

Kisinger Campo & Assoc. Presented very well with recent experience in twelve (12) Micropaver projects. They themselves have no experience with Citiworks or integrating the data into Citiworks and were teaming with a subcontractor for data collection.

Enterprise Information Solutions, Inc. presented an acceptable plan for data and asset collection and the strong point of the presentation was data collecting. The processing of the pavement data would rely on a subcontractor. This firm presented limited experience with Micropaver and Cityworks and relied on its own pavement management software application on most of its projects.

Infrastructure Management Services, LLC Services did not require the utilization of subcontractors and data collection methods were well defined and the equipment utilized was more than adequate. They presented Micropaver experience but no Citiworks experience and require their own pavement management software which they offered for free.

- The above justifications are a generalized summary of observations intended only to give the Administrator a sufficiently detailed overview of the main observations of a majority of Committee Members. Each Committee Member may have considered one or more facts or factors more or less important than other members when voting, and this summary of the Committee's ranking decision is not an attempt to exhaustively describe each of the relevant factors which motivate each of the Members to select the rankings described.
- The resulting Agreement will be managed by the Public Works Department, Transportation Division.