



1112 Manatee Avenue West
Bradenton, FL 34205
purchasing@mymanatee.org

Solicitation Addendum

Addendum No.: 2
Solicitation No.: 26-TA006402SAM
Project No.: 6096560, 6096260, 6096570, 6096580, 5400034
Solicitation Title: 27th Street From 31st Ave E to 26th Ave E Roadway
Improvements
Addendum Date: May 13, 2026
Procurement Contact: Leslie Peer

IFBC 26-TA006402SAM is amended as set forth herein. Responses to questions posed by prospective bidders are provided below. This Addendum is hereby incorporated into and made a part of IFBC 26-TA006402SAM.

The deadline to submit all inquiries concerning interpretation, clarification or additional information pertaining to this IFBC was April 30, 2026.

ADD:

SECTION C, BID ATTACHMENTS, BID ATTACHMENT 10, TEST HOLE DATA REPORT

The attached Bid Attachment 10, Test Hole Data Report, is hereby incorporated into the IFBC and available for download as a separate attachment.

CHANGE TO:

ADVERTISEMENT, DATE, TIME AND PLACE DUE:

The Due Date and Time for submission of Bids in response to this Invitation for Bid Construction (IFBC) is ~~May 27~~ **June 8, 2026, at 2:00 P.M. ET**. Bids must be delivered to the following location: either in-person or express mail (UPS / FedEx) to **Manatee County East-Campus, 9000 Town Center Parkway, Lakewood Ranch, Florida 34202** and time stamped by a Procurement representative prior to the Due Date and Time.

CHANGE TO:

ADVERTISEMENT, DESIGNATED PROCURMENT CONTACT.

~~Sherri Meier Procurement Manager~~ Leslie Peer, Procurement Agent III- Construction
(941)749-3042, (941) 749-3062, Fax (941) 749-3034
Email: ~~sherri.adamsmeier@mymanatee.org~~ leslie.peer@mymanatee.org

CHANGE TO:

SECTION A, INFORMATION FOR BIDDERS, A.01 BID DUE DATE:

The Due Date and Time for submission of Bids in response to this Invitation for Bid Construction (IFBC) is ~~May 27~~ **June 8, 2026, at 2:00 P.M. ET.** Bids must be delivered to the following location: either in-person or express mail (UPS / FedEx) to **Manatee County East-Campus, 9000 Town Center Parkway, Lakewood Ranch, Florida 34202** and time stamped by a Procurement representative prior to the Due Date and Time.

CHANGE TO:

SECTION A, INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS, A.52 SOLICITATION SCHEDULE:

Scheduled Item	Scheduled Date
Question and Clarification Deadline	April 30, 2026
Bid Response Due Date and Time	May 27, 2 <u>June 8</u> 2026, 2:00 P.M. ET
Project Award	July <u>August</u> 2026

QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES:

Q1. Would it be possible to get an excel version of the bid form for this project?

R1. Included in Addendum 1.

Q2. Please answer the following questions:

- i. **Can the County confirm the required concrete strength for the retaining walls (5500 psi vs standard mixes)?**
- ii. **Are geotechnical reports and soil borings available for retaining wall areas?**
- iii. **How will unsuitable soils, dewatering, or undercut be handled- paid separately or incidental?**
- iv. **Are there any restrictions on wall construction methods (cast-in-place vs. precast)?**

- v. **Are utility locations verified, and how will conflicts not shown in the plans be handled?**
- vi. **Are there any lane closure or MOT restrictions that could impact construction sequencing?**
- vii. **Can the County confirm how retaining wall quantities are paid(separate pay item vs. incidental)?**
- viii. **Can the County provide the Engineer's estimate or an anticipated budget range for this project?**

- R2.
- i. There are no Retaining Walls proposed on the project.
 - ii. Geotech report for the roadway was included. There are no retaining walls proposed on this project
 - iii. Unsuitable soil is included in 120-4 sub soil excavation. Dewatering and undercut are incidental per the Special Provisions and specs listed on the keysheet.
 - iv. No.
 - v. Test Hole Data Report was performed in October 2021 to verify possible conflicts for several poles and structures. Reconciliation of unanticipated conflicts will be in accordance with Special Provisions sheet 17 and Specs 5-12.6.2.
 - vi. General Notes sheet 14 note 35 requires approval by county representatives for any closures. Special Provisions 15 states road closures not allowed between 7 am and 7 pm.
 - vii. There are no retaining walls proposed on the project.
 - viii. The cost estimate from May 2025 was \$7,748,117.96.

Q3. Is there an engineers' estimate or budget number available?

R3. The cost estimate from May 2025 was \$7,748,117.96.

Q4. Looking for some clarity. On page 24 of the bid invite and contract documents it states "Bidder, or Bidder's subcontractor combined must possess a General Contractor's License..."

We do not possess a general contractor's license, but does that mean we are still able to bid on this project as the prime contractor if one of our subcontractors (Superior Asphalt/Curbco) we are using is a licensed general contractor? Or do we need to work under a general contractor as an underground utility contractor?

R4. All contractors bidding on this County project must possess a current General Contractors License. Subcontractors without a license may provide pricing to bidders who do have current General Contractor License.

Q5. On sheets 8,9,10 of the typical sections under widening, OBC 9 is listed with Type B 12.5 Asphalt. The stabilized subgrade calls out 12" LBR 60 and does not reference Type B 12.5. Can aggregate base be used for all of OBG 9??

R5. OBG 9 Type B 12.5 is specified due to the needs of base clearance due to the high-water table. It can be used in all instances of OBG 9.

Q6. Is the Contractor responsible for any testing on this project?

- R6. Yes, see Special provisions sheet 3 regarding laboratory testing.
- Q7. Is any night work required for the water and sewer?**
R7. See General Notes sheet 14 note 35 and Special Provisions sheet 15 for MOT restrictions.
- Q8. The bid form lists the asphalt being SP with poly which is a FDOT mic., and the typical sections lists a S-3 and S-1 which is not a current FDOT mix. Is the Contractor following the current FDOT specs??**
R8. The pavement mix shown on the typical sections used the Manatee County Standards, while the bid form items used a number similar to FDOT for estimating purposes. County Standards (401.2) allow a SP equivalent of the Type S-I or S-III lifts.
- Q9. There is not a MOT plan in this package, will one be provided by the County?**
R9. No, see Special Provisions sheet 15.
- Q10. What is the Contractor required to submit for MOT?**
R10. See Special Provisions sheet 15
- Q11. What are the instructions for bidding the line items on the bid form that have a 0 quantity?**
R11. This project is a breakout of a larger project that was designed along 27th Street. The zero quantity items indicate those items are not included in this project.
- Q12. Typical Sections call for Marshall Mix designs of S-1 and S-3. Pay items have an erroneous combination of being referred to as both Superpave but also Marshall Mix (S-1 & S-3). Superpave and Marshall mix are different specifications and mix design cannot be both. Which is accurate?**
R12. The pavement mix shown on the typical sections used the Manatee County Standards, while the bid form items used a number similar to FDOT for estimating purposes. County Standards (401.2) allow a SP equivalent of the Type S-I or S-III lifts.
- Q13. Typical sections make no reference of PG 76-22 but the pay items do, which is correct?**
R13. The pavement mix shown on the typical sections used the Manatee County Standards, while the bid form items used a number similar to FDOT for estimating purposes. County Standards (401.2) allow a SP equivalent of the Type S-I or S-III lifts.
- Q14. Roadway: Referencing plan sheets 8 and 10. The typical sections shown on these plan sheets show Underdrain, called out as, Manatee County Typ. Underdrain, Layout 204.1. There is no mention of underdrain on the Roadway plan sheets or on the Drainage Structure sheets, and the Bid Pricing Form does not have an item for Underdrain. Is roadside underdrain to be installed? If roadside underdrain is to be installed, the plans need to show where the underdrain is to be installed, the location of required cleanouts and a typical showing the underdrain with requirements of materials needed for the installation of the underdrain. Please advise.**
R14. The underdrain is to be installed as shown on the typical sections using the details from Manatee County standards (Section 204) which indicate offset and depth. Pay Items 440-1, 440-70 and 440-73 will be added to summary of quantities.

- Q15. Roadway: The Bid Pricing Form, Pay Item, 0520-1-7, calls out Type E Curb & Gutter. The plans call out Type AB curb. Which is correct? The quantity provided is also less than plan quantity. Which is correct? Please advise.**
- R15. Manatee County Standards utilize Type AB curb for medians, where FDOT typically uses Type E. Since there is not a FDOT pay item number for Type AB, 520-1-7 was used to quantify Type AB curb on sheet 3. The 1167 LF for 0520-1-7 indicated on sheet 3 is for 1167 LF of Type AB.
- Q16. Roadway: The Radway plans at various locations call for 4' Traffic Separator (Type I). Will the use of FDOT Index 520-020 "Option II" be acceptable? Please advise. The quantity provided for the Traffic Separator on the Bid Pricing Form is less than the plan quantity. Please advise.**
- R16. Option I of Option II are acceptable. Pay Item 520-5-11 Bid Form qty of 640 LF matches the quantity on Plan Sheet 3.
- Q17. Roadway: The quantity provided on the Bid Pricing Form for Embankment is 2,551 CY, the quantity for the Sub-soil Excavation is 7,684 CY. Being the Embankment quantity is considerably less than the Sub-soil excavation, can confirmation be made that the Embankment quantity provided covers what is needed to fill the excavated sub-soil areas? Please advise.**
- R17. 120-6 Embankment does not include the material used to replace unsuitable material excavated in 120-4 Sub Soil Excavation.
- Q18. Schedule of Values/Plans: Both the plans and schedule of values include Optional Base, Base Group 09 and 01. Are all of the identified base group materials from the FDOT specification acceptable for installation as base on this project? Please clarify and advise.?**
- R18. When not specified otherwise, Manatee County Standards indicate to utilize Limerock. Other base materials for optional base group per FDOT standard specifications may be used with prior approval by the department director or designee.
- Q19. General/Permits: What permits have already been acquired and what permits are does the contractor need to obtain? Will time be allowed to be added for issues arising from a lengthy permitting process? Please clarify advise.**
- R19. The following permits have been acquired:
SWFWMD Permit 43016007.005
(<http://www18.swfwmd.state.fl.us/erp/erp/search/ERPSearch.aspx>) Expiration 9/9/29
FDEP General Permit File No. 0397174-001-SFG
Compensation for delay due to permitting issues is discussed in FDOT Specs 5-12.6.2.
- Q20. MOT: Are steel plates acceptable as a temporary roadway during construction? Please clarify and advise.**
- R20. Steel plates are not permitted for use on roadways to cover trenches or other excavations for MOT

- Q21. Materials & Density Testing: Is Manatee County performing all quality control materials and density testing? Or is the contractor required to include materials and density testing at their own cost throughout the project? Please clarify and advise.**
- R21. No, see Special provisions sheet 3 regarding laboratory testing by the contractor.
- Q22. Specifications and Technical Specifications: Section 5 Measurement & Payment in the project specifications and indicate that pay items will be paid by their assigned units and as measured in the field..."Payment shall be made only for final in-place quantities." Could you please confirm the contract pay items are going to be paid under agreed and approved measured quantities in the field? Please advise.**
- R22. Payment will be made for final in-place quantities measured and agreed with the County's project inspectors.
- Q23. Storm Structure: Plan sheet 35 includes storm structure S-50A a Type "C" DBI listed as being located at STA 249+89.90, 46.69' RT. This sheet also exhibits 18" Pipe leading from STR S-50 to STR S-50A. However, neither STR S-50A nor the proposed 18" Pipe shown on sheet 35 can be located on any corresponding roadway plan sheet. Is this STR S-50A and it's corresponding 18" Pipe part of the scope of work? If so, could you please show this structure and pipe run on a roadway plan sheet as well? Please clarify and advise.**
- R23. S-50A and the 18" pipe (Label "A" on sheet 17) are no longer part of the scope of this project and will be removed in a future plan revision.
- Q24. Sidewalk: Plan sheet 17 & 20 indicate "Sidewalk By Others" from approximately STA 450+00, LT to STA 451+50, LT on north side of 26th Ave. E. Could you please confirm that the construction of this sidewalk referenced is not in the scope of work and is indeed being (or has been) performed by someone else? Please clarify and advise.**
- R24. Sidewalk by others was constructed under CIP 6098660 during the design of this project.
- Q25. Tree protection: Tree Protection is indicated on the plans. What pay item is to be utilized for the tree protection scope of work? What bid quantities are we to utilize for the tree protection scope of work? Could you please provide a detail depicting approved tree protection methods and materials? Please clarify and advise.**
- R25. The plans indicate to protect trees per FDOT Index 110-100, which contains details. Intent is to prevent any accidental damage to the critical root zone, trunk or branches that would harm the tree. This should be bid under Pay item 110-2-2, which will be added to the pay items list.
- Q26. Type "C" Inlet: 4 from Quantification and 2 on SOV.**
- R26. There should be 3 EA. S-48, S-59 are indicated as Type C inlets on sheet 7. S-60A should be added to sheet 7, including the 55LF of 18" pipe. S-50A and the 18" pipe (Label "A" on sheet 17) are no longer part of the scope of this project and will be removed in a future plans revision.

- Q27. 24" Pipe:** After quantifying all of the 24" Round Pipe indicated on the plans, we did not achieve a relatively same quantity as depicted within the Schedule Of Values (SOV). The SOV quantity is 178 LF. We achieved a magnitude of 100 LF less. Could you please confirm that there is indeed 178 LF of 24" Pipe in the project scope of work as compared to the plans? Please advise.
- R27. Plans Sheet 7 for S-39, there is no 24" pipe. The 76 LF of 18" pipe listed for S-50 should be 76 LF of 24" pipe, and quantity shown on Sheet 4 should also be 76 LF for 430175124.
- Q28. Summary of Pay Items:** Plan sheet 3 includes a summary of pay items that typically matches the Schedule Of Values (SOV) and scope items within the plan set. However, there are a number of items listed on this data sheet that are not found in the SOV nor in the plan set. These items also have no quantities assigned on this data sheet. These items include but may not be limited to the following: Milling Existing Asphalt 1.5" Avg Depth; Concrete Class I Endwalls; Concrete Curb Type "AB"; Concrete Curb Miami; Painted Pavement Markings Standard Yellow Skip 6"; etc. Are these items part of the scope of work? If so, could you please provide bid quantities and plans to where they are all located? Please clarify and advise.
- R28. This project is a breakout of a larger project that was designed along 27th Street. The zero quantity items indicate those items are not included in this project.
- Q29. Summary of Pay Items:** Plan sheet 4 includes a summary of pay items that typically matches the Schedule Of Values (SOV) and scope items within the plan set. However, there are a number of items listed on this data sheet that are not found in the SOV nor in the plan set. These items also have no quantities assigned on this data sheet. These items include but may not be limited to the following: Floating Turbidity Barrier; Inlets, Curb Type "P-4"; Inlets, Curb Type "J-3"; Inlets, DT Bot Type C J Bot.; Inlets, DT BOT Type C Modified-Back Of SW; Inlets, DT BOT Type C Modified-Back Of SW, J Bot.; Modify Existing Drainage Structure; 42" Elliptical Pipe; 48" Elliptical Pipe; 60" Elliptical Pipe; 24" Single Round 30 degree Endwell; 48" Single Round 0 degree Endwell; 60" Round 0 degree Endwell. etc. Are these items part of the scope of work? If so, could you please provide bid quantities and plans to where they are all located? Please clarify and advise.
- R29. This project is a breakout of a larger project that was designed along 27th Street. The zero quantity items indicate those items are not included in this project.
- Q30. Storm Pipe:** All of the proposed drainage pipe labeled on the roadway and drainage plans have been given the designation "Pipe." The Schedule Of Values (SOV) indicates proposed matching pipe sizes as "Optional Materials" for both round and elliptical pipe types. No where in the bid plan set nor the bid specifications qualifies the pipe needing to be a specific pipe type. Without leaving up to the interpretation of the bidding contractor, please answer plainly, are we allowed to use "optional materials" for round pipe specifically as indicated by the SOV? Or, will are there locations that will require specific round pipe type must be installed? If the answer is that a specific round pipe type will be required, can you please specify on the plans these required locations? Please clarify and advise.
- R30. Manatee County Stormwater Standards 2.4.7 state the following are acceptable materials for the construction of storm sewer systems which must meet County standards:

A. Storm sewer running across a public roadway - RCP or structural plate only

B. Storm sewer running parallel to a public roadway and between lots - RCP, Polyethylene, corrugated metal.

Corrugated metal pipe is not permitted under any roadway. Special Provisions restrict the use of metal storm pipe.

Q31. Plan sheets 17 and 20 call out removal of EX. Fence and Gates and to install 48" White Crossbuck PVC Fence. The rolling fence gate is also indicated as being "Replaced In Kind." This fence and gate appear to be private appurtenances. Does this public scope of work include a private fence and gate remediation as indicated on the plans? If so, what pay item are we to use for the fence and this rolling gate? What are the bid quantities? What details, standards, and specifications are we to utilize for building this fence and the rolling fence gate? Please provide plans, details, specifications, bid quantities, and clarification for this scope of work.

R31. At the time of design, the county worked with the owners of Mixon Farm for improvements to private property. These are detailed in the Special Provisions on page 28.

Q32. Plan sheet 18 includes a leader and note stating... "8'x13' MCAT Shelter Slab" at STA 250+58, 61' LT. Is this an existing slab? If not, is this supposed to be part of the scope of work? If so, could you please provide any and all plan, details, and specifications for this shelter slab? Please clarify and advise.

R32. This proposed shelter slab shall follow the details on sheets 75 to 97 "Manatee County Public Works Department ADA Boarding Pads w/ shelter slabs"

Q33. At the end of the roadway plan set, there is a whole attached plan set for ADA Boarding Pads w/Shelter Slabs from plans sheets "Cover to A10-002" and a final sheet with typical curb details. This set of plans includes a whole host of typical sections for areas/conditions A1 through A10. However, these "conditions (locations)" are not indicated specifically anywhere else on any other plan set except for our previous question regarding the 8'x13' MCAT Shelter Slab. Furthermore, there do not appear to be pay items that would be associated with the specific reinforced concrete hardscapes, handrail, Type "E" Curb, and turn down slab components. Do these plans for the bus shelter apply and are they part of the scope of work? If so, which "condition(s)" are we to utilize and at what specific locations for each condition are we to apply these plans? What pay items are we to utilize for this slab scope of work? What bid quantities are we to use for this slab scope of work? Please clarify and advise in detail.

R33. These details apply and are for the proposed shelter slab. Sheet ID A2-001 is what is needed for this project, which is a 6" reinforced conc slab. The other items (sidewalk and curb) are in the roadway pay items, and handrail etc. are not anticipated.

Q34. Type "E" Curb: The Schedule Of Values includes a pay item for Type "E" Curb with a quantity of 1,167 LF. However, there does not appear to be any Type "E" Curb indicated on any plan sheet. Could you please provide plans indicating the location of the Type "E" Curb specified in the SOV? Please clarify and advise.

R34. Manatee County Standards utilize Type AB curb for medians, where FDOT typically uses Type E. Since there is not a FDOT pay item number for Type AB, 520-1-7 was used

to quantify Type AB curb on sheet 3. The 1167 LF for 0520--7 indicated on sheet 3 is for 1167 LF of Type AB.

Q35. Reinforcement for 6" Sidewalk/Driveway: Could you please confirm that the 6" Sidewalk & Driveway scope of work will include the Manatee County Standards & Specifications requirements to include reinforcement? Please advise.

R35. Where a sidewalk bisects a driveway, the minimum shall be 6" of class I concrete reinforced with 6"x6" #10 wire mesh.

Q36. Pavement Demolition and/or Replacement: Plans sheets 17 and 20 depict asphalt pavement demolition between approximately Stations 450+00, LT to 453+00, LT (north side). However, it appears that only concrete sidewalk is proposed to be installed in this area. Could you please confirm that asphalt pavement is not to be replaced in this area and that the scope is limited to sidewalk installation only? Please clarify and advise.

R36. Correct, the asphalt driveways are to be removed, and sidewalk constructed with sod and grading.

NOTE:

Deleted items will be ~~struck through~~, added or modified items will be underlined. All other terms and conditions remain as stated in the IFBC.

INSTRUCTIONS:

Receipt of this Addendum must be acknowledged as instructed in the solicitation document. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum may result in the response being deemed non-responsive.

END OF ADDENDUM

AUTHORIZED FOR RELEASE