



1112 Manatee Avenue West
Bradenton, FL 34205
purchasing@mymanatee.org

Solicitation Addendum

Addendum No.:	3
Solicitation No.:	25-TA006192LP
Project No.:	6102460
Solicitation Title:	9 th Avenue NW Roadway Improvements
Addendum Date:	November 13, 2025
Procurement Contact:	Leslie Peer

IFBC 25-TA006192LP is amended as set forth herein. Responses to questions posed by prospective bidders are provided below. This Addendum is hereby incorporated in and made a part of IFBC 25-TA006192LP.

The deadline to submit all inquiries concerning interpretation, clarification or additional information pertaining to this IFBC was November 12, 2025.

ADD:

SECTION C, BID ATTACHMENTS, BID ATTACHMENT 10, 9th AVE NW PHASING

Add Bid Attachment 10, 9TH Ave NW Phasing available for download as a separate attachment, hereby incorporated into this IFBC.

CHANGE TO:

ADVERTISEMENT, DATE, TIME AND PLACE DUE:

The Due Date and Time for submission of Bids in response to this Invitation for Bid Construction (IFBC) is ~~December 4, 2025, at 2:00 PM. ET~~ **December 12, 2025, at 10:00 AM. ET.** Bids must be delivered to the following location: Manatee County Administration Building, 1112 Manatee Ave. W., 7th Floor, Suite 705, Bradenton, FL 34205 prior to the Due Date and Time.

CHANGE TO:

ADVERTISEMENT, DEADLINE FOR QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATION REQUESTS:

The deadline to submit all questions, inquiries, or requests concerning interpretation, clarification or additional information pertaining to this Invitation for Bid Construction to the Manatee County Procurement Division is ~~November 12, 2025~~, November 19, 2025. Questions and inquiries should be submitted via email to the Designated Procurement Contact shown below.

CHANGE TO:

SECTION A, INFORMATION FOR BIDDERS, A.01 BID DUE DATE:

The Due Date and Time for submission of Bids in response to this Invitation for Bid Construction (IFBC) is ~~December 4, 2025, at 2:00 PM. ET.~~ December 12, 2025, at 10:00 AM. ET. Bids must be delivered to the following location: Manatee County Administration Building, 1112 Manatee Avenue West, 7th Floor, Suite 705, Bradenton, FL 34205 and time stamped by a Procurement representative prior to the Due Date and Time.

CHANGE TO:

SECTION A, INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS, A.52 SOLICITATION SCHEDULE:

Scheduled Item	Scheduled Date
Non- Mandatory Information Conference	October 27, 2025, 2:00 PM. ET.
Question and Clarification Deadline	November 12, 2025 <u>November 19, 2025</u>
Bid Response Due Date and Time	December 4, 2025, 2:00 PM. ET. <u>December 12, 2025, 10:00 AM. ET</u>
Project Award	December 2025 <u>January 2026</u>

QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES:

Q1. According to State Licensing regulations, a Certified Building License and Certified Underground Utility Contractor License are sufficient in lieu of a GC License for a project of this Scope, along with an FDOT pre-qualification, is this acceptable to meet the minimum qualifications?

R1. It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure the proposed scope of work falls within the allowable work types under your contracting license. If the scope of work falls within the approved work types of your license and the contractor is FDOT pre-qualified in the necessary work types, the County takes no objection.

Q2. Can you provide the bid form in excel format?

R2. Yes, Appendix M, Bid Pricing Form will be provided for download as a separate fillable attachment in Excel format in a forthcoming addendum to be posted.

Q3. Along with Addendum #1 new plan sheets are a separate download showing Hawthorne Park, 87th Street Ct. West and 71st Street NW with quantified pay items listed. The bid form attached to addendum #1 does not show this. Will the bid form be broken out to reflect this?

R3. Please see 25-TA006192LP Addendum 1.pdf pages 3-7 for the most current bid form consisting of the original bid plans and revised plans submitted with Addendum 1. Updated Bid Form will be provided in a forthcoming addendum to be posted.

Q4 Note #87 on sheet 31 states “All storm drains and structures to remain shall be cleaned of debris, dirt, vegetation and other material. Storm sewer inlets shall be modified (raised / lowered) to match proposed finished grade. Any existing pipes draining to the right of way called out to be modified by the plans shall be included in the cost of clearing and grubbing”. Will cleaning of the existing storm drain pipes be required? If required can a bid item with a quantity be provided for the cleaning of the existing storm drain so contractors are bidding the same quantity?

R4. Note #87 is a standard Manatee County general note. Please use bid Item 110-1-1 Clearing and Grubbing accordingly to account for this requirement.

Q5. Quantity for C&G is 3.38 AC. Clearing & Grubbing unit of measure as per FDONT 110-11 is lump sum. Please clarify?

R5. Per the FDOT Design Quantities and Estimates – Clearing and Grubbing per FDOT standards can be quantified either in units of lump sum or acres. The County would prefer to have a unit cost instead of a lump sum. The unit of payment will remain in acreage.

Q6. Litter removal and mowing per FDOT 107 is to take place every 30 days, explain how the quantities provided on the bid form for these items are different?

R6. The quantity for Bid Item 107-2 Mowing was calculated with a 14 month construction duration assumption. The quantity for this pay item is 2.34 AC. The quantity for Bid Item 107-1 Litter Removal was calculated with a 14 month construction duration assumption. The quantity for this pay item is 5.27 AC. Total plan areas for these two pay items are different, therefore the quantities are different.

Q7. For the Hawthorn Park ditch regrading, will the contractor be allowed to clear the full 50' drainage easement to be able to access?

R7. Yes, the Contractor is able to use full access of the 50' drainage easement to complete the construction of the Hawthorn Park Ditch. The Contractor cannot stage or clear south of the ditch limits as the impacts to the mangroves are limited by the USACE permit. Nor will the contractor be allowed to utilize the adjacent private property for access. Contractor should plan his means and methods and clearing and earthwork phasing to accomplish this work.

Q8. The 87th St. NW intersection has numerous storm pipe, storm structures and utility relocations that takes place in the existing roadway. How is the roadway restoration to be paid for?

R8. According to the FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction FY 2026-27 Section 430, all excavation and backfill associated with storm pipe and structures are included in the cost associated with the drainage pipe Pay Item. Contractor shall restore the pipe trench with base material and asphalt back to existing grade in a traversable condition to allow for the proposed maintenance of traffic schemes to be implemented. Base material and asphalt will be paid for under their respective pay items based on truck tickets for actual quantities placed. Asphalt for the final condition will be constructed above the existing pavement elevations and is captured under the overbuild pay item, final surface course will be paid for under the friction course pay item (337 7 83). Manatee County utility details (UG-12) show details for trench restoration of all utility pipe installations. Payment for the base and asphalt will be paid for under their respective pay items.

Q9. 83rd St NW. intersection has numerous storm pipe, storm structures and utility relocations that takes place in the existing roadway. How is the roadway restoration to be paid for?

R9. Please see response to question 8.

Q10. Addendum No. 1 revised Utility Adjustment, sheet 5, calls for existing water services to be grouted. Normally anything under 4" is usually cut and capped, as it is impossible to grout anything smaller. Please advise?

R10. Agree, cut and cap of service is acceptable.

Q11. At the 92nd St. NW intersection between sta. 40+00 to 43+80 there is proposed storm drain and utility work being installed in the existing roadway, but the plans do not show new roadway construction in the affected areas. The 43"x68" storm pipe crossing between S-503 and S-504 will have at least a 10-foot-wide trench. The install of S-506, S-406A with install of 24" RCP to S-407, 18" RCP from S-407 to S-408 all encroach into the roadway. The installations of the 12"x2" Reclaim tap and service, 8"x2" WM tap and service, 12" Reclaim offset and the 6" FM relocation from 41+00 to 43+80 are all into the existing roadway. How will the installation of stabilization base, and asphalt be paid for in these areas?

R11. New roadway shapes are not shown where pipe work necessitates a pipe trench. Due to the number of pipe crossings, this would make the plans less clear. In accordance with FDOT specification, recompaction of the existing subgrade for pipe installation is paid for under the linear foot of pipe in accordance with the standards. Replacement of base and asphalt will be paid for under the respective pay items for base and asphalt. Please see the response to submitted Question 8 for further information regarding payment for base and asphalt associated with storm pipe, storm structures, and utility pipelines.

Q12. Force Main: Plan Pg 117 +/- STA 40+13 Right – Plans indicate 6x2 FM Tap & Future Service from existing 6” HDPE FM. Can you please confirm that symbol (2), which reads “Const. New Service Line in accordance with Detail US-16” is it a labeling error? This detail is for 6” sewer single sewer service connection?

R12. This US-16 detail is a labeling error. Additional detail will be provided in updated plans in a forthcoming addendum to be posted. Please bid the connection as a 6” x 2” Tee with a 2” socketed plug valve and 10 LF of Schedule 80 PVC with a cap and blow off. The installation should be coordinated with the County during the shutdown for the adjacent forcemain relocation work. This is a proposed 2” connection requested by a property owner.

Q13. Same reference as question 11: Can you confirm that the 2” value is a threaded resilient seated valve as listed per the County APL REV, 5/15/25 Pg 15 of 28? This is an exception to the wastewater note for force mains “...Gate valves only allowed for sizes 24” and larger”. Request exception per APL pg 17 of 28 that requires the valve to be a 2” plug valve. According to the vendors, a plug valve cannot be used in direct tap cases. If the 2” plug valve is required, please provide a detail for installing this type of valve.?

R13. Valve callout has been updated to show a socketed plug valve. Updated plan sheets will be provided in a forthcoming addendum to be posted.

Q14. Same reference as question 12 above – 2” FM Future Service. 2” FM pipe allowed: Per APL pg 2 of 28: Is ENDOT “Endopure (for example), Minimum pressure Class 200, Pe4710, Copper Tube Size (CTS), SDR 9, AWWA C901” allowed in solid green for this service? Are the associated CTS brass connections allowed??

R14. Socketed schedule 80 PVC will be used per detail on the updated plan sheets in a forthcoming addendum to be posted.

Q15. Same reference as Q11 thru Q13 above: Technical specifications Measurement & Payment (M&P) Section 01150 Pg 39/551, bid item #30 Sanitary Services – “...force main services including service line, meter box, and appurtenances of the specified type...” Can you confirm which valve, curb stop, etc. is required at the R>O>W> line meter box? Can a brass curb stop be used? If it must be a valve, can you confirm either a 2” FM gate valve or a 2” plug valve? Will a 17x30x12 meter box with lockable solid green lid be acceptable for a 2” meter assembly? Is the meter to be supplied by the County? Can you provide a detail for this future 2” FM service to the R.O.W. line?

R15. Service connection will include 6x2 tee, a socketed plug valve, 10 LF of schedule 80 PVC with a cap and blow off valve shall be used as shown on the updated plan sheets in a forthcoming addendum to be posted.

Q16. Water: Plan Pg 117 +/- STA 43+36 – Appears single long service is coming from an existing 12” RCW main. Label reads “Const. Water meter in accordance with detail UW-20”. Is this service RCW or is it supposed to be attached to the existing 8” WM?

R16. This is a reclaim service. Callout has been updated to add “reclaim” on the updated plan sheets in a forthcoming addendum to be posted.

Q17. Plan Pg 117 +/- STA 39+17 – Same question as Q15 above: Is this single long service RCW or Water? Label number (4) references water meter but appears to be attached to 12” existing RCW main.?

R17. This is a reclaim service. Callout has been updated to add “reclaim” on the updated plan sheets in a forthcoming addendum to be posted.

Q18. Plan Pg 117 +/- STA 42+80 Label note: “Const. Water Meter in Accordance with Detail UW-20”. Will this be a 5/8X3/4, 3/4, or 1” meter supplied by the County? Can you confirm this is a new water service from the existing WM as per Detail U-23?

R18. Use 1” service lines and re-use existing meter. Cut and cap the old service line and construct new water service line from the existing WM per U-23.

Q19. Plan Pg 117 +/- STA 40+03 Right: Plan label “Prop. 8X2 tapping Sleeve and 2” valve (Future use)”. Bid Form #11 – “8X2 Tapping Sleeve with 2” Valve (Water) AS 1”. M&P Pg 36 Bid Item # 11, 31, 47” Reads “... tapping sleeve and tapping valve, polyethylene wrap, valve box and cover” Can you confirm the rest of this water service is included in Bid Form Item #8? Symbol number (1) refers to Detail UW-23. Do you require a 2” curb stop with 17X30X12 large meter box at the R.O.W. line? If not, are we allowed to provide a 2”

R19. The 2” tapping sleeve callout is incorrect. Please follow UW-23 as noted to include all components listed in UW-23. Use 1” residential service line.

Q20. Plan Pg 118 +/- STA 45+26 Left – FH Relocation: Can you provide the following information? M&P Pg 37 Bid Item 12 indicates “... including hydrant lead, tapping sleeve and tapping valve or tee and gate valve as necessary,” Plan appears to show the FH lead pipe coming from the existing 8” PVC WM. At this location can you confirm this will require an 8X6 tap sleeve & tap valve? Will you require 6” DI Pipe FH lead or is PVC allowed?

R20. Confirmed, use the 8x6 tapping sleeve and valve. Ductile iron is required as a portion of it is within the roadway.

Q21. Plan Pg 125 +/- STA 74+92 Right – FH Relocation: Same question as Q19 above.

R21. Confirmed, use the 8x6 tapping sleeve and valve. Ductile iron is required as a portion of it is within the roadway.

Q22. Plan Pg 119 +/- STA 51+22 Left – 8X1 Single Water Service 2 each: Are we responsible for the 1” PEB tubing (bold black lines) and the 8X1 tap saddles @ the existing 8” PVC WM? Plan notes (1) & (2) indicate to const. BFP’s & water meters only. If yes, which bid item are we to include the water service materials? If the answer is Bid Item 8 Water Service Assembly, the quantity count is short.

R22. Yes, replace service lines at the existing WM. Contractor to re-use existing meters and backflow preventers (where existing). Refer to detail UW-23 of Manatee County standard details. Cut and cap the existing services. Quantity has been updated to reflect the additional services on the updated plan sheets in a forthcoming addendum to be posted.

Q23. Plan Pg 120 +/- STA 15+00 Left – Plan note “Water Service Assembly Manatee County To Be Replaced”: Can you give more information? What type & size is the water service pipe? Is it to be replaced from the existing 6” PVC WM to the east for +/- 140’ FT? Are we to include BFP & meter assembly (meter by County)?

R23. Please see Sheet 142 in the submitted Addendum 1 plans for more information. The service lines are 1” and reuse the existing meters and any existing BFPs. The intent is only to relocate the new water main down 87th, grout fill and abandon the existing 6” line running behind the homes on 87th. The new service lines will be fed from the new watermain down 87th.

Q24. TH10, TH9, TH8, & TH 7 are not listed in the “SUE” charts?

R24. Please see Sheets 160 – 162, submitted Addendum 1 plans for more information.

Q25. Reclaim: Plan Pg 119 +/- STA 53+00 Left – Note “12” PVC RCW/Manatee County To Be Adjusted Vertically” – Arrow points to +/- STA 53+82 Left. We cannot locate a vertical conflict profile @ this STA number. Are vertical 45-degree fittings needed @ this location? If yes, how many are required at this location? Are connects to existing main also required?

R25. Yes, this is a required vertical adjustment to the existing 12” reclaimed water main using 4 vertical bends. Please bid the removal of the existing reclaim water main.

Q26. Plan Pg 117 Label number (5) “...Inspect Tee & Replace If Needed.” – Is this to be included in a Bid Form Item and which one? Do you require a standard 12” MJ Tee or a 12” Anchor Tee? How much 12” RCW DI Pipe do you require for this possible Tee replacement?

R26. Proposed tee is not included in the bid for construction. Contractor to contact the County following excavation of the proposed utility to inspect the condition of the existing tee. A change order will be processed if the County decides to replace the existing tee.

Q27. Page 105-104 Plans: The plans provided are not to a scale by a nominal size to which the plans may be scaled by conventional means. The scale appear to be to a factor corresponding to the manner in which the plans were "printed to pdf" and does not land on a nominal scale. Will the county be releasing drawings that correspond to a conventional nominal scale size? For all involved in confirming quantities with these drawings and all assuming what that actual scale factor is, it seems questionable that quantities that all may be agreeable and quantifiable can be achieved. Please advise on how the county shall proceed.

R27. Sheet 104 is shown as not to scale as it is a detour plan. No plan quantities are to be taken from this sheet as it conveys intent of detour routing only. Sheet 105 and all other sheets of the plans were printed to 11” x 17” PDF format from the CAD software. Engineer has verified via test sheets printed from the submitted plans via a scale bar that they print to scale and are correct. It appears this error may be resulting from bidders printing, not the PDF. PDF scaling issues as a result of bidders printing are at the risk of the Bidder..

Q28. General/Plans: Per FDOT, clearing and grubbing encompasses many ancillary items covered in a project like this. Items such as asphalt removal and existing storm system demolition are major components of the clearing and grubbing pay item. General note #18 on sheet 28 states that..."all existing drainage structures within the project limits shall be removed unless otherwise called for on the plans." However, the plans do not clearly nor consistently indicate limits of existing asphalt removal or what existing storm is to in fact be removed. Even with notes covering a broad aspect of this work, clearing and grubbing including existing asphalt and existing storm systems is still up to the interpretation of the bidder. If an estimate for the project was created, then somebody had to determine the limits of clearing and grubbing including all of it's ancillary items (ex. asphalt, ex. storm, etc.) to give such a price. As such, and to make the bid process fair, could you please provide a data table for demolition or revised drawings indicating the planned limits clearing and grubbing but at least including the limits existing asphalt and existing storm system removal? Please clarify and advise.

R28. Please refer to Sheets 9 – 15 (Typical Sections) and Section 110 of the FDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction for specific information on how Pay Item 110-1-1 Clearing and Grubbing is measured. Please bid the quantity of clearing and grubbing as shown in the bid form. The structures to be removed with this project are called out in the plans, please refer to the notes shown on the plans. If, after reviewing the plans, there is still confusion about which specific structures need to be removed please provide a station and offset reference and the engineer will respond.

Q29. Schedule of Values/ Plans: Both the plans and schedule of values include Optional Base, Base Group 06. Are all of the identified base group materials from the FDOT specification acceptable for installation as base on this project? Please clarify and advise.

R29. All base options for Optional Base Group 6 are acceptable for installation as base on this project. Base materials must come from an approved FDOT source.

Q30. 32-42, Technical Specifications: Section 01150 Measurement and Payment includes the following language in a number of pay item definitions: "No additional compensation will be made for excavation below the bottom of the pipe, for rock removal or bedding and backfill materials, or for repair of any trench settlement." The part about "rock removal" is an unknow and unquantifiable item. This also leads into unknown and unquantifiable unsuitable soils found in the field as well. How can the county expect a contractor to not be able to be compensated for unknown and unquantifiable conditions at the time of bidding? Is the county not going to entertain change orders for unknow conditions? Please clarify and advise.

R30. The specification noted is standard County specification, it is the Contractors responsibility to price risk for unknown elements in the course of construction. If there are significant unforeseen conditions on the project, the County will engage in reasonable discussion with the contractor for a change order to cover the scope of the unforeseeable work.

Q31. On plan sheet 22 the details for the closed flume includes a 4" thick concrete section concrete slab cut through section "D-D." This 4" reinforced concrete slab appears to function as ditch pavement. However, the current schedule of values only has 4" non-reinforced ditch pavement. No reinforced concrete ditch pavement is provided. As there is currently only a pay item for 4" non-reinforced concrete ditch pavement, does this 4" reinforced ditch pavement for the closed flume structure get included with the flume pay item? Or is there a 4" reinforced concrete ditch pavement pay item forthcoming? Or is this ditch pavement not supposed to be reinforced and is to be included under the existing 4" non-reinforced concrete ditch pavement pay item? Please clarify and advise.

R31. Please refer to FDOT Standard Plans Index 425-061 Sheet 4. The welded wire reinforcement is included in the Pay Item for Type II Closed Flume Inlet.

Q32. Specifications and Technical Specifications: Both Measurement & Payment sections for the project specifications and technical specifications indicate that pay items will be paid by their assigned units and as measured in the field..."actual quantities of each item bid on a unit price basis will be determined upon completion of the construction in the manner set up for each item in this section of specifications." Could you please confirm the contract pay items are going to be paid under agreed and approved measured quantities in the field? Please advise.

R32. Yes, the County will provide a County Inspector for the project who will approve measured quantities in the field. The Contractor will be paid based on this. Any significant deviations from the plans need to be approved by the County and the Engineer.

Q33. General/Permits: What permits have already been acquired and what permits are does the contractor need to obtain? Will time be allowed to be added for issues arising from a lengthy permitting process? Please clarify advise.

R33. The County has acquired a SWFWMD ERP Permit, ACOE General Permit, and required FDEP Permits for the utility relocations. The Contractor will be required to obtain NPDES Permits and a SWFWMD Dewatering Permit.

Q34. General/Permits: Accompanying RFI #6, have permits for the proposed mangrove removal been obtained by the county? If so, to what extent or specification does the permit allow mangroves to be removed and the area graded? Is mechanical means allowed for removal and grading or just removal by hand? Please clarify and advise

R34. The mangrove removal permits are covered under the ACOE General Permit. Removal activities must meet conditions of the permit. No restriction in means and methods for mangrove removal are listed in the permit.

Q35. Culvert/Shoring: The proposed triple culvert headwall on the south side of 9th Ave. NW appears to be very close, if not within the construction neat lines, to an existing power transmission pole. Will shoring be required for the installation of the proposed triple 42" culvert headwalls? If so and per FDOT, isn't shoring of this nature required to be engineered by the EOR? Please clarify and advise.

R35. The power pole in question is a hardened FPL distribution pole. This pole may need to be supported during construction depending on contractor means and methods. The pole is to remain. Embankment support for the construction of the headwall will be up to the Contractor's means and methods. Trench boxes, shoring, or utility owner supporting would all be acceptable to the engineer.

Q36. Guardrail: During the roadway closure for the culvert installation, will the proposed guardrail and all of its' ancillary components, end treatments, etc., need to be installed and accepted by the county before the roadway is allowed to be opened to traffic? If not, could you please clarify what is necessary to open the roadway to an acceptable measure of safety? Please clarify and advise.

R356. Per the requirements of FDOT FDM Chapters 210 and 215, the proposed guardrail and all ancillary components should be installed prior to opening 9th Avenue NW to traffic in the area of the triple culvert headwall. At the contractor's option, other methods of temporary protection may be submitted for approval to protect the drop off condition, if guardrail installation cannot be completed during the closure.

Q37. Grouting Existing Storm: Plan sheet 37 at approximately STA 54+22 RT indicates the grouting and plugging of an existing storm line. Are there existing access points to this line along the existing route? Is this line on private property? If so, has the county obtained access and permission for the contractor to access this line? Please clarify and advise.

R37. The drainage pipe called out on Sheet 37 of the submitted Construction Documents is within a 25' drainage easement for its entirety, except for one parcel. This parcel is the first parcel South of the County right-of-way; therefore, multiple access points are available throughout the pipe run.

Q38. Cross Sections vs. Plans Sheets: Plan sheet 34 show the middle of the roadway reconstruction/widening ending at 39+10 to which milling and replacement is indicated. However, on plan sheet 73 in the cross sections, the subsoil excavation as well as the roadway reconstruction continues from STA 39+10 east at least until STA 40+00 well within the milling and replacement limits. Do the plans need to be revised to include this subsoil excavation and reconstruction up to or around STA 40+00? Please clarify and advise.

R38. Please bid subsoil excavation only for areas under the 2' roadway widening from STA. 39+10 – 40+00 on each side of the road(darker shading on plan sheets).

Q39. Earthwork, Plans vs. Schedule of Values: The plans do not contain enough cross sections, or no cross sections at all, over each identified survey route. The excavation and embankment totals on sheet 90 in the cross sections are incomplete with a number of sections omitted. The only quantity that appears to check is the subsoil excavation quantity. Without cross sections from beginning to end of each survey route or plans that provide existing vs. proposed grades, the earthwork quantities given in the schedule of values cannot be recreated or calculated for accuracy. With what we can recreate, we are getting radically different quantities for excavation and embankment on this project. How was the earthwork calculated for this phase of the project? Could you please clarify?

R39. Cross-sections were provided in 50' intervals within the station ranges originally specified in the Project Scope. Cross sections will not be provided for the full length of the project as much of the work is resurfacing work. Within the three raised intersections, earthwork was calculated in a model and accounted for into the final quantity on the Summary of Pay Items sheet within the Contract Documents. Earthwork east of STA. 96+00 was also accounted for in the final earthwork quantity. Earthwork for pipe installation is included in the cost of the pipe. Please bid the quantity shown in the plans.

Q40. Earthwork, Plans vs Schedule of Values: Continuing from Q37, where does the quantity of 3,531 CY pay item "120-2-2 Borrow Excavation, Truck Measure" get applied on this project? Please clarify and advise.

R40. Pay Item 120-2-2 is calculated per the difference between the required embankment and usable embankment excavated on site, multiplied by multiple factors in accordance with FDOT specification. Please see the FDOT's Design Quantities and Estimates for more information.

Q41. MOT: Are steel plates acceptable as a temporary roadway during construction? Please clarify and advise.

R41. Yes, steel plates are acceptable to cover exposed ground for drainage or utility pipelines to open traffic at the end of the workday when full road closures are not permitted.

Q42. Technical Specifications: Bid Item No. 14 Meter, for the proposed water scope, is defined. It states..."Payment for all work included in this Bid Item will be made at the applicable Contract unit price per each meter box assembly as shown on the Contract Drawings and listed on the Bid Form. Payment shall represent full compensation for all labor, material, excavation, bedding, backfill, compaction testing, disinfection and equipment required to complete these Bid items." Does the meter box assembly include the meter? Or will the meter be furnished by the county? Please clarify and advise.

R42. The pay item includes all labor and materials except the meter which will be provided by the County at the time where a proposed dwelling unit is connected.

Q43. Technical Specification: Per Section 02515 Concrete Sidewalks, Driveways, & Gutters, could you please confirm that all concrete driveways are in fact to be constructed to Manatee County standards including #10 wire mesh reinforcement?

R43. All concrete driveways are to be constructed per County standards including #10 mesh reinforcement.

Q44. Schedule of Values/Plans: Pay item 590-70-1 Irrigation System - Repairs is listed as a lump sum. As this scope of work is completely unknown, unquantifiable, and in the interest of fairness, would the county consider a given cost or allowance for bidding? Please clarify and advise.

R44. Irrigation impacts to provide systems within the right of way are unknown, please account for a \$2000 allowance for irrigation repairs.

Q45. MOT: How long will the closure for the culvert removal/installation be allowed by the county? Please clarify and advise.

R45. Per the TTCP General Notes / Details in the Contract Documents, the Contractor must coordinate with the County no less than three weeks in advance of lane or road closures. The Contract Documents do not specify a length of time for this closure; this is up to the Contractor's Means and Methods and coordination with the County. Contractor should endeavor to open the roadway as soon as practicable due to impacts to residents and businesses.

Q46. Materials & Density Testing: Is Manatee County performing all quality control materials and density testing? Or is the contractor required to include materials and density testing at their own cost throughout the project? Please clarify and advise.

R46. The County will provide Materials testing and inspection services for the project. Contractor is responsible for rectifying construction not meeting county specifications.

Q47. Referencing plan sheet 37, at the bottom/middle of the sheet there is a call out to "Grout Existing Pipe, Plug Outlet at Outfall". One could assume that this is the 24" pipe coming from existing curb inlet ST16. The information for the grouting of this pipe is quite vague and the following needs to be provided to be able to adequately quote this scope of work: A. What is the distance of the existing 24" pipe that is to be grouted? B. Where is the outfall located? C. How is the outfall to be accessed? D. Bid item for grouting of the 24" storm drain needs to be added to the Summary of Pay Items.

R47. This callout references the filling of the existing pipe as noted in the bid question. As noted in the previous response this pipe exists in a drainage easement which terminates into Palma Sola Bay. The existing drainage pipe has multiple sizes along the run from 15" to 24" in size. It has several access points with manholes or inlets along this easement. The existing pipe is approximately (980) LF long. Bid item is shown on sheet 4 of the plans as 34 CY (pay item 430 830) please bid this quantity. Actual total grout installed will be paid out based on final amount placed.

NOTE:

Deleted items will be ~~struck through~~, added or modified items will be underlined. All other terms and conditions remain as stated in the IFBC.

INSTRUCTIONS:

Receipt of this Addendum must be acknowledged as instructed in the solicitation document. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum may result in the response being deemed non-responsive.

END OF ADDENDUM

AUTHORIZED FOR RELEASE