

# Manatee County

## **PAVEMENT DESIGN**

### FOR

### 6107860

### **Manatee County**

### 63rd Avenue E.

### 0.000

to 0.971

> Tony Russo, PE Design Project Manager



### **PAVEMENT DESIGN PACKAGE**

63<sup>rd</sup> Avenue East US 301 to Tuttle Avenue Project Number: 6107860

**Manatee County** 

Prepared for:



Manatee County Public Works 2101 47<sup>th</sup> Terrace East Bradenton, Florida 34203

Prepared by:

Patel, Greene & Associates, LLC 12570 Telecom Dr. Temple Terrace, Florida 33637

This item has been digitally signed by: Adam S. Perez, PE No. 56066 Patel, Greene & Associates, LLC Vendor Number: F452209743-001



on the date adjacent to the seal.

Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

The Official record of this document has been electronically signed and sealed using a digital signature as required by rule 61G 15-23.004, F.A.C.

### **PAVEMENT DESIGN PACKAGE**

FINANCIAL PROJECT ID : WPI NO.: STATE PROJECT NO.: COUNTY SECTION NO.: FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO.: COUNTY: PROJECT NAME: FROM: TO: 6107860 N/A N/A TBD Manatee 63rd Avenue E. US 301 Tuttle Avenue

### Table of Contents

Analysis 2	
Pavement Design Summary Sheets	5
Pavement Design Sketches 6 - 7	,
Design Notes	
Flexible Pavement Design Quality Control Checklist 9	

#### Appendices

Appendix A - Notes/Correspondence Appendix B - Design Traffic and 18-Kip Information Appendix C - Typical Sections Appendix D - Existing Pavement Cores Appendix E - Modulus of Resilience Analysis Appendix F - Review Comments

### **Project Description**

The purpose of this project is to reconstruct 63rd Avenue E. from US 301 to Tuttle Avenue, in Manatee County. The approximate project length is 0.971 miles. 63rd Avenue E. will be reconstructed to a divided urban arterial with a design and posted speed of 40 MPH.

The need for this project was identified by Manatee County in a Project Development and Corridor Study Report in order to provide additional roadway capacity and safety for the corridor by completing the four-lane roadway connection between US 301 and Tuttle Avenue. There are two typical sections. The first includes a five-lane, curb and gutter section with a two-way left-turn lane for the western section of the corridor from US 301 to Prospect Road. The second typical section includes a four-lane divided, curb and gutter section from Prospect Road to Tuttle Avenue. Sidwalks will be provided throughout the length of the project on both sides of the roadway.



### **Project Location**

Project Location

### Analysis

Pavement cores were obtained for the existing roadway to the west and east of the existing bridge, east of 33rd Street, to confirm the pavement structure use for salvaging through milling and resurfacing instead of reconstruction. The pavement cores showed that the existing pavement was not sufficient for the required structural capacity of the reconstructed roadway projected design year. Pavement cores were also obtained along 33rd Street for this same purpose. Widening and overbuild will be included along 33rd Street to accommodate the new typical section and roadway grades for drainage purposes.

Due to high Seasonal Ground Water Elevations along the project length, the Modulus of Resilience has been reduced by 50%, per the FDOT Flexible Pavement Design Manual, to allow for a one-foot Base Water Clearance Elevation. This will support the typical section fitting within the proposed right of way designated by the Corridor Study.

#### MANATEE COUNTY FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SUMMARY SHEET

Prepared by:	<u>Adam Perez, PE</u>	Date Prepared:	<u>5/8/2023</u>	
Financial Project No.	<u>6107860</u>	Project Name:	63rd Avenue I	<u>E.</u>
WPI No.	<u>N/A</u>	From:	<u>US 301</u>	
State Project No.	<u>N/A</u>	То:	Tuttle Avenue	_
County Section No.	<u>N/A</u>	Begin MP:	<u>0</u>	
FAP No.	<u>N/A</u>	End MP:	0.971	
County:	<u>Manatee</u>	Project Length (Mi)	<u>0.971</u>	
Type Work:	Reconstruction			
Opening Year:	<u>2025</u>	% R:	<u>90</u>	
Design Year:	<u>2045</u>	M <sub>R:</sub>	<u>5,375</u>	PSI
ESAL <sub>D</sub> - Mainline	<u>2,997,000</u>	Design Speed:	<u>40</u>	MPH
ESAL <sub>D</sub> - Shoulder	<u>N/A</u>	Functional Class:	Urban Arterial	
SN <sub>R</sub> - Mainline	<u>4.66</u>	Design Seq. No.:	<u>N/A</u>	
SN <sub>R</sub> - Shoulder	<u>N/A</u>	Cross Slope Correction	No	

#### 63rd Avenue

#### EXISTING TRAVEL LANE/TURN LANE PAVEMENT (Fair Condition):

Layer	Thickness	Coef.	SN
TYPE SP (Traffic C)	4.50	0.25	1.13
Bank Run Shell	11.50	0.18	2.07

Design Total SN= 3.20

#### **RECOMMENDED RECONSTRUCTION DESIGN:**

Layer	Thickness	Coef.	SN
FC 12.5 (Traffic C)(PG 76-22)	1.50	0.44	0.66
TYPE SP (Traffic C)	3.00	0.44	1.32
OBG 9	10.00	0.18	1.80
TYPE B STABILIZATION (LBR 60)	12.00	0.08	0.96

Design Total SN= 4.74

#### RECOMMENDED TRAVEL LANE/TURN LANE WIDENING PAVEMENT DESIGN:

Layer	Thickness	Coef.	SN
FC 12.5 (Traffic C)(PG 76-22)	1.50	0.44	0.66
TYPE SP (Traffic C)	3.00	0.44	1.32
OBG 9	10.00	0.18	1.80
TYPE B STABILIZATION (LBR 60)	12.00	0.08	0.96

Design Total SN= 4.74

#### MANATEE COUNTY FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SUMMARY SHEET

Prepared by:	<u>Adam Perez, PE</u>	Date Pre	pared:	<u>5/8/2023</u>	
Financial Project	<b>t No.</b> 6107860	Project N	lame:	63rd Avenue E	
WPI No.	N/A	From:		US 301	
State Project No.	N/A	То:		Tuttle Avenue	
County Section No.	N/A	Begin MP:		0	
FAP No.	N/A	End MP:		0.971	
County:	Manatee	Project Le	ngth (Mi)	0.971	
Type Work:	Reconstruction				
Opening Year:	2025	% R:		<u>92</u>	
Design Year:	<u>2045</u>	M <sub>R:</sub>		<u>10,750</u>	PSI
ESAL <sub>D</sub> - Mainline	<u>2,997,000</u>	Design Sp	eed:	<u>45</u>	MPH
ESAL <sub>D</sub> - Shoulder	<u>N/A</u>	Functional	Class:	Urban Arterial	
SN <sub>R</sub> - Mainline	<u>3.70</u>	Design Se	q. No.:	<u>N/A</u>	
SN <sub>R</sub> - Shoulder	<u>N/A</u>	Cross Slop	e Correction	No	
		33rd Street			
EXISTING TRAV	EL LANE PAVEMENT:				
<u>33RD STREET</u>			MILLING	3.00	
I	_aver	Thickness	Coef.		SN
-	Type S Asphalt	3.15	0.25	_	0.79
E	Bank Run Shell	9.90	0.18		1.78
				Total SN:	2.57
RECOMMENDED	) TRAVEL LANE M & R PAVEN	NENT DESIGN:			
<u>33RD STREET</u>					
<u> </u>	_ayer	Thickness	Coef.	_	SN
F	FC 12.5 (Traffic C)(PG 76-22)	1.5	0.44	-	0.66
-	TYPE SP (Traffic C)	3	0.44		1.32
-	Type S Asphalt	0.15*	0.00		0.00
E	Bank Run Shell	9.90	0.18		1.78
				Total SN:	3.76
* It is assumed the	at this thin laver will be removed	with the milling process			

thin layer v ng p

#### RECOMMENDED WIDENING PAVEMENT DESIGN: 33RD STREET

Layer	Thickness	Coef.	SN
FC 12.5 (Traffic C)(PG 76-22)	1.5	0.44	0.66
TYPE SP (Traffic C)	3	0.44	1.32
OBG 9	10.00	0.18	1.80
TYPE B STABILIZATION (LBR 60)	12.00	0.08	0.96

Total SN: 4.74

#### MANATEE COUNTY FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN SUMMARY SHEET

Prepared by:	<u>Adam Perez, PE</u>	Date Prepared:	<u>8/26/2022</u>	<u>8/26/2022</u>	
Financial Project No.	<u>6107860</u>	Project Name:	63rd Avenue	<u>E.</u>	
WPI No.	<u>N/A</u>	From:	<u>US 301</u>		
State Project No.	<u>N/A</u>	To:	Tuttle Avenu	e	
County Section No.	<u>N/A</u>	Begin MP:	<u>0</u>		
FAP No.	<u>N/A</u>	End MP:	<u>0.971</u>		
County:	<u>Manatee</u>	Project Length (Mi)	<u>0.971</u>		
Type Work:	Reconstruction				
Opening Year:	<u>2025</u>	% R:	<u>90</u>		
Design Year:	<u>2045</u>	M <sub>R:</sub>	<u>5,375</u>	PSI	
ESAL <sub>D</sub> - Mainline	<u>2,997,000</u>	Design Speed:	<u>40</u>	MPH	
ESAL <sub>D</sub> - Shoulder	<u>N/A</u>	Functional Class:	<u>Urban Arteria</u>	ıl	
SN <sub>R</sub> - Mainline	<u>4.66</u>	Design Seq. No.:	N/A		
SN <sub>R</sub> - Shoulder	<u>N/A</u>	Cross Slope Correction	<u>No</u>		

#### RECOMMENDED TRAVEL LANE AND SHOULDER M & R PAVEMENT DESIGN: FUNCTIONAL RESURFACING ONLY FOR TTCP LIMITS

Layer	Thickness	Coef.	SN
FC 12.5 (Traffic C)(PG 76-22)	1.50	0.44	0.66

MILLING 1.50

(Not Drawn To Scale) 63rd Avenue Travel Lanes/Turn Lanes				
SNR Required: SNR Provided:	0 DATE: 4.66 4.74	4.66		
Mill & Resurfaced Travel Lanes (Functional Resurfacing)	Travel Lane/Turn Lane Widening	Reconstruction		
FC 12.5, Traffic C, PG 76-22 1.50"	FC 12.5, Traffic C, PG 76-22 1.50"	FC 12.5, Traffic C, PG 76-22 1.50		
Milling Depth 1.50"				
Existing Structural Course, 3.00"	Type SP, Traffic C, 3.00"	Type SP, Traffic C, 3.00"		
	OPTIONAL BASE GROUP 9, 10"	OPTIONAL BASE GROUP 9, 10		
Existing Roadway Base (Bank Run Shell, 11.5")				
	TYPE B STABILIZATION, 12"	TYPE B STABILIZATION, 12"		
Compacted Fill				

DESIGN SKETCH (Not Drawn To Scale)					
33rd Street Travel Lanes					
SNR Required:	3.70	3.70			
SNR Provided:	3.76	4.74			
	Mill & Resurface Travel Lanes	Travel Lane Widening			
	FC 12.5, Traffic C, PG 76-22 1.50"	FC 12.5, Traffic C, PG 76-22 1.50"			
	Type SP, Traffic C, 3.00"	Type SP, Traffic C, 3.00"			
	Type SP, Traffic C, Overbuild (Varies) Milling Depth 3.00"	OPTIONAL BASE GROUP 9, 10"			
	Existing Roadway Base (Bank Run Shell, 9.9")	TYPE B STABILIZATION			
	Compacted Fill				

### **DESIGN NOTES**

### The Pavement Descriptions in the plans should read:

63<sup>rd</sup> Avenue E. Reconstruction and Widening

Optional Base Group 9 with Type SP Structural Course (Traffic C) (3") and Friction Course FC-12.5 (Traffic C) (1 1/2") (PG 76-22)

### 33<sup>rd</sup> Street Widening

Optional Base Group 9 with Type SP Structural Course (Traffic C) (3") and Friction Course FC-12.5 (Traffic C) (1 1/2") (PG 76-22)

### 33<sup>rd</sup> Street Travel Lanes Milling and Resurfacing <u>Milling</u>

Mill Existing Asphalt Pavement (3") (For Depth)

### **Resurfacing**

Type SP Overbuild (Traffic C) (Varies) with Type SP Structural Course (Traffic C) (3") and Friction Course FC-12.5 (Traffic C) (1 1/2") (PG 76-22)

#### TTC Functional Resurfacing Milling

Mill Existing Asphalt Pavement (1 1/2") (For Depth)

#### **Resurfacing**

Friction Course FC-12.5 (Traffic C) (1 1/2") (PG 76-22)

- 1. This pavement design is in accordance with the Manatee County Highway and Traffic Standards Manual Part 3 (Adopted April 26, 2022) and the FDOT Flexible Pavement Design Manual (FPDM) dated January 2023.
- 2. The widening pavement design was developed to match the thickness of the existing pavement structure on 63<sup>rd</sup> Avenue at US 301. The 63<sup>rd</sup> Avenue widening pavement design will also be used for 33<sup>rd</sup> Street in order to match the final pavement structure after milling and resurfacing.
- 3. The Temporary Traffic Control functional resurfacing is to provide a new surface for pavement markings where traffic shifts occur outside the limits of the reconstruction.
- 4. A Reliability of 90% was chosen for the reconstruction pavement design using engineering judgement per the FPDM Table 5.2 for urban arterials, new construction. A Reliability of 92% was chosen for the milling and resurfacing design along 33<sup>rd</sup> Street using engineering judgement per the FPDM Table 5.2 for urban arterials, rehabilitation.
- 5. The Modulus of Resilience for the project limits was determined to be 10,750 psi through geotechnical testing (See Appendix E). This was reduced for 63rd Avenue reconstruction by 50% per the FPDM, section 5.2.2 to accommodate a one-foot base clearance. No reduction is needed for 33rd Street pavement since the pavement is being widened.

### FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT DESIGN QUALITY CONTROL CHECKLIST

Fina	ancial Project ID:	6107860	Federal	Aid	No.	: N/A	4
WPI	No.:	N/A	County:			Ma	inatee
Ref. No.	Flexible Pavement Des	ign Review				Sa	<u>itisfactory</u> Yes/No
1.	Pavement Design Summa	ry Sheet				• •	Y
2.	Project Location and	Description					Ý
3.	Traffic Data and ESAL	D Calculations .					Ý
4.	Resilient Modulus (MR	)			• •	• •	Y
5.	Required Structural N	umber (SNR) Calcu	lations		• •		Y
6.	Calculated Structural	Number (SNC) Cal	culation	s.	•••		Y
7.	Base Material Selecti	on		•••	• •	• •	<u>N/A</u>
8.	Friction Course Selec	tion		• •	•••	• •	<u> </u>
9.	Stabilized Subgrade E	valuation		• •	•••	• •	<u> </u>
10.	Shoulder Design	• • • • • • • •	• • • •	•••	•••	•••	<u> </u>
11.	Coordination with Oth	er Offices	• • • •	•••	•••	•••	<u> </u>
12.	Other Special Details	•••••••	• • • •	•••	•••	•••	<u>N/A</u>
13.	Final Pavement Design	Drawing or Narra	tive	•••	•••	••	
	<u>Rehabilitation</u>						
14.	Field Evaluation of P	roject		• •	•••	•••	<u> </u>
15.	Pavement Coring and E	valuation	• • • •	• •	•••	•••	<u> </u>
16.	Distress Evaluation .	• • • • • • •	• • • •	•••	•••	•••	<u> </u>
17.	Existing Cross-Slope	and Correction Me	ethod	•••	•••	•••	<u>_N/A</u> _
18.	Milling Depth and Pur	pose	• • • •	•••	•••	•••	<u> </u>
19.	Overlay Structural Nu	mber (SNO) Calcu	lations	• •	•••	•••	<u>_N/A</u> _
20.	Leveling/Overbuild Re	commendation	• • • •	•••	• •	•••	<u>N/A</u>
21.	Composition Report		••••	•••	•••	•••	<u> </u>
	Projects That Do Not	<u>Require Design Ca</u>	lculatio	ns			
22.	Existing Pavement Eva	luation	• • • •	•••	•••	•••	<u> </u>
23.	Existing Cross-Slope	and Correction me	ethod	•••	•••	•••	<u> </u>
24.	Asphalt Thickness		• • • •	•••	•••	•••	<u>    Y    </u>
25.	Base Type and Thickne	ss	• • • •	• •	•••	•••	<u> </u>
26.	Future Milling Consid	erations	• • • •	• •	•••	•••	<u> </u>
27.	Structural Evaluation		••••	•••	•••	•••	<u> </u>
	<u>Plans Review</u>						
28.	Plans Conform to Pave	ment Design	• • • •	•••	•••	•••	<u> </u>
29.	Cross-Slope correctio	n addressed	• • • •	• •	•••	•••	<u>N/A</u>
30.	Design Details Adequa	tely Covered	• • • •	•••	•••	•••	<u> </u>
3⊥.	Standard Indexes Prop	erly Referenced.	· · · ·	•••	•••	•••	<u> </u>
32.	Project is Constructa	ble with Current	Technolo	gy.	•••	••	<u> </u>
Comr	<u>ments(by Ref. No.)</u>						

QA by:

Date: 6/27/23

### Appendix A

Notes/Correspondence

### Appendix B

Design Traffic and 18-Kip Information

18 kip EQUIVA	LENT SINGLE	AXLE LOAD A	NALYSIS	
PROJECT TRAFFIC	FOR PD&E and DESI	GN ANALYSIS INFO	/ FACTORS	
<b>PIN #: 610</b> COUNTY: Mar ROADWAYID: N/A	<b>7860</b> atee			
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: 63rd	Ave. E US 301 to Tuttle	Ave.		
LOCATION DESCRIPTION:		LOCA 63rd Ave. E. Study	TION #:	1
GROWTH RATE FORMULA				
A: Interpolation B: Enter Growth Rate C: Enter All AADTs	Choose A, B, C	, or D here:	Α	
D: New Facility	Linear G	rowth Rate	%	
If "A" select an interpolation function	Compounded G	rowth Rate	%	
If "B" enter rate as decimals (1%=1.01)	Decaying G	rowth Rate	%	
If ""C", or "D" continue to next section	(	select one)		
Existing Year	AADT 2021 11000	Daily Direction (50% or	Split <sup>-</sup> 100%)	50%
Opening Year	2025 N/A	Lanes in One D	irection	2
Mid-Design Year	2035 N/A	T24	values	0.000/
Design Year	2045 15000	Existing to Oper	ing Year	8.20%
Note: AAD I values have been rounded to the heare	St 100	Mid-Vear to Des	ian-Year	8.20%
1995 EQUIVALENCY FACTORS	$ \mu(1) $			0.2070
(selected with an X)	FLEXIBLE PA	VEMENT RIGIE	PAVEMENT	-
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SN = 5/THICK	SN =	12/THICK	
RURAL FREEWA	Y: 1.050		1.600	
URBAN FREEWA	Y: 0.900		1.270	
RURAL HIGHWA	Y: 0.960		1.350	
OTHER (Enter Eactor	(Y: 0.890	<u>X</u>	1.220	
OTTIEN (Enter l'actor	anu <i>A</i> ).			
(1) Equivalency Factors are based on Updated Pavement Lane Factors developed by Copes equation	Damage Factors Memorandum, date	d July 2, 1998.		
I have reviewed the 18 kip Equivalent Single Axle Load accordance with the FDOT Project	s (ESAL's) to be used for pavemen Traffic Forecasting Procedure usin	t design on this project. I hereb g historical traffic data and othe	y attest that these ha	ave been developed in n.
Adam S. Perez, F	PE PE No 5	6066 PC	ΞA	8/2/2022
Name	Title	Org. Unit	or Firm	Date
Signature Reviewed by:				
Name	Title	Org. Uni	or Firm	Date
Signature				

<u>18 ki</u>	p EQUIV/	ALENT SIN	IGLE AXLE	LOAD A	ANALYSI	S - LOCATI	ON 1
	PROJEC	CT TRAFFIC FO <b>3</b> : 2021 to 2045	OR PD&E and D	ESIGN ANAI	LYSIS INFO /	FACTORS	
SECTION #	: N/A		COUNTY:	Manatee		PIN #:	6107860
	FLEXIBLE P	AVEMENT UR	BAN HIGHWAY	0.890			
SN=5	/THICK	63rd Ave. E L	JS 301 to Tuttle Ave				А
		ESAL	ACCUM	_	_		
	AADI	(1000S)	(1000s)	<u> </u>	<b>I</b>		EF
2021	11000	126	0	0.5	8.20%	0.856	0.890
2022	11100	127	0	0.5	0.20% 8.20%	0.000	0.690
2023	11500	129	0	0.5	0.20%	0.000	0.090
2024	11500	131	0	0.5	0.20%	0.002	0.690
2025	11000	132	132	0.5	0.20%	0.001	0.690
2026	11000	134	200	0.5	0.20%	0.000	0.690
2027	12000	130	402	0.5	0.20%	0.040	0.690
2028	12100	137	539	0.5	8.20%	0.848	0.890
2029	12300	139	6/8	0.5	8.20%	0.846	0.890
2030	12500	141	819	0.5	8.20%	0.845	0.890
2031	12600	142	961	0.5	8.20%	0.844	0.890
2032	12800	144	1105	0.5	8.20%	0.843	0.890
2033	13000	146	1251	0.5	8.20%	0.842	0.890
2034	13100	147	1398	0.5	8.20%	0.841	0.890
2035	13300	149	1547	0.5	8.20%	0.840	0.890
2036	13500	151	1698	0.5	8.20%	0.839	0.890
2037	13600	152	1850	0.5	8.20%	0.838	0.890
2038	13800	154	2004	0.5	8.20%	0.837	0.890
2039	14000	156	2160	0.5	8.20%	0.836	0.890
2040	14100	157	2317	0.5	8.20%	0.835	0.890
2041	14300	159	2476	0.5	8.20%	0.834	0.890
2042	14500	161	2637	0.5	8.20%	0.833	0.890
2043	14600	162	2799	0.5	8.20%	0.832	0.890
2044	14800	164	2963	0.5	8.20%	0.831	0.890
2045	15000	166	3129	0.5	8.20%	0.830	0.890
		Оре	ening to Mid-Des Opening to Des	sign Year ES sign Year ES	AL Accumula AL Accumula	ition (1000s): ition (1000s):	1415 2997
l have reviewe develope	d the 18 kip Equivand the 18 kip Equiva	alent Single Axle Loa ith the FDOT Project	ids (ESAL's) to be use Traffic Forecasting Pr	d for pavement de rocedure using his	esign on this proje storical traffic data	ct. I hereby attest that and other available in	these have been formation.
Prepared by:	Adam S.	Perez, PE	PE No. 5	6066		PGA	8/2/2022
	Name			Title		Org.Unit or F	Date
Reviewed By:	Signature					-	
<b>)</b> -	Name			Title		Org.Unit or F	Date
	Signature					-	

### Appendix C

**Typical Sections** 



2/15/2023

4:09:19 PM pw:\\patelgreene-pw.bentley.c



2/15/2023

4:09:20 PM

SHEET

NO.

### TYPICAL SECTION (2)

 $pw:\\protect$ 

### Appendix D

**Existing Pavement Cores** 

#### PAVEMENT DATA TABLE

63rd Ave E from U.S. 301 to Tuttle Avenue

Manatee County, Florida

CIP Project No. 6107860

					Tierra Pr	oject No.: 6511-22-1	26							
Approximate Core Location <sup>(1)</sup> Roa (Station Along Alig Roadway of core)	Roadway	Lane	Wheel Path	Asphalt Pavement <sup>(2)</sup>		Base for Paved Roadway		Subgrade		Crack Depth	Pavement	Groundwater	Commonte	
	(Station Along Roadway of core)	Alignment	Designation	nation	Type S/SP	Total Asphalt Core Length (inches)	Туре	Thickness (inches)	Туре	Depth <sup>(3)</sup> (feet)	(inches)	Condition <sup>(4)</sup>	(feet)	Comments
C-161L1	160+95	CL 63rd Ave E	L1	RWP	4.5	4.5	Bank Run Shell	11.5	A-3	0.0 to 3.7	(5)	Fair	>3.7	
C-193R1	193+22	CL 63rd Ave E	R1	RWP	1.8	1.8	Crush Concrete	7.1	A-3	0.0 to 4.3	(5)	Fair	>4.3	
C-1930R	193+00	CL 63rd Ave E	OR	RWP	2.3	2.3	Crush Concrete	8.3	A-3	0.0 to 4.1	(5)	Fair	>4.1	
0 4001 4	405:00			DWD				Onuch Concercto 0.1	A-3/ A-2-4	0.0 to 2.7	4 4(6)	<b>F</b> -in	. 4.0	Asphalt Core taken within
C-190L1	195+98	CL 63rd Ave E		RVVP	1.4	1.4	Crush Concrete	8.1	A-3 2.7 to 4.2		Fair	>4.2	Within Core.	
C-196OL	196+01	CL 63rd Ave E	OL	RWP	1.6	1.6	Crush Concrete	8.6	A-2-4	0.0 to 3.1	(5)	Fair	>3.1	
0 4001 4	100-05			A-2-4	0.0 to 3.6	<b>j</b>			Asphalt Core taken within					
C-400L1	400+05	CL. 33 Street E	LI RVVP 3.3 3.3 Bank Run Shell	7.8	7.8 A-3 with shell	3.6 to 4.1	3.3(*)	Fair to Poor	3.7	Within Core.				
C-410R1	409+96	CL. 33 Street E	R1	RWP	3.0	3.0	Bank Run Shell	12.0	A-3	0.0 to 3.5	3.0 <sup>(6)</sup>	Fair	>3.5	Asphalt Core taken within Crack; Full Depth Crack Within Core.
Notes:	at coro locations woro ob	tained by Tierra In	c in the field using	band hold non a		ant with a manufactu	irar's reported accura	$a_{\rm v}$ of $\pm 10$ fo	ot should be co	nsidered an	rovimato			

<sup>(1)</sup> Pavement core locations were obtained by Tierra, Inc. in the field using hand-held, non-survey grade GPS equipment with a ma <sup>(2)</sup> Pavement layer identification based on visual review using FDOT Mixture nomenclature. Actual pavement may be a local mix. is reported accuracy of ±10 fe аррі

<sup>3)</sup> Depth measured from bottom of base material.

<sup>4)</sup> Pavement condition based on visual observatoin only: Good, Fair or Poor.

<sup>5)</sup> No cracks were observed within the pavement cores at these locations.

<sup>6)</sup> Full depth cracking observed within the pavement core at these locations.

33rd Street						
CORE #	MP	LANE	TYPE S	Bank Run Shell		
C-400L1	400+05	L1	3.30	7.80		
C-410R1	409+96	R1	3.00	12.00		
AVERAGE			3.15	9.90		

### Appendix E

Modulus of Resilience Analysis

Design LBR Calculation 63rd street from US 301 to Tuttle Road Manatee County, Florida Manatee County Project No.: 22-TA004126CD Tierra Project No.: 6511-22-126 2% of Optimum Method						
Test No.	Bulk Sample Location	Maximum LBR	LBR at Moisture Contents (of Optimum LBR):			
			- 2%	+ 2%		
LBR #1	LBR-SH-163R	43	37	30		
LBR #2	LBR-SH-175R	37	32	32		
LBR #3	LBR-SH-185R	67	<del>58</del> 40	<del>43</del> 40		
LBR #4	LBR-SH-200R	32	29	23		
Mean LBR Value 44 35 31						
Design LBR = 33						
Design M <sub>R</sub> (Resilent Modulus) <sup>(1)</sup> =  10,750 psi						
(1) Based on 2022 FDOT Flexible Pavement Manual Table 5.1 for relationship of LBR to MR.						

### Appendix F

**Review Comments** 

63rd Ave E - US 301 to Tuttle

Design Review:#4

Submitted By: Submittal Type:	Uptegraff, Richard, Patel Greene and Associates, LLC 60% Design
Description:	Plans and Documentation
Date Submitted:	11.30.2022 10:36PM

#### Comments (from comments tab)

**Comment By:** Gil Bullock, Manatee County Public Works, 1/9/2023 4:07:47 PM Roadway Plans:

1. On sheet 36, is test level TL-2 appropriate when sidewalk and curb is present in front of the guardrail and end treatment? Provide additional details of the removal limits of the existing taper tapered walls and how the proposed 32" traffic railing is incorporated into the existing sidewalk and approach slab.

## Response: TL-2 guardrail is allowed to be placed between 4 feet and 12 feet behind the face of curb for design speeds of 45 mph or less per the FDOT Design Manual, section 215.4.6.1.

2. On sheet 129, x-section at Sta. 184+50, does the gravity wall need to extend beyond Sta. 184+50? It doesn't appear that a 1:2 or 1:3 slope would be inside the existing R/W once the gravity wall ends at Sta. 184+50.

### Response: Agree, the limits of gravity wall will be extended 10 feet to within the limits of the County owned property.

3. On Sheet 186 for MOT (TTCP 22), looks like there is road closed signs going in both directions at the bridge. Is the road being closed. If not, should Type K temporary barriers be installed for the approach slab barrier upgrades.

### Response: Yes, the portion of the newly constructed roadway just east of the bridge, will remain closed until Phase II.

**Comment By:** Neil Byrne, Manatee County Public Works, 1/6/2023 4:40:47 PM See Traffic Engineering comments attached.

#### Response: Comment files received.

Comment By: Paul Haas, Manatee County Public Works, 12/30/2022 10:04:38 AM The wastewater master plans for the County are currently being developed and a possible upgrade to the force mains along this project have possibly been flagged for upgrades. The connection for the force main into the gravity sewer at the intersection of 63rd and Tuttle may need to be included in to this projects road design. Further coordination will need to be completed with the Utilities Department to ensure any upgrades can be completed. This information should be available within a couple of weeks from the start of the new year.

### Response: Continued coordination for the utility upgrades will be performed throughout the next phase.

**Comment By:** James Renneberg, Manatee County Public Works, 12/28/2022 9:10:12 AM

Response to James Renneberg's external comment request from Gary Hinton II, Manatee County Public Works (gary.hinton@mymanatee.org):

COMMENTS (63RD ROADWAY PLANS):

1. Property Acquisition Parcel List not submitted. Include in 60% submittal. The comment was not addressed.

### Response: A property acquisition parcel list has been submitted outside of this review. For the 90% submittal we will include it along with the submittal.

2. 30% Deliverables, (3. Special Provisions) not submitted. Include in 60% submittal.

The comment was not addressed.

### Response: A specifications package, including Special Provisions, will be provided for this project.

3. On the Roadway Plans from Sheets 29-42, call out all construction easements including offsets where applicable.

### Response: Construction easements will be called out with offsets.

4. Please provide contract specifications for this project.

#### Response: Contract specifications will be provided for this project.

5. Provide BMP plans according to project needs.

#### Response: BMP plans, notes and/or details will be added to the plans.

6. For the typical sections on Sheets 9, 10 & 13 provide the speed limit.

### Response: Typical sections on Sheets 9, 10 & 13 will be revised to provide the speed limit.

7. For the typical sections on Sheets 9, 12 & 13, use "buffered" bike lanes as per MC Detail 401.2 and the Signing & Pavement Marking Plans.

### Response: Typical sections on Sheets 9, 12 & 13, will be revised to "buffered" bike lane call outs.

8. For the typical sections on Sheet 10, call out 33rd as 33rd Street East.

### Response: Typical sections on Sheet 10, will be revised to call out 33rd as 33rd Street East.

9. For the typical sections on Sheets 9, 10, 12 & 13, please extend the proposed optional base to below all curbs as per MC Detail 401.2.

## Response: Typical sections on Sheets 9, 10, 12 & 13 will be revised to extend the proposed optional base to below all curbs as per MC Detail 401.2.

10. 63rd Ave. East and 33rd St. East are thoroughfares. Please use LBR-60 Type B Stabilization for the subbase for the typical sections on Sheet 9, 10, 12 & 13 as per MC Detail 401.2.

**Response: Typical sections on Sheet 9, 10, 12 & 13 will be revised to use LBR-60 Type B Stabilization for the subbase**.

11. For the typical sections on Sheet 9, show the existing R/W.

### Response: Existing R/W will be shown on the typical sections on sheet 9 in addition to the Proposed R/W.

12. Sheet 31 Roadway Plan (3): Call out the radii for the driveway at Sta. 171+16.

Response: Radii callouts will be added to the driveway at Sta. 171+16.

13. Sheet 30 Roadway Plan (2): At the intersection of 63rd & 28th, use minimum curb radii of 50' as per MC Detail 402.0. 63rd is an arterial roadway and 28th is a local roadway and there is no proposed right turn lane at this intersection.

### Response: Radii will be revised to be 50' per MC Detail 402.0 for 28<sup>th</sup> St. E.

14. On Sheets 43-56, please provide elevations along with stations and offsets on the Drainage and Grading Plans.

### Response: The elevations, stations and offsets for the drainage structures and pipes shown on the Drainage and Grading Plan are shown in the Drainage Structure Sections. The title of these sheets will be revised to Drainage Plan.

15. Sheets 113-153 Cross Sections: Please provide areas and volumes for the regular excavation and embankment quantities.

### Response: Earthwork areas and volumes will be included on all crosssection sheets for quantity calculations.

16. Sheets 113-153 Cross Sections: Call out EOP elevations for all cross sections.

## Response: It is preferred to not include EOP elevations and allow the typical section pavement cross slopes and profile grade line to dictate the pavement elevations to avoid redundant information.

17. Sheets 122-123 Cross Sections: Call out any missing sidewalk cross slopes where applicable.

### Response: Missing sidewalk cross slopes will be added on sheets 121-123 of the cross sections.

18. Sheet 149 Cross Sections: Call out the sidewalk cross slopes for the cross section for Sta. 403+50.

Response: The sidewalk at Sta 403+50 is at a skew to the cross section. It is preferred not to include the cross slope and allow the roadway typical section and curb ramp standards dictate the slopes.

19. Sheet 150 Cross Sections: Call out the sidewalk cross slopes for the cross section for Sta. 404+50.

## Response: The sidewalk at Sta 404+50 is at a skew to the cross section. It is preferred not to include the cross slope and allow the roadway typical section and curb ramp standards dictate the slopes.

20. Please provide cross sections for Drainage Structures S-200 through S-306.

### Response: Cross sections for Drainage Structures S-200 through S-306 will be provided.

21. On the Summary of Pay Items Sheet in the Roadway Plans, please update all quantities for all pay items so that they match the quantities in the cost estimate.

### Response: The Summary of Pay Items Sheet will be updated so all quantities and pay items will match the cost estimate.

**Comment By:** Jerry Varghese, Manatee County Public Works, 12/27/2022 2:07:13 PM Response to Jerry Varghese's external comment request from Frank Meola, Southern Manatee Fire Rescue (fmeola@smfr.com):

No issues or concerns note with proposed information provided.

Frank A. Meola Deputy Fire Marshal Southern Manatee Fire Rescue 2451 Trailmate Drive P.O. Box 20216 Bradenton, FL 34204 941-751-7675 EXT 303 Email: fmeola@smfr.com "Pride Through Performance"

### Response: Noted, thank you.

**Comment By:** Jerry Varghese, Manatee County Public Works, 12/27/2022 1:37:11 PM Requested comment on step Review & Comment from ladent@southernmanateefd.org, Jason.Starr@hdrinc.com, fmeola@smfr.com with a respond by date of 01.11.2023.

### Response: Noted, thank you.