

southwest wastewater collection system master plan update

FINAL REPORT | DECEMBER 2016



Engineers...Working Wonders With Water®



SOUTHWEST COUNTY WASTEWATER COLLECTION SYSTEM MASTER PLAN UPDATE



December 2016

FINAL

Laura B. Baumberger P. E. No. 65401



MANATEE COUNTY

SOUTHWEST COUNTY WASTEWATER COLLECTION SYSTEM MASTER PLAN UPDATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1

CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION

1.1	BACKGROUND	.1-1
1.2	PREVIOUS MASTER PLAN	.1-3
1.3	OBJECTIVES	.1-3
1.4	SUMMARY OF REPORT CHAPTERS	. 1-4
1.5	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	.1-4
1.6	REFERENCES	.1-5

CHAPTER 2 - STUDY AREA

2.1	CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY	2-1
2.2	INFLOW AND INFILTRATION	2-3
2.3	EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE	2-3

CHAPTER 3 - PLANNING AND PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

3.1	BACKGROUND	3-1
3.2	POPULATION PROJECTIONS	3-1
	3.2.1 County Population Projections	3-1
	3.2.2 Planned Developments	3-5
	3.2.3 WWCS Master Plan Population Projections	3-8
3.3	HISTORICAL FLOWS	3-15
	3.3.1 Historical Wastewater Flows and Peaking Factors	3-15
	3.3.2 Historical Wet Weather Flows	3-16
3.4	DIURNAL CURVES	
3.5	PROJECTED WASTEWATER FLOWS	3-30
3.6	PERFORMANCE CRITERIA	
	3.6.1 System Reliability and Redundancy	3-33
	3.6.2 Force Main Capacity	3-33
	3.6.3 Gravity Sewer Design	
	3.6.4 Lift Stations	
	3.6.5 Wastewater Performance Criteria Summary	3-34
3.7	PLANNING FRAMEWORK SUMMARY	3-35

CHAPTER 4 - WASTEWATER MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND CALIBRATION

4.1	INTRODUCTION	.4-	1
-----	--------------	-----	---

4.2	MODEL UPDATE	4-1
	4.2.1 Existing Hydraulic Model	4-1
	4.2.2 Model Infrastructure	4-2
	4.2.3 Model Review and Diagnostic Checks	
4.3	MODEL DEVELOPMENT	
	4.3.1 Scenario Management	
4.4	MODEL CALIBRATION	4-11
	4.4.1 Calibration Standards and Expected Accuracy	
	4.4.2 Field Test	
	4.4.3 Base Model Calibration	4-14
	4.4.4 Wet Weather Methodology	
	4.4.5 Wet Weather Model Calibration	
4.5	CALIBRATION SUMMARY	
	4.5.1 Recommendations for Future Modeling Efforts	

CHAPTER 5 - EXISTING (2015) SCENARIO EVALUATION

5.1	INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.2	EXISTING COLLECTION SYSTEM ASSUMPTIONS	5-1
5.3	EXISTING SYSTEM ANALYSIS	5-2
	5.3.1 2015 LOS Analysis	5-3
	5.3.2 2015 Wet Weather Analysis	5-5
	5.3.3 Areas Not Meeting Performance Criteria	5-12
	5.3.4 Recommended Improvements	5-14
5.4	SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5-16

Chapter 6 - FUTURE SCENARIOS

6.1	INTRO	DDUCTION	6-1
6.2	FUTU	RE COLLECTION SYSTEM ASSUMPTIONS	6-2
6.3	2020 \$	SCENARIO	6-3
	6.3.1	2020 Wastewater Infrastructure	6-4
	6.3.2	2020 LOS Analysis	6-9
	6.3.3	2020 Wet Weather Analysis	6-11
	6.3.4	Planned and Recommended Improvements	6-14
6.4	2025 \$	SCENARIO	6-14
	6.4.1	2025 Wastewater Infrastructure	6-17
	6.4.2	2025 LOS Analysis	6-18
	6.4.3	2025 Wet Weather Analysis	6-18
	6.4.4	Recommended Improvements	6-22
6.5	2035	SCENARIO	6-22
	6.5.1	2035 Wastewater Infrastructure	6-24
	6.5.2	2035 LOS Analysis	6-24
	6.5.3	2035 Wet Weather Analysis	6-26
	6.5.4	Recommended Improvements	6-26
6.6	PLAN	NED DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO	6-28
	6.6.1	Planned Development Wastewater Infrastructure	6-28
	6.6.2	Planned Development LOS Analysis	6-30
	6.6.3	Planned Development Wet Weather Analysis	6-30
	6.6.4	Recommended Improvements	6-30

6.7	BUILD-OUT SCENARIO	6-33
	6.7.1 Build-Out Wastewater Infrastructure	6-33
	6.7.2 Build-Out Analysis	6-37
6.8	WATER RECLAMATIÓN FACILITY CAPACITY	6-37
6.9	SUMMARY	6-39

Chapter 7 - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

7-2
7-2
7-4
7-13
7-13
7-13
7-13

Chapter 8 - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1	INTRODUCTION	8-1
8.2	SOUTHWEST WWCS MASTER PLAN CONCLUSIONS	8-1
8.3	CIP RECOMMENDATIONS	8-2
8.4	FUTURE PLANNING RECOMMENDATIONS	8-4

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	EXCERPT FROM MANATEE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN
APPENDIX B	FDOT INTENSITY-DURATION-FREQUENCY CURVES
APPENDIX C	EXCERPT FROM MANATEE COUNTY UTILITY DESIGN
	STANDARDS
APPENDIX D	LIFT STATION INFORMATION PROVIDED BY COUNTY
APPENDIX E	MODEL FLEX TABLES
APPENDIX F	FIELD TEST PLAN
APPENDIX G	CALIBRATION RESULTS
APPENDIX H	LIFT STATION PERFORMANCE CRITERIA EVALUATION
APPENDIX I	EXCERPT OF FY2015 - 2019 WW CIP
APPENDIX J	RECOMMENDED CIP PROJECT SUMMARY FORMS
APPENDIX K	RESULTS OF THE EVALUATIONS OF CURRENT CIP PROJECTS AS
	PLANNED BY THE COUNTY

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Manatee County Climate	2-1
Table 2.2	Summary of Existing Infrastructure	2-6
Table 2.3	Force Main Installation Dates	2-8
Table 2.4	Gravity Main and Force Main Diameters	2-9
Table 2.5	Gravity Main and Force Main Material	2-10
Table 3.1	Population Projections Provided By County	
Table 3.2	Planned Developments	3-6

Table 3.3	Population Projections (Excluding Population Served by Septic Tanks)	3-8
Table 3.4	Future Land Use Categories	3-12
Table 3.5	Build-Out Population Estimate	3-14
Table 3.6	Historical Wastewater Flows – Southwest Service Area	3-15
Table 3.7	Wastewater Level of Service (LOS)	3-16
Table 3.8	Flow and Rainfall Analysis	3-19
Table 3.9	Storm Event – 24-Hour Rainfall	3-20
Table 3.10	Summary of Wet and Dry Weather Flows	3-22
Table 3.11	Projected Wastewater Flows – Southwest Service Area	3-31
Table 3.12	Performance Criteria Summary	3-35
Table 4.1	Descriptions of Wastewater Model Simulations	4-11
Table 4.2	Base Calibration Results	4-15
Table 4.3	Wet Weather Calibration Summary	4-20
Table 5.1	2015 LOS Lift Stations with more than 5 Pump Cycles per Hour	5-3
Table 5.2	2015 Wet Weather Maximum Force Main Velocities	5-7
Table 5.3	High Pump Starts in 2015 Wet Weather Scenario	5-9
Table 5.4	2015 Wet Weather Manhole Overflow Summary	5-11
Table 5.5	2015 Wet Weather Gravity Main Surcharge Summary	5-11
Table 5.6	Summary of Recommended Improvements	5-15
Table 6.1	Wastewater Infrastructure Added in 2020 Scenario	6-8
Table 6.2	Lift Station Firm Capacity Exceedances in 2020 LOS Scenario	6-9
Table 6.3	2020 Wet Weather Manhole Overflow Summary	6-14
Table 6.4	Wastewater Infrastructure Added in 2025 Scenarios	6-18
Table 6.5	2025 Wet Weather Gravity Main Surcharge Summary	6-22
Table 6.6	Wastewater Infrastructure Added in 2035 Scenarios	6-24
Table 6.7	Wastewater Infrastructure Added in Planned Development	6-28
Table 6.8	Wastewater Infrastructure Added in Build-Out Scenario	6-33
Table 6.9	Summary of Projected and Simulated Flows	6-38
Table 6.10	Comparison of Simulated Peaking Factor and Population Density	6-38
Table 6.11	Summary Recommended Future Infrastructure	6-41
Table 7.1	Unit Pipe Costs for PVC and DIP	7-3
Table 7.2	Pump Replacement Costs by Horsepower	7-4
Table 7.3	Master Plan Recommended Infrastructure Improvements	7-5
Table 7.4	Recommended Changes to FY 2015-2019 CIP Projects	7-10
Table 7.5	Complete 20-Year Wastewater Collection System CIP	7-14
Table 7.6	20-Year CIP Summary	7-17
Table 8.1	20-Year CIP Summary	8-3

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Location Map	1-2
Figure 2.1	Manatee County Topography	2-2
Figure 2.2	Sources of Inflow and Infiltration	2-4
Figure 2.3	Typical Wastewater Flow Components	2-5
Figure 2.4	Existing Collection System	2-7
Figure 3.1	Septic Tank Locations	
Figure 3.2	Historical and Projected Population	3-4
Figure 3.3	Planned Developments	
Figure 3.4	Sewer Shed Boundaries	
Figure 3.5	Future Land Use	3-13

Figure 3.6	Historical Annual Average Flow	3-17
Figure 3.7	Maximum Month and Maximum Day Peaking Factors	3-18
Figure 3.8	SWWRF Hydrograph	
Figure 3.9	MLS 1-D Diurnal Curve	
Figure 3.10	MLS #5 (MH 18814) Diurnal Curve	
Figure 3.11	MLS #5 (MH 19462) Diurnal Curve	
Figure 3.12	Typical Diurnal Curve	
Figure 3.13	Southwest Service Area Flow Projections	
Figure 4.1	Existing Model Infrastructure	4-3
Figure 4.2	Typical Lift Station Configuration	4-7
Figure 4.3	1-D MLS Base Calibration Results	4-16
Figure 4.4	Example Unit Hydrograph	4-18
Figure 4.5	SWFWMD Rainfall Gauges and Data	
Figure 4.6	SWWRF Wet Weather Calibration Results	
Figure 5.1	2015 LOS Results	5-4
Figure 5.2	2015 Wet Weather Results	5-6
Figure 6.1	2020 Infrastructure	6-5
Figure 6.2	2020 Force Main Diameters	6-6
Figure 6.3	2020 LOS Results	6-10
Figure 6.4	2020 Wet Weather Results	6-12
Figure 6.5	2025 Infrastructure	6-15
Figure 6.6	2025 Force Main Diameters	6-16
Figure 6.7	2025 LOS Results	6-19
Figure 6.8	2025 Wet Weather Results	6-20
Figure 6.9	2035 Infrastructure	6-23
Figure 6.10	2035 LOS Results	6-25
Figure 6.11	2035 Wet Weather Results	6-27
Figure 6.12	Planned Development Infrastructure	6-29
Figure 6.13	Planned Development LOS Results	6-31
Figure 6.14	Planned Development Wet Weather Results	6-32
Figure 6.15	Build-Out Infrastructure	6-34
Figure 6.16	Build-Out Force Main Diameters	6-35
Figure 6.17	Existing, Future, and Build-Out Infrastructure	6-36
Figure 6.18	Permitted Vs. Projected SWWRF Capacity	6-40
Figure 7.1	Master Plan Recommended Infrastructure Improvements	7-8
Figure 7.2	Recommended Changes to FY 2015-2019 CIP Projects	7-12

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

Manatee County completed its most recent Southwest Service Area Wastewater Collection System (WWCS) Master Plan in 2009 (Greeley and Hansen, 2009). Carollo Engineers, Inc. (Carollo) was retained to assist the County in updating their hydraulic model and to develop a WWCS Master Plan Update through build-out. Major scope elements included data collection, development of wastewater flow projections and peaking factors, updating and calibrating the previous hydraulic model, evaluation of existing and future scenarios for dry and wet weather conditions (2015, 2020, 2025, 2035, planned development, and build-out), and development of a 20-year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for the Southwest Service Area collection system.

PLANNING FRAMEWORK

The Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update documents planning information that serves as the framework for the collection system model and master planning analyses. Factors including population and flow projections, peaking factors, wet weather analyses, and diurnal curves were evaluated and incorporated into the WWCS model.

Population projections were provided by the County in the form of Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) GIS shapefiles in 5-year increments through 2040. TAZ are the basic geographic unit used to inventory demographic and land use data. The projections were adjusted to account for population served by septic tanks, which are included in the TAZ projections.

Future population growth through 2035 was assumed to only occur within planned developments (developer projects that have been submitted to the County for review and approval). Information on planned developments was provided by the County and incorporated into this Master Plan Update. The population in the planned development scenario assumes the planned developments are built to capacity (maximum population proposed by the developer project).

Build-out population includes the connection of small septic tank parcels (under 1 acre) to the sewer infrastructure, the redevelopment and connection of large septic parcels (over 1 acre), and the connection of all other undeveloped land (not included in a planned development). Sewer shed boundaries were drawn for each existing, future, and build-out lift station, based on existing infrastructure, planned developments, and the location of undeveloped and septic parcels.

The build-out population of the large septic parcels and the undeveloped parcels (collectively referred to as build-out parcels) were based on the future land use type, parcel area (acres), maximum gross potential residential density (dwelling unit/acre), a population density of 2.34 persons per dwelling unit based on the 2010 Census (Manatee County

Planning Department), and the assumption that the land would be developed to 75 percent of the allowable maximum density.

The County's historical water reclamation facility (WRF) influent flow data, which is presented in Chapter 3 (Table 3.6), was analyzed to determine the maximum month and maximum day peaking factors in each service area. For the Southwest Service Area, the maximum month peaking factor ranged from 1.13 to 1.30 over the last 10 years. Peak daily flows over the last five years ranged from 1.56 to 2.62 times the annual average. The historical average flow per person has decreased by approximately 31.5 percent since 2005, from 159.40 gallons per capita per day (gpcd) to 109.06 gpcd in 2014. The five-year average flow per person is 108.72 gpcd.

Wastewater flow generation varies throughout the day based on customer water use patterns and industrial/commercial contributions. Because the hydraulic models are developed to model movement of wastewater flow throughout an extended period of time, diurnal curves are used to vary the wastewater generation in the model. Refer to Chapter 3 for more information on diurnal curves. Temporary flowmeters were installed throughout the County in order to record actual flow data. Representative data from two consecutive days were chosen to develop diurnal curves that were input into the hydraulic models.

Projected annual average flows were developed using the current per capita wastewater flow LOS values (115 gpcd for the Southwest Service Area). Projected maximum monthly flows were calculated using a monthly peaking factor of 1.31, per County Policy 9.1.3.1. The actual maximum 10-year monthly peaking factor for the Southwest Service Area (1.30) is similar to this policy value. Figure ES.1 shows the projected wastewater flows for the Southwest Service Area through 2035.

A detailed wet weather analysis was completed using historical daily rainfall and wastewater flows for the Southwest WRF (SWWRF) and the master lift stations (MLSs) in the Southwest Service Area. Data from June through September 2013 was analyzed. Based on the wet weather analysis, and consulting with County staff, it was decided that a 3-day storm event that occurred in September 2013 be used to calibrate the base wet weather scenario and to model future wet weather scenarios. The 3-day storm event was chosen over a 24-hour design storm due to the larger impact a series of lower intensity rainfall events have on the collection system (as compared to an isolated, 24-hour storm with higher intensity).

Criteria for measuring and evaluating the performance and design of the infrastructure in the Southwest Service Area WWCS included force main velocity, gravity pipeline depth, wet well diameter and volume, and pump capacity and cycle times. Comparison of the system's capabilities against these performance and design criteria provides a mechanism for identifying existing or future needs and serves as a guide for capital improvement projects. The performance criteria are based on County design standards, commonly accepted engineering standards, and applicable regulations such as the Florida Administrative Code (FAC) and 10 State Standards.



MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND CALIBRATION

The County's previous model was constructed during the 2009 update of the master plan using the County's GIS database. The previous model was built using the Bentley SewerCAD hydraulic modeling software. It should be noted that the model has been updated using the Bentley SewerGEMS software, a fully dynamic, multi-platform sanitary and combined sewer modeling solution. For an overview of the major model elements and the infrastructure included in the model, please refer to Chapter 4.

An extensive comparison of the previous model infrastructure, the most recent GIS database, and spreadsheet data provided by the County was conducted. Level of Service (LOS) and wet weather scenarios were created in the model for each planning period (2015, 2020, 2025, 2035, planned development, and build-out). The LOS scenarios have a 72-hour simulation period and the wet weather scenarios have 96-hour simulation period.

Hydraulic models are built from the best available information regarding the physical attributes and operational conditions of the collection system. There are a number of parameters that are not directly known and cannot be directly measured. For this reason, these parameters must be assumed initially based on typical values and engineering judgment. Every collection system is unique. For this reason, industry standard of care dictates that a model be validated to ensure that the assumptions built into the model are reasonable and provide results that correctly reflect the operation of the system. This validation process is commonly referred to as calibration.

In the United States, calibration standards to assess the accuracy of model calibration have yet to be developed and depend heavily on the complexity of the system and availability of data to develop the model. Based on the Wastewater Planning Users Group (WaPUG), a section of the Chartered Institution of Water and Environment Management, it is recommended that the average base wastewater flow be within 10 percent of actual measured data and the average wet weather flow be within +20 percent to -10 percent.

A wastewater collection system field test was performed in April 2015 to gather actual pressure and flow data throughout the system. The model was calibrated by comparing this field data with the model's simulated results. Flow results of the model, after adjustments, were reasonable and match the actual system data relatively closely, under both the base and wet weather conditions. The average daily flow to the SWWRF was within 2 percent in the base scenario and within +/- 4 percent in the wet weather scenarios. Both calibration results meet the generally accepted standards used to determine the adequacy of model calibration, according to the WaPUG, therefore the model is considered robustly calibrated. Care should be taken when modifying the model parameters, as changes may affect the overall results and reliability of the model. The calibration methodology and results are discussed further in Chapter 4.

EXISTING COLLECTION SYSTEM ANALYSIS

Evaluations of the collection system, based on the LOS and wet weather flow conditions, were completed to verify that the existing infrastructure satisfies the selected performance criteria and to identify necessary corrective actions. Based on the 2015 LOS and Wet Weather modeling results, the majority of the modeled infrastructure in the Southwest Service Area meet the performance requirements. However, deficiencies were identified at:

- Some major transmission force main trunks,
- A few major connecting gravity mains,
- Several smaller gravity collectors, and
- At a percentage of lift stations.

Fortunately, improvement projects for the most critical of these deficiencies have been previously identified and programmed into the current County CIP (FY 2015-2019), and projects are either under design or scheduled for construction. Additional evaluations were completed using the future model scenarios (2020 through build-out) in order to propose the most suitable corrective actions. Table ES.1 summarizes the force main deficiencies identified using the 2015 scenarios of the model and a recommended solution. **Error! Reference source not found.** also shows which of the deficiencies fall into projects that are within the scope of the currently adopted FY 2015-2019 CIP, and which ones have been identified as part of this Master Plan. Details of each deficiency and/or proposed project are included in Chapters 5 through 7.

Table ES.1Summary of RecSouthwest WWCManatee County	Summary of Recommended Force Main Improvements Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County		
2015 Scenario Deficient Force Main ID or Alignment	Recommendation		
Projects Already in the Plannin	ng or Design Phase		
27-A MLS (RTU 138) Force Main from 51 st Street West to the SWWRF ⁽¹⁾	No changes to the current CIP project are recommended.		
Lift Station 23-A (RTU 410) Force Main (Segment 2) ⁽¹⁾	Continue with plans to upsize existing 6-inch force main with an 8-inch (CIP # WW01037).		
FM-139-1 (MLS 12-A Force Main Segment 1)	Part of CIP ID WW00975. See recommended change to CIP project in Appendix K.		
FM-237-1, FM-237-2, FM-237- 3, FM-237-4 (MLS 1-D Force Main Segments 1-4)	Complete CIP ID 6035781 and part of CIP ID 6085780. See recommended change to CIP project in Appendix K.		

Table ES.1	Summary of Recommended Force Main Improvements Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County		
2015 Scenari Force Main II	o Deficient D or Alignment	Recommendation	
FM-203-1, FM-203-2, FM-203- 3 (MLS 1-M Force Main Segments 1-3)		Part of CIP ID 6085780. No changes to the current CIP project are recommended.	
FM-071-1 (MLS #5 Force Main Segment 1)		Part of CIP ID WW00974. See recommended change to CIP project in Appendix K.	
FM-SWWRF-Outfall (Headworks Influent Force Main)		Upsize as part of the future headworks project is recommended.	
Projects Iden	ntified in this Mast	er Plan	
FM-101-1 and FM-101-2 (Bayshore Yacht Basin Lift Station Force Main)		Upsize force main to 16-inch.	
Notes: (1) Expenditures committed by EY 2015 in the adopted CIP			

Deficiencies in major gravity mains are identified by manhole overflows and/or surcharged gravity mains. Manhole overflows occurred upstream of Lift Station RTUs 136, 141, and 457 during the wet weather scenario. The gravity main along Cortez Road, connecting Anna Maria Island (MLS #5) and other smaller basins to MLS 1-M (RTU 203) was surcharged during the wet weather scenario. Surcharging was also observed upstream of MLS 13-A (RTU 408) and Lift Station 36-A (RTU 241). The deficient gravity portions (1,350 and 1,250 linear feet, respectively) connect 54,390 linear feet (10.3 miles) and 6,770 linear feet (1.28 miles) of force main, respectively, to MLS 13-A (RTU 408) and Lift Station 36-A. These deficiencies are observed mostly during wet weather conditions.

Lift stations where one or more of the performance criteria such as pump cycles, pump capacity and wet well storage capacity are currently not met were identified in model simulations. Deficiencies of a nature that result in a higher operating cost to the County are considered major. As such, the pump activity criterion (greater than five pump start/stop cycles per hour) is given more relevance and has been represented in maps. Efforts to resolve sustained deficiencies are proposed in this Master Plan.

FUTURE SCENARIOS

Future scenarios were evaluated for planning years 2020, 2025, 2035, planned development, and build-out in order to assess the performance of the existing and future infrastructure under increased wastewater loads.

Improvements included in the 2020 scenario were limited to projects currently under design or construction or in the County's current 5-year CIP (FY 2015-2019), including:

- MLS #5 (RTU 071) Force Main Rehabilitation
- 1-M Master Lift Station (RTU 203) Force Main Rehabilitation
- 1-D Master Lift Station (RTU 273) Force Main Rehabilitation
- 12-A Master Lift Station (RTU 139) Force Main Rehabilitation
- 13-A Master Lift Station (RTU 408) Force Main Rehabilitation
- 27-A Master Lift Station (RTU 138) Force Main Rehabilitation
- Force Main 27A 53rd Avenue West from 43rd Street West to 75th Street West
- Lift Station 18-M (RTU 116) Rehabilitation
- Lift Station 17-A (RTU 404) Force Main Reroute and Rehabilitation
- Fiddler's Green Lift Station (RTU 250) Pumps Replacement
- Lift Station 23-A (RTU 410) Force Main Rehabilitation
- 51st Street Gravity Main Sewer Replacement
- Lift Station 31-A (RTU 126) Force Main Renewal
- Spanish Park Lift Station (RTU 213) Force Main Renewal
- Windmill Village Lift Station (RTU 405) Force Main Renewal

Because the MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main extension is anticipated to be partially funded by developers, it was also assumed to be completed by 2020. An upsized SWWRF headworks influent force main was included in the model by 2020 so that the pipe current diameter would not be hydraulically limiting for the collection system evaluation. Upgrades to this influent line will be included in a future headworks project planned by the County (expected to be completed by 2018). The future pipe diameter should be evaluated as part of that project.

In addition to the projects listed above, the following new developments and associated infrastructure were brought online in 2020:

- Lake Flores (split into two new lift stations: F300 and F301)
- Longbar Pointe (Lift Station F302)
- Peninsula Bay (Lift Station F303)
- Three vacant lots (served by Lift Station F305)
- Palma Sola Grande (Included with Lift Station 19-D (RTU 217))
- 43rd Terrace (Included with MLS 1-M (RTU 203))

The following infrastructure updates are included in the 2025 scenarios:

• The Bayshore Yacht Basin Lift Station (RTU 101) force main is upsized from 10-inch to 16-inch. The pumps were converted to VFDs and the wet well capacity was increased. A 16-foot by 16-foot square wet well was used in 2025 and beyond scenarios. Infrastructure sizing was based on detailed calculations provided by the County as the available calibration data for this lift station was not reliable. The County should install a temporary flowmeter to determine actual flows (average and wet weather) to confirm appropriate sizing of future infrastructure.

For simplicity, the future lift station was modeled in the same location as the existing lift station. The future 16-inch force main will be approximately 800 linear feet based on the new location (at the southeast corner of 26th Street West and South Radcliffe Place).

- The pumps at Lift Station RTUs 136, 457, 217, and 437 were upsized to prevent manhole overflows and/or surcharged gravity upstream of the lift station.
- Approximately 1,250 linear feet of 15-inch and 850 linear feet of 18-inch gravity main that connect 6,770 linear feet of force main to Lift Station 36-A (RTU 241) is upsized to 21-inch and 24-inch, respectively. This segment experiences a significant bottleneck when routing flow from other lift stations.

In addition to the improvements identified based on the 2105 and 2020 scenario evaluations, the following improvements are needed by 2025 due to the additional flows from the USF/Airport areas:

- A new pump design point was assigned at Lift Station 7-A (RTU 137) and the discharge force main was upsized from a 6-inch to an 8-inch diameter pipe.
- A new pump design point was assigned at the Crosley Estate Lift Station (RTU 149). This is required due to the new pumps at Lift Station 7-A (RTU 137)
- Upsize Lift Station 6-A (RTU 136) force main from an 8-inch to a 12-inch diameter.
- A new pump design point was assigned at Lift Station 9-A (RTU 436) and the force main was upsized from a 6-inch to a 12-inch diameter pipe. The new force main was also extended up to a manhole at the corner of Whitfield Avenue and Persimmon Place because the existing gravity main on 15th Street East and Idelwild Court does not have sufficient capacity for the additional flows. The wet well for this lift station was also shown to have limited storage capacity. Due to the additional flows expected from the USF/Airport areas, the County has identified this lift station wet well to be upsized. For the 2025 and beyond scenarios, a 12-foot diameter wet well was used. It is recommended that the County verify the actual influent flows (average and wet weather) at this lift station to confirm the appropriate size needed.

The following infrastructure improvements have been included in the 2035 scenarios:

- Upsize existing 8-inch gravity main upstream of MLS 1-M (RTU 203) with a 12-inch diameter pipe.
- Upsize existing 18-inch gravity main upstream of MLS 13-A (RTU 408) along 63rd Avenue East (from Pennsylvania Avenue to 5th Street Circle) with 24-inch pipe.
- The following improvements are required to meet additional flows from the USF/Airport areas:
 - New pumps at the Airport Industrial Park Lift Station (RTU 469).
 - Upsize the existing 14-inch force main along US 41 (from Magellan Drive to 69th Avenue West) with a 16-inch pipe.

Table ES.2 provides a summary of the infrastructure (force mains, gravity mains, and lift stations) added in each of the future scenarios. The inventory for 2020 includes FY 2015-2019 CIP projects as proposed after careful evaluation of current design plans by the County. Please refer to figures presented in Chapter 6 which show the infrastructure added in each future scenario and the sizing of future force mains.

Table ES.2 Summary Recommended Future Infrastructure Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County					
Force Main Diameter	2020 (Feet) ⁽¹⁾	2025 (Feet)	2035 (Feet)	Planned Development (Feet)	Build-Out (Feet)
4	5,523				21,944
6	1,598				1,492
8	11,987	1,615			
10					
12	845	7,962		8,296	2,171
14					
16	9,502	800			
18	4,776				
20	26,555		1,027		
24	11,447				
27	25,360				
30					
36					
42	6,870				
Total Force Main Length	104,463	10,377	1,027	8,296	25,607

Table ES.2 Summary Recommended Future Infrastructure Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County					
Force Main Diameter	2020 (Feet) ⁽¹⁾	2025 (Feet)	2035 (Feet)	Planned Development (Feet)	Build-Out (Feet)
Gravity Main Diameter	2020 (Feet)	2025 (Feet)	2035 (Feet)	Planned Development (Feet)	Build-Out (Feet)
10			948		
12			1,061		
21		1,247			
24		2,228	1,430		
Total Gravity Main Length	0	3,575	2,491	0	0
New Lift Stations	5	1	0	1	11
Existing Lift Stations with New Pumps	1	9	1	0	1

Notes:

(1) Includes FY 2015-2019 CIP projects as proposed after careful evaluation of current design plans by the County.

The results for the 2035 Wet Weather scenario are provided in Figure ES.2. As shown, there are a few force mains that experience a peak velocity of over 6 fps. These are either for a short period of time (less than 10 percent of total simulation period) or are most likely caused by potential inaccuracies of the pump curve (pumps not operating on their curve).

There is only one force main coming online by the planned development scenario (to serve planned developments beyond 2035). Given the growth of Longbar Pointe by the planned development scenario, a 12-inch diameter force main will be required. It is the County's preference to add a new 12-inch parallel pipe with the full carrying capacity in order to change the use of the existing 8-inch force main (currently on El Conquistador Parkway and 53rd Avenue West) from sanitary sewer to reclaimed water service. Infrastructure added at build-out is to serve future growth. All build-out infrastructure was sized to meet the performance criteria presented in Chapter 3.



SWWRF CAPACITY AND PROJECTED WASTEWATER FLOW

The SWWRF is currently permitted for 15.0 mgd based on three month rolling average daily flow (3MRADF). The average ratio between the County's annual average daily flow (AADF) and the 3MRADF was determined to be essentially one in the LOS Evaluation project (Carollo, 2015). Table ES.3 summarizes the projected flows based on LOS and historical peaking factors, as described in Chapter 3. The model simulated LOS and maximum wet weather flows are also shown. Both the projected AADF and the simulated LOS flows show that the SWWRF capacity would have been exceeded by 2015.

Figure 6.18 in Chapter 6 shows a comparison of the SWWRF permitted capacity with flows projected using the strict interpretation of the LOS, the simulated LOS flows, and projections using the actual per capita wastewater generation factor (84.75 gpcd, calculated during model calibration). If current and future system loads are calculated using the actual per capita factor, the SWWRF would not be expected to reach capacity until after the end of this Master Plan period (2035). It is recommended that the County continue to monitor the actual per capita factor, perform periodic reviews of the expected LOS, and update projections and wet weather model simulations accordingly.

Table ES.3 S	Summary of Projected and Model Simulated Flows Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County					
	Projected Flows (mgd) ⁽¹⁾			Avera Fl	age Simulated ows (mgd)	
Year	AADF	Maximum Month ⁽²⁾	Maximum Day ⁽³⁾	LOS	Maximum Wet Weather (4)	Simulated Peaking Factor ⁽⁵⁾
2015	15.2	19.9	39.9	15.9	40.6	2.55
2020	15.8	20.7	41.3	16.5	44.9	2.72
2025	16.5	21.6	43.1	16.8	45.5	2.71
2035	17.7	23.2	46.4	18.1	47.0	2.60
Planned Development	19.3	25.2	50.5	19.6	47.7	2.43
Build-Out	21.9	28.7	(6)	22.4	51.0	2.28

Notes:

(1) Based on TAZ populations and LOS per capita for the Southwest Service Area, plus average daily flow from the Town of Longboat Key (which was assumed constant throughout the planning period) and average daily flows from the USF/Airport areas.

(2) Based on the County's Peaking Factor of 1.31 per County Policy 9.1.3.1.

(3) Based on 5-year maximum historical maximum day peaking factor (2.62).

(4) Based on sanitary loads and day of maximum flow from September 2013 3-day storm event.

(5) Calculated by dividing maximum day wet weather flow by the average LOS flow.

(6) Maximum day peaking factor of 2.62 is not anticipated at build-out due to the increased population density (persons/acre) as described in Table 6.10 in Chapter 6.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The CIP provides an estimate of the planning level costs associated with the improvements recommended through the 20-year (2035) planning period. The cost estimates presented in this Master Plan are considered Class 4 accuracy level (within +50 percent to -30 percent) unless otherwise noted.

Table ES.4 presents a list of recommended wastewater infrastructure improvements to allow the existing collection system to meet the selected performance criteria. Recommended changes to current FY 2015-2019 force main CIP projects are shown in Table ES.5, along with an estimated associated budget savings. New recommended projects are anticipated to come online by 2025 (unless otherwise noted) based on the amount of time it takes to budget in a new CIP cycle, plan, design, procure, and construct a CIP project. Projects proposed as part of this Master Plan were further classified for completion by either 2025 or 2035 depending on the priority based on relative risk to the collection system. Because the MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main extension will be partially funded by developers, it was assumed to be completed by 2020.

The locations of the recommended projects are shown in Figure ES.3 with the corresponding project numbers identified. Table ES.6 provides a summary of the total 20-year CIP, including existing CIP projects and recommended master plan projects.



Table ES.4	Master Plan Recommended Infrastructure Improvements
	Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update
	Manatee County

Master	Plan	Project
--------	------	---------

Lift Station Evaluation	on/Replacement Projects

Project ID	Description	Recommended Year Online						
SW-1	Bayshore Yacht Basin Lift Station relocation and upgrades. Project includes new building, new pumps with variable frequency drives, 16-foot square wet well, and 800 LF of 16-inch force main ⁽¹⁾	2025						
SW-2	Upsize Lift Station 9-A (RTU 436) force main (approximately 6,060 feet) to 12-inch pipe, replace the pumps (40 hp), and evaluate and upsize wet well ⁽²⁾	2025						
Subtotal Lift Station	Subtotal Lift Stations							

Pipeline Projects

Project ID	Description	Diameter (inch)	Length (feet)	Recommended Year Online
SW-3	Extend MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main along Cortez Road to MLS 1-M (RTU 203) ⁽³⁾	20	10,113	2020
SW-4	Upsize force main connecting Lift Stations 2-A, 1-A, and 16-A (RTUs 439, 135, and 440) to MLS 12-A	20	965	2025
SW-5	Upsize Lift Station 7-A (RTU 137) force main	8	1,615	2025
SW-6	Upsize Lift Station 6-A (RTU 136) force main	12	1,902	2025
SW-7	Upsize MLS 36-A (RTU 241) influent gravity main	21	1,247	2025
		24	850	2025
SW-8	Upsize MLS 13-A (RTU 408) Influent Gravity Main	24	1,350	2035
SW-9	Upsize Force Main on US 41 (from Magellan Drive to 69th Avenue West)	16	1,027	2035
SW-10	SW-10 Upsize the existing 8-inch gravity main upstream of MLS 1-M (RTU 203) on Palma Sola Boulevard.		1,061	2035
Subtotal Pipeline	Projects			
Pump Replaceme	nt Projects			
		Horse-power		Recommended Year

Project ID	Description	Horse-power (hp)	Number of Pumps	Recommended Year Online
SW-11	Replace pumps at the following lift station RTUs to prevent manhole overflows and surcharging in upstream gravity system:		2 (each)	2025
	136	15		
	457	10		

Project Cost Estimate (\$	5M)
Total Project Cost	
	\$3.50
	\$2.26
	\$5.76
Total Projec	t Cost
	\$5.05
	\$0.48
	\$0.32
	\$0.57
	\$1.11
	\$0.81
	\$0.41
	\$0.32
	\$9.07
<u>_</u>	
Total Project Cost	
	\$0.04 \$0.04

	Master Plan Project				Project Cost Estimate (\$
Station Evalua	ation/Replacement Projects				
Project ID	Description	Recommended Year Online	Total Project Cost		
SW-12	Replace pumps at the following lift station RTUs to prevent surcharging in upstream gravity system:	45	2 (each)	2025	
	437	15 5			
SW-13	Replace pumps at the following lift station RTUs to provide additional capacity for USF/Airport flows:		2 (each)	2025	
137 149	137	5			
	149	2			
SW-14	Replace pumps at the following lift station RTUs to meet firm pump capacity under future LOS conditions ⁽⁴⁾ :		2 (each)	2025	
	108	15			
	116	20			
	141	15			
	258	2			
	319	15			
	342	30			
SW-15	Replace pumps at Airport Industrial Park lift station (RTU 469)	2	2	2035	
			Subtotal	Pump Replacement Projects	
				Total	

Table ES.5 Recommended Changes to FY 2015-2019 CIP Projects

	FY 2015-2019 CIP Project			As Proposed in Master Plan					
Project ID	Description	FY 2015-2019 CIP Cost (\$M)	Diameter (inch)	Length (feet)	Estimated Savings ⁽¹⁾ (%)	Entire Project Length ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Percent of Project Changed ⁽³⁾ (%)	Savings with Respect to FY 2015-2019 CIP Cost (\$M)	
WW00974	MLS #5 (RTU 071) Force Main Replacement		16	8,781	30.4%	13,557	100%		
		¢4.47	18	3,465				¢4.0	
		\$4.17	18	1,311				\$1.2	
WW00975	MLS 12-A (RTU 139) Force Main Replacement - First Segment Only	\$4.50	20	3,393	16.7%	10,297	33%	\$0.2	
6035781	MLS 1-D (RTU 237) Force Main Replacement		20	4,637	22.6%	12,150	100%		
		\$3.00	20	7,513				\$0.68	
6085780	Extension of MLS 1-D (RTU 237) Force Main, part of the 1-M MLS (RTU 203) Force Main CIP Description		20	205	37.6%	16,730	13.5%		
			20	694				A	
		\$2.72	24	1,364				\$0.14	
WW00976	MLS 13-A (RTU 408) Force Main Replacement - Second Segment Only	\$5.28	27	304	25.0%	13,255	2.3%	\$0.03	
WW01037	Lift Station 23-A (RTU 410) Force Main Replacement	\$0.33	8	1,385	-33.7% ⁽⁴⁾	1,385	100%	(\$0.11) ^{(/}	
Total Savings due	to Recommended Changes to FY 2015-2019 CIP								

With respect to projected CIP costs of the segment proposed for change.
 Scaled length of segments included in the original CIP description from model.
 Based on length only.
 Additional expenditures instead of savings. Proposed change includes upsize of the CIP project as planned.

		Fiscal Year ⁽¹⁾										
Description	Service Area	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026 - 2035
Existing CIP Projects ⁽²⁾	North	\$5.42	-	\$0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Southeast	\$1.12	\$2.62	\$5.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Southwest	\$22.49	\$1.55	\$1.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	County-wide ⁽³⁾	-	\$2.50	\$3.00	\$5.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$20.00
	Subtotal	\$29.02	\$6.67	\$9.57	\$5.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$20.00
Savings to CIP Projects Due to Evaluations in Master Plan Updates	Southeast	-	\$ (0.81)	\$(3.24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Southwest	\$(2.25)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Subtotal	\$(2.25)	\$(0.81)	\$(3.24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Recommended Master Plan Projects	North	-	-	-	-	\$1.15	\$1.17	\$0.95	\$0.54	\$0.54	\$0.64	-
	Southeast	\$0.17	\$0.17	\$0.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Southwest	-	-	-	-	\$5.05	\$2.77	\$3.07	\$1.50	-	\$1.35	\$1.57
	Subtotal	\$2.01	\$1.50	\$1.68	\$0.14	\$6.20	\$4.23	\$4.31	\$2.04	\$0.54	\$1.99	\$1.57
Existing CIP and Recommended Projects - Total ⁽⁴⁾		\$28.78	\$7.36	\$8.01	\$5.14	\$8.20	\$6.23	\$6.31	\$4.04	\$2.54	\$3.99	\$21.57

Notes:

(1) Costs shown in \$M.

 (2) Includes Collections, Restoration & Rehab, and Transportation-related projects from the adopted FY 2015-2019 CIP. Does not include MARS or Treatment projects.
 (3) AMP recommended projects are assumed to be completed using funds from the End of Service Life CIP (which is included in the existing County-wide CIP projects). A budget amount of \$2 M per year was assumed for fiscal years 2021 through 2035.

(4) Includes cost savings for the Southeast and Southwest Service Areas.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The Southwest WWCS Master Plan provides recommendations to allow the wastewater collection system to meet the County's selected performance criteria and to provide wastewater service as future growth occurs within the County.

The primary wastewater collection system issues the County will need to address in the near future are the hydraulic challenges associated with master lift station discharge force mains, the upgrade of the force mains connecting upstream of the SWWRF influent pipe, and the capacity limitation in the 24-inch gravity pipe upstream of MLS 1-M (RTU 203). The County was already aware of the capacity limitations in the force mains stated above and has CIP projects in place to alleviate them (see Appendix I). A new project to extend the MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main all the way to MLS 1-M (RTU 203) to divert a large portion of flow from the 24-inch gravity main on Cortez Road was identified in this Master Plan as a high priority project.

Other issues identified in the Southwest WWCS included force mains with limited capacity (velocities higher than 6 fps for long durations), lift stations with firm capacity exceeded during LOS conditions, and localized surcharges and/or overflows in the gravity system due to capacity constraints. These issues were gradually solved between planning years 2025 and 2035 with several infrastructure improvement projects. Improvements are also required due to the additional flows expected from the USF/Airport areas.

Although the WWCS Master Plan presents planning scenarios based on best available information, the County should continue to update the land use plan, hydraulic model, and Master Plan as new developments, land use changes, or additional information becomes available. In addition, the County should continue their efforts in identifying infrastructure prone to inflow and infiltration (I&I) and planning for the repair and/or replacement of aging infrastructure.

INTRODUCTION

Manatee County (County) requested that Carollo Engineers, Inc. (Carollo) prepare an update to its Wastewater Collection System (WWCS) Master Plan for each of its three service areas (North, Southeast, and Southwest). The purpose of this project is to define anticipated future population growth and wastewater flow projections, complete and document updates to the County's Southwest Service Area hydraulic model, provide future infrastructure recommendations to accommodate projected flows, and develop a 20-year capital improvements program (CIP). This chapter presents a brief background of the Southwest Service Area WWCS, objectives of the Master Plan project, and a description of all report chapters.

1.1 BACKGROUND

Manatee County is located on the west coast of Florida and is part of the Bradenton-Sarasota-Venice Metropolitan Statistical Area. Based on information from the US Census Bureau, the total County area is approximately 893 square miles, of which 741 square miles are land and 152 square miles are water. The County's collection system is separated into three service areas, each with their own collection system and water reclamation facility (WRF): North, Southeast, and Southwest. Figure 1.1 shows the location of Manatee County and the three service area boundaries. Currently, the County provides wastewater services to a population of approximately 258,967 (not including those served by septic tanks), 44.6 percent of which reside within the Southwest Service Area. The population within the Southwest Service Area is projected to grow by 16.6 percent by 2035 (see Table 3.1).

The majority of the County's original wastewater collection system was constructed in multiple phases between 1974 and 1978. Approximately 56.9 percent of the force mains in the Southwest Service Area were installed between 1970 and 1989. The County provides wastewater collection services to most of the developed areas within the County, including the Cities of Bradenton Beach, and Anna Maria and the Town of Longboat Key (excluding the Cities of Bradenton and Palmetto), including residential, commercial, and industrial users. Although they don't provide wastewater collection services for the Town of Longboat Key, the County receives flow from the Town (via a 20-inch force main owned and operated by the Town) and treats it at the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility (SWWRF).

Wastewater in the County is collected by relatively small diameter gravity mains and is transported by gravity flow to 591 County-owned lift stations and 367 privately-owned lift stations. The County maintains just over 80 miles of force mains up to 48 inches and over 350 miles of gravity sewers up to 36 inches in the Southwest Service Area.

In the Southwest Service Area, a majority of the wastewater flow is conveyed to the SWWRF via one of five master lift stations MLSs: 12-A, 13-A, 27-A, 1-D, and 1-M. An additional MLS (#5) conveys flow from Anna Maria Island to MLS 1-M on the mainland.



1.2 PREVIOUS MASTER PLAN

The County's previous Southwest WWCS Master Plan was completed in 2006 by McKim & Creed. The 2006 Master Plan identified several pump replacements required at the time the report was released, some of which are already in progress or planned to be complete by 2020. Some others have also been identified as needs in this Master Plan. The recommended infrastructure and improvements from the previous master plan were evaluated to determine if these projects are still required and sized properly with respect to the updated population projections.

1.3 OBJECTIVES

The purpose of this Master Plan Update is to identify capacity deficiencies in the existing wastewater collection system, develop feasible alternatives to correct these deficiencies, and plan the infrastructure that will serve future developments. The objectives of the Southwest County WWCS Master Plan Update are to:

- 1. Update existing infrastructure in the hydraulic model.
- 2. Develop wastewater flow projections for use in the hydraulic models.
- 3. Conduct field testing to calibrate the model to represent existing conditions, as of April, 2015.
- 4. Provide the County the Southwest Service Area hydraulic model.
- 5. Select performance criteria used to evaluate the adequacy of the wastewater collection system infrastructure. Complete an assessment of the capacity of the collection system relative to current and future flows.
- 6. Develop land use maps to reflect the projected population growth in the Southwest Service Area.
- 7. Develop projects for future wastewater infrastructure based on planned developments, projected populations, and wastewater flows.
- 8. Develop a 5-year, 10-year, and 20-year CIP for recommended wastewater infrastructure improvements.
- 9. Prepare a CIP with cost estimates for the infrastructure recommended through 2035, with a detailed implementation schedule for the first five years.
- 10. Compile project data and analyses into a comprehensive Master Plan Update report.

1.4 SUMMARY OF REPORT CHAPTERS

The Southwest County WWCS Master Plan Update report contains eight chapters, followed by appendices that provide supporting documentation for the information presented in the report. A summary of the content of each chapter is provided below:

<u>Chapter 1 - Introduction</u>: Description of project background, objectives, acknowledgements, and project references.

<u>Chapter 2 - Study Area:</u> Provides background information on local climate, topography, inflow and infiltration, and the existing Southwest Service Area collection system.

<u>Chapter 3 - Planning Framework:</u> Description of the methodology used to determine wastewater peaking factors, diurnal flow patterns, flow projections, and the performance criteria used to evaluate existing and future infrastructure.

<u>Chapter 4 - Wastewater Model Development and Calibration:</u> Description of the Southwest Service Area wastewater model development, including data input into the model, and calibration methodology and results.

<u>Chapter 5 - Existing (2015) Scenario Evaluation:</u> Modeling evaluation and results for the existing collection system, including identification of existing wastewater collection system deficiencies and recommendations for infrastructure improvements.

<u>Chapter 6 - Future Scenario Evaluations:</u> Modeling evaluation and results for the future collection system, including identification of deficiencies and recommendations for infrastructure needed at 5-year (2020), 10-year (2025), 20-year (2035), planned development, and ultimate build-out conditions.

<u>Chapter 7 - Capital Improvements Plan:</u> Description of methodology for cost estimates and a summary of recommended CIP projects.

<u>Chapter 8 - Conclusions and Recommendations:</u> Summary of wastewater system improvements and CIP projects.

1.5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Carollo Engineers wishes to thank County staff involved in this project, including Jeff Goodwin, Sia Mollanazar, Scott May, Dave Branning, Anthony Benitez, Nick Wagner, Rob Shankle, Ralph Braun, Martin Rafferty, Bill Elmore, Jeff Blosser, Mark Simpson, John Osborne, and all others that provided assistance in collecting data and providing input throughout the project. County staff was instrumental in completing this project.

1.6 **REFERENCES**

The following documents were referenced in the preparation of this Master Plan Update:

Carollo Engineers, Inc. June 2015. Manatee County Level of Service Evaluation.

- Carollo Engineers, Inc. December 2014. SWWRF Collection System and Rubonia Area Inflow and Infiltration Study.
- Carollo Engineers, Inc. October 2014. Forcemain and Valve Asset Management Program.
- Florida Department of Transportation. August 2001. Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curve.
- Health Educations Services Division. 2004 Edition. Recommended Standards for Wastewater Facilities "(Ten State Standards)".
- Manatee County Building and Development Services Department. February 2015. Population Projections.
- Manatee County Public Works Department. May 2011. Public Works Utility Standards.
- Manatee County. Manatee County Comprehensive Plan (Complete Through Supplement #21)
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office of Hydrology (HYDRO), 1977. Technical Memorandum No. 35 (HYDRO-35).
- Renaissance Planning Group. May 2006. Manatee County Carrying Capacity Study.
- U.S. Weather Bureau. 1961. Technical Paper No. 40 (TP-40).

STUDY AREA

Chapter 2 presents the climate and topography in Manatee County, background information on inflow and infiltration, and an overview of the Southwest Service Area collection system.

2.1 CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY

Manatee County is located in the western central part of Florida, along the Gulf of Mexico. The terrain in Manatee County is mostly flat, with an average elevation of 49.76 feet above mean sea level. The Southwest Service Area has an average elevation of 8.59 feet above mean sea level. Figure 2.1 illustrates the general topography of the North, Southeast, and Southwest Service Areas.

The climate in Manatee County is characterized by mild, dry winters, and hot, wet summers. Table 2.1 summarizes the maximum and minimum monthly temperatures as well as the average monthly precipitation. Approximately 60 percent of the annual rainfall occurs between June and September.

Table 2.1 Manatee County Climate Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County								
Month	Average Maximum Temperature (°F)	Average Minimum Temperature (°F)	Average Monthly Rainfall (inches)					
January	71.5	49.8	2.7					
February	73.9	52.3	2.7					
March	77.8	56.1	3.7					
April	82.2	60.1	2.4					
Мау	87.8	66.4	2.5					
June	90.2	72.0	7.9					
July	90.8	73.5	8.0					
August	91.0	73.9	8.4					
September	89.4	72.4	7.7					
October	85.0	66.0	2.7					
November	78.7	58.3	2.2					
December	73.2	52.4	2.5					
Annual	82.6	62.8	53.4					
General Note: Source: http://www.usa.com/manatee-county-fl-weather.htm								



2.2 INFLOW AND INFILTRATION

All wastewater collection systems experience inflow and infiltration (I&I), although the characteristics and severity vary by region and individual collection systems. Some of the most common sources of I&I are shown on Figure 2.2. Infiltration is defined as groundwater (groundwater infiltration) or storm water flows (trench infiltration) that enter the sewer system by percolating through the soil and then through defects in pipelines, manholes, and joints. Examples of infiltration entry points are cracks in pipelines, misaligned joints, and root penetration. Inflow is defined as storm water that enters the sewer system via storm drain cross connections, leaky manhole covers, cleanouts, or illegal storm drain connections.

I&I entering the sewer system increases both the flow volume and peak flows, as illustrated in Figure 2.3. If too much I&I enters the sewer system such that the sewer system is operating at or above its capacity, sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) could occur. Although both inflow and infiltration are expected to contribute to peak flows after a rainfall event, infiltration is difficult to quantify and was not specifically evaluated for this report. Each of the wastewater flow components shown in Figure 2.3 were not evaluated individually. Chapter 3 discusses the total flows (dry and wet weather) that were evaluated for this Master Plan Update.

Carollo performed an I&I Study in 2014 that evaluated the Southwest Service Area and the Rubonia area of the North Service Area. Due to a higher percentage of older clay pipes, its proximity to the coast, and low elevation of infrastructure, the Southwest Service Area experiences, on average, moderate to high I&I. More detailed results of a wet weather analysis, including inflow percentages, are presented in Chapter 3.

2.3 EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE

The County's wastewater collection system in the Southwest Service Area consists of gravity sewers, lift stations, and associated force mains that collect and convey flow to the SWWRF, which is located at 5101 65th Street West, Bradenton, Florida.



SOURCES OF INFLOW AND INFILTRATION

FIGURE 2.2

MANATEE COUNTY SOUTHWEST WWCS MASTER PLAN UPDATE




Figure 2.4 shows the existing collection system in the Southwest Service Area. The existing infrastructure within the Southwest Service Area and the entire County is summarized in Table 2.2. The infrastructure in the Southwest Service Area includes 211 County-owned lift stations, approximately 81 miles of force main, 357 valves, 7,495 manholes, and more than 350 miles of gravity pipe.

Table 2.3 lists the installation year of force mains for each service area. Approximately 41 percent of the force mains in the Southwest Service Area were installed prior to 1980. Table 2.4 and Table 2.5 summarize the existing gravity pipes and force mains by diameter and material, respectively. Approximately 61 percent of the force mains and 87 percent of the gravity collection pipes in the Southwest Service Area are 8 inches in diameter or smaller. The force mains in the Southwest Service Area reach up to 42 inches in diameter and the gravity pipes reach up to 36 inches in diameter. Less than 20 percent of the gravity pipes in the Southwest Service Area are polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and approximately 69 percent are vitrified clay (VCP) material.

Table 2.2 Summary of Existing Infrastructure ⁽¹⁾ Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County										
	Percentage of Total									
Master Lift Stations	6	15	40.0%							
Lift Stations	205	576	35.6%							
Manholes	7,495	17,904	41.9%							
System Valves ⁽²⁾	238	1,602	14.9%							
Control Valves ⁽³⁾	119	785	15.2%							
Gravity Main (miles)	360.7	821.4	43.9%							
Force Main (miles)	80.8	350.3	23.1%							

Notes:

(1) Based on GIS database as of January 2015. Does not include private or abandoned infrastructure.

(2) System valves in the GIS represent isolation valves.

(3) Control valves in the GIS represent air release valves (ARVs).



Table 2.3 Force Main Installation Dates Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County									
	Length of Pipe (miles) (Percent of Service Area Total)								
Year Installed	North	Southeast	Southwest	Total					
Prior to 1980	2.1	0.8	32.8	35.7					
	(2%)	(0.5%)	(40.6%)	(10.2%)					
1980-1989	29	37.1	13.3	79.3					
	(26.7%)	(23%)	(16.4%)	(22.6%)					
1990-1999	18.3	38.8	9.4	66.5					
	(16.9%)	(24.1%)	(11.6%)	(19.0%)					
2000-2009	53.6	73.7	20.9	148.2					
	(49.5%)	(45.7%)	(25.9%)	(42.3%)					
2010-2015	3.9	8.5	2.6	15					
	(3.6%)	(5.2%)	(3.3%)	(4.3%)					
Unknown	1.4	2.3	1.8	5.5					
	(1.3%)	(1.4%)	(2.2%)	(1.6%)					
Total	108.3	161.2	80.8	350.3					

infrastructure.

Table 2.4Gravity Main and Force Main Diameters Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County										
Gravity Main Force Main										
Diameter (inches)	Length in Southwest Service Area (miles)	Percent by Length	Length in Southwest Service Area (miles)	Percent by Length						
4 and Less	1.5	0.4%	15.1	18.7%						
6	4.5	1.3%	22.5	27.8%						
8	306.7	85.1%	11.6	14.4%						
10	17.5	4.9%	3.4	4.2%						
12	9.1	2.5%	5.6	6.9%						
14	0	0.4%	1.5	1.8%						
15	9.6	2.7%	0.0	0.0%						
16	0.1	0.0%	3.3	4.1%						
18	4.3	1.2%	3.1	3.9%						
20	0.0	0.0%	6.0	7.4%						
21	0.6	0.2%	0.0	0.0%						
24	2.4	0.7%	5.1	6.3%						
27	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%						
30	2.3	0.6%	2.8	3.5%						
Greater than 30	1.7	0.5%	0.9	1.1%						
Total	360.5	100%	80.8	100%						
General Note:										

Based on GIS database as of January 2015. Does not include private or abandoned infrastructure.

Table 2.5Gravity Main and Force Main Material Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County										
	Forc	orce Main								
Material	Length in Southwest Service Area (miles)	Percent by Length	Length in Southwest Service Area (miles)	Percent by Length						
Cast Iron	1.7	0.5%	11.3	13.9%						
Ductile Iron	4.3	1.2%	14.5	17.9%						
HDPE	0.2	0.1%	7.5	9.3%						
PVC	61.6	17.1%	34.9	43.1%						
VCP	249.1	69.1%	0.0	0.0%						
Unknown or Other	43.8	12.1%	12.7	15.7%						
Total	360.6	100%	80.8	100%						
General Note:										

Based on GIS database as of January 2015. Does not include private or abandoned infrastructure.

PLANNING AND PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

3.1 BACKGROUND

Chapter 3 presents the population and flow data used for updating the County's wastewater collection system hydraulic model and developing the Southwest County Wastewater Collection System (WWCS) Master Plan Update. It outlines the planning framework used as the basis for load (flow) inputs into the model. It also presents the performance criteria used to evaluate the system and recommend future projects.

The remainder of the chapter is divided into the following sections:

<u>Section 3.2 – Population Projections:</u> Provides a summary of population projections for each wastewater service area and the current planned residential developments for the Southwest Service Area.

<u>Section 3.3 – Historical Wastewater Flows:</u> Provides a summary of the County's historical wastewater flow data, peaking factors, historical rainfall data, and an inflow analysis.

<u>Section 3.4 – Diurnal Curves:</u> Summarizes typical diurnal curves of sanitary flows for the Southwest Service Area collection system based on recent derived flow data from SCADA.

<u>Section 3.5 – Projected Wastewater Flows:</u> Describes how future flow projections were calculated based on future population, land use, and historical per capita flows.

<u>Section 3.6 – Performance Criteria:</u> Defines criteria, or standards of measurement, for evaluating the performance and design of the County's wastewater collection system.

<u>Section 3.7 – Planning Framework Summary:</u> Provides a summary of wastewater flows, population, and performance criteria used as the basis for developing the model and Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update.

3.2 POPULATION PROJECTIONS

This section describes the County's population projections and planned developments proposed by developers, along with the methodology used for distributing the existing and future population in the model. The methodology for calculating the projected build-out population for the Southwest Service Area is also summarized in this section.

3.2.1 County Population Projections

Population projections were provided by the County in the form of Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) GIS shapefiles. Population projections were provided in 5-year increments through 2040. Future scenarios to be included in the models and the Southwest WWCS Master

Plan Update are the 5-year (2020), 10-year (2025), and 20-year (2035) planning periods, planned development, and build-out. The planned development scenario, described further in Section 3.2.2, is an interim scenario between 2035 and build-out and includes the maximum population within all planned developments currently proposed by developers.

Table 3.1 summarizes the population projections provided by the County. These population projections include population served by private homeowner septic tanks. Figure 3.1 shows the Southwest Service Area boundary and the location of parcels served by septic tanks. Figure 3.2 shows the historical and projected population for each service area through 2035.

Table 3.1 Population Projections Provided By County Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County									
Year	North	Southeast	Southwest	Total					
2015	59,535	96,950	117,434	273,919					
2020	66,140	106,990	122,222	295,352					
	(11%)	(10%)	(4%)	(8%)					
2025	72,772	117,077	127,053	316,902					
	(10%)	(9%)	(4%)	(7%)					
2030	79,364	127,086	131,816	338,266					
	(9%)	(9%)	(4%)	(7%)					
2035	85,988	137,152	136,624	359,764					
	(8%)	(8%)	(4%)	(6%)					

General Notes:

Includes population served by septic tanks.

Percentages shown represent the percent increase in population as compared to the previous planning period.

The County's population data is broken down into residential, employment, school, and hotel populations for each TAZ area in 5-year increments. When distributing population in the model, each category was adjusted such that the total population reflects an equivalent residential population. Therefore, the total population (sum of residential, employment, school, and hotel) in the model is equivalent to the total TAZ residential population, while still accounting for flows associated with the other population categories.





3.2.2 Planned Developments

Population growth through 2035 was assumed to occur within known planned developments proposed by developers. The planned developments may be new (future) or an extension of an existing development. The County's GIS Concurrency and Future Development Applications shapefiles and a spreadsheet of the planned developments provided by County Public Works staff were used to delineate the new, future sewer shed boundaries. Table 3.2 lists the planned developments for the Southwest Service Area, as provided by the County. The County's three digit RTU number is used to identify existing lift station sewer sheds, and an "F" number is used to denote future sewer sheds within known proposed developments currently in the planning and/or design phase.

The County's planned developments were based on information received from various developers. The rate of growth within the planned developments, based on the number of dwelling units anticipated to be online as proposed by the developers, was higher than the total TAZ projections provided by the County. Therefore, the growth within the planned developments was scaled down to match the TAZ-based population projections through 2035. The populations shown in Table 3.2 represent the scaled populations used in the model. In addition to the populations shown in Table 3.2, the County is expecting growth in the University of South Florida (USF) Sarasota-Manatee campus and airport areas. These additional flows were added to the model on top of the projected flow based on population. This is discussed further in Section 3.5.

The maximum potential population within the planned developments is included in the planned development scenario, which assumes all developments are built to capacity (based on the maximum number of dwelling units as provided by the developer applications). It was assumed that all other undeveloped parcels would remain undeveloped for purposes of this planning period. Figure 3.3 shows the planned developments and their corresponding lift stations and sewer sheds. Figure 3.3 also shows existing sewer sheds that have future population growth.

Table 3.2 Planned Developments																				
Southwest WWCS Master Pla	an Update																			
Manatee County																				
	Planning Period																			
				Maximum Bu	uild-Out Potential		20)20		2	025			2	035			Planned	Development	
	Corresponding	Population			Equivalent Dwelling Units	Population in Existing (2015)	Population	EDU's	Population	EDU's	Cumulative Population	Cumulative EDU's	Population	EDU's	Cumulative Population	Cumulative EDU's	Population	EDU's	Cumulative Population	Cumulative EDU's Added
Planned Development Name	Sewer Shed RTU	Type ⁽¹⁾	Year Online	Population	(EDU's) ⁽²⁾	Scenario	Added	Added ⁽²⁾	Added	Added ⁽²⁾	Added	Added (2)	Added	Added ⁽²⁾	Added	Added ⁽²⁾	Added	Added ⁽²⁾	Added	(2)
Lake Flores 1	F300	RES	2020	7,866	3,361	0	1,089	465	1,191	509	2,280	974	2,820	1,205	5,100	2,179	2,767	1,182	7,867	3,362
Lake Flores 1	F300	EMP	2020	2,251	962	0	559	239	566	242	1,125	481	649	277	1,774	758	479	205	2,253	963
Lake Flores 2	F301	RES	2020	7,866	3,361	0	1,089	465	1,190	509	2,279	974	2,819	1,205	5,098	2,179	2,767	1,182	7,865	3,361
Lake Flores 2	F301	EMP	2020	2,251	962	0	558	238	566	242	1,124	480	648	277	1,772	757	478	204	2,250	962
Palma Sola Grande	217	RES	Existing	37	16	0	28	12	0	0	28	12	0	0	28	12	9	4	37	16
Vacant Lots	F305	RES	2020	378	162	0	105	45	180	77	285	122	0	0	285	122	94	40	379	162
43rd Terrace W	203	RES	Existing	70	30	14	35	15	16	7	51	22	0	0	51	22	5	2	70	30
Longbar Pointe	F302	RES	2020	7,481	3,197	0	830	355	563	241	1,393	595	1,240	530	2,633	1,125	4,848	2,072	7,481	3,197
Peninsula Bay - North side of Cortez Rd, (298 Acres)	F303	RES	2020	4,390	1,876	0	495	212	559	239	1,054	450	1,395	596	2,449	1,047	1,941	829	4,390	1,876
				-	Total Population	/EDUs Added	4,788	2,046	4,831	2,065	-	-	9,571	4,090	-	-	13,388	5,721	-	13,928
				Total Popula	tion in Previous Pla	anning Period	115,425	-	120,213	-	-	-	125,044	-	-	-	134,615	-	-	-
					Total Projecte	ed Population	120,213	-	125,044	-	-	-	134,615	-	-	-	148,003	-	-	-
Notes: (1) RES = Residential, EMP = Employmer (2) Based on 2.34 persons per EDU.	nt, SCH = School. Em	ployment and So	chool populatio	ins shown are ai	n equivalent resider	ntial populatio	on.													



3.2.3 WWCS Master Plan Population Projections

Because the TAZ population projections provided by the County include residents served by septic tanks, this population was adjusted prior to entering population into the model. This section discusses the methodology and assumptions used in calculating the future population and distributing it to each sewer shed (lift station area) in the model.

Table 3.3 lists the population for each service area used as the basis for the WWCS Master Plan Updates. The population projections from 2015 through the planned development scenario do not include the population served by septic tanks. The septic tank population was subtracted from the total populations presented in Table 3.1 using a septic parcel database provided by the County.

An estimated ultimate build-out population was calculated for each service area, which includes the population served by septic tanks. The methodology used to calculate the build-out population is presented in Section 3.2.3.2.

Table 3.3 Po Ta So M	Population Projections (Excluding Population Served by Septic Fanks) Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County									
Year	North	Southeast	Southwest	Total						
2015	53,115	90,427	115,425	258,967						
2020	59,720	100,467	120,213	280,400						
2025	66,352	110,554	125,044	301,950						
2030	72,944	120,563	129,807	323,314						
2035	79,568	130,629	134,615	344,812						
Planned Development ⁽¹⁾	136,766	171,498	148,003	456,267						
Build-Out ⁽²⁾	323,009	255,013	167,969	745,991						
Nataa	•	-	-	•						

The population projections listed in Table 3.3 was used as the basis of this WWCS Master Plan Update and to generate flow projections for wastewater collection system hydraulic model.

Notes:

(1) Assumes maximum population growth within planned developments.

(2) Includes population served by septic tanks and growth of all undeveloped parcels.

3.2.3.1 Population Distribution to Sewer Sheds in Hydraulic Model

Using County GIS data (pressurized main, gravity main, service lateral, and planned development shapefiles), the boundary for each existing and future County-owned lift station service area (sewer shed) was delineated. The existing and future population was

geographically distributed among the sewer sheds in order to determine the location and magnitude of wastewater flows.

The following assumptions were made when assigning population to the sewer sheds:

- Parcels that are already developed will not increase in population. A "developed" parcel is one that has a service lateral identified in GIS or that is along a street that has a gravity main. The existing (2015) population was distributed among the developed parcels within the existing sewer sheds.
- Undeveloped parcels include parcels that are not currently connected to the County's wastewater infrastructure or parcels that are connected but not yet developed (no residential homes or commercial buildings connected to sewer system).
- All future growth (population increase) through 2035 will occur in known planned developments (as provided by the County and shown in Table 3.2). Where available, actual design plans were used to add future infrastructure to the model.
- The planned development scenario includes the maximum growth of the planned developments, but does not include the population served by septic tanks or undeveloped parcels not located in a planned development.
- Build-out includes the septic tank population and undeveloped parcels not included in a planned development.
- Parcels that are currently served by septic tanks will remain on septic through the planned development scenario but will be connected to the County's sewer system for the build-out scenario.
- Individual sewer shed boundaries were created for each of the planned developments (termed "future" with an associated F number).
- Build-out sewer shed boundaries were created by encompassing large areas of undeveloped land and/or undeveloped parcels not included with an existing sewer shed or planned development (termed "build-out" with an associated BO number).

Figure 3.4 illustrates all sewer shed boundaries (existing, future (planned developments), and build-out) for the Southwest Service Area.



3.2.3.2 Build-Out Population Methodology

Build-out population projections were determined by analyzing the following types of parcels:

- 1. Parcels not currently developed and/or connected to the County's wastewater infrastructure and not included in a planned development
- 2. Large septic parcels (greater than one acre)
- 3. Small septic parcels (one acre and less)

For build-out, the large septic parcels and undeveloped parcels (collectively referred to as "build-out parcels") are assumed to be developed (or redeveloped) at 75 percent of the maximum allowable density, as described below. The small septic parcels are assumed to connect to the County's infrastructure at build-out as a single dwelling unit per parcel. The following information was used to estimate the build-out population within the service area:

- Future land use type
- Parcel area (acres)
- Maximum (gross) potential residential density (dwelling unit/acre)
- Factor of 0.75 to account for development to 75 percent of the allowable maximum density
- Population density (2.34 persons/dwelling unit)

The County's future land use GIS shapefile was used to assign the future land use type to the build-out parcels. The gross maximum potential residential density (dwelling units per acre, or du/acre) provided in the County's Comprehensive Plan was applied to each build-out parcel based on land use type. A factor of 0.75 was applied because it was assumed that the build-out parcels will only be developed (or redeveloped) to 75 percent of their maximum capacity (per input from the County's Building and Development Services Department).

Table 3.4 summarizes the County's land use types and maximum (gross) potential residential density used in determining the build-out population. An excerpt from the County's Comprehensive Plan outlining the future land use densities is provided in Appendix A. Figure 3.5 shows the future land use type for all parcels within the Southwest Service Area. Residential land use categories are combined into single-family (RES-6 and less) and multi-family (RES-9 and higher) for illustrative purposes in Figure 3.5.

Table 3.4Future Land Use CateSouthwest WWCS MaManatee County	egories Ister Plan Update
Future Land Use Category ^(1,2)	Maximum (Gross) Potential Residential Density (du/acre)
Agriculture/Rural (AG/R)	0.2
City (CITY) ⁽³⁾	0.5
Estate Rural (ER)	0.2
Residential-1 (RES-1)	1
Residential 3.0 (RES-3)	3
Urban Fringe 3.0 (UF-3)	3
Residential-6 (RES-6)	6
Residential-9 (RES-9)	9
Residential-12 (RES-12)	12
Residential-16 (RES-16)	16
Low Intensity Office (OL)	6
Retail/Office/Residential (ROR)	9
Industrial-Light (IL)	1
Mixed Use (MU)	9
Mixed Use Community (MU-C)	3
Notes: (1) From Manatee County's Comprehens	ive Plan (Supplement #21).

(2) Future land use categories with a zero net potential residential density (i.e. conservation lands, medium/heavy industrial) were not included in this table.

(3) This land use type was one of the land use types provided in GIS; however, it was not listed in the Comprehensive Plan. A density of 0.5 du/acre was assumed.

The total area of build-out parcels was calculated from GIS for each land use type and multiplied by the density to determine the number of potential future dwelling units. The County's historical population density of 2.34 persons per dwelling unit (provided by the County) was then applied to determine the maximum population. The total maximum population within the build-out parcels and the small septic parcels was added to the existing population to estimate the total build-out population. These projected populations were distributed among the existing, future, and build-out sewer sheds based on the location of the parcel.

Table 3.5 provides a breakdown of the build-out population, including maximum growth within the planned developments and undeveloped parcels, redevelopment of large septic parcels, and the connection of small septic parcels. The total area and resulting population for each future land use category is also shown for the undeveloped and large septic parcels.



Table 3.5 Build-Out Population Estimate Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County									
Wanatee	Undevelop	ed Parcels	Large Septic Parcels (> 1 acre) ⁽²⁾						
Land Use Category ⁽¹⁾	Area (Acres)	Population	Area (Acres)	Population					
AG/R	0.0	0	0.0	0					
CITY	157.5	0	0.0	0					
ER	0.0	0	0.0	0					
RES-1	336.2	590	66.7	117					
RES-3	98.5	519	76.0	400					
UF-3	0.0	0	0.0	0					
RES-6	374.4	3,942	55.6	585					
RES-9	222.9	3,521	10.1	160					
RES-12	0.0	0	0.0	0					
RES-16	141.2	3,965	3.7	104					
OL	14.1	148	4.5	47					
ROR	222.5	3,514	11.9	188					
IL	435	763	0.0	0					
MU	0.0	0	0.0	0					
MU-C	0.0	0	0.0	0					
Subtotal	2,002.3	17,101	228.5	1,601					
Projected 2035 Population		134	,615						
Build-Out Population of Small Septic Parcels (<1 acre) ⁽³⁾		1,2	264						
Added Population in Planned Development Scenario ⁽⁴⁾		13,388							
Total Build-Out		167	,969						
Population									
Notes: (1) Future land use catego lands, medium/heavy in (2) Septic parcels larger th	ries with a zero ne ndustrial) were not an one acre were	t potential resider included in this ta assumed to be ree	itial density (i.e. co ble. developed based c	nservation on future land					

- use type prior to connecting to the County's sewer network.(3) Based on one dwelling unit per parcel and 2.34 persons per dwelling unit.
- (4) Based on the difference between the total maximum population within the planned developments (based on maximum dwelling units from developers) and the total future population added through 2035.

3.3 HISTORICAL FLOWS

Historical water reclamation facility (WRF) influent flow data was analyzed to determine the average per capita wastewater flow generation and the maximum month and maximum day peaking factors in each service area. Historical wet weather was also analyzed in order to determine an appropriate design storm to model wet weather for the future scenarios.

3.3.1 Historical Wastewater Flows and Peaking Factors

Table 3.6 summarizes the historical flow from 2005 through 2014, peaking factors, and average flow per person for the Southwest Service Area. The maximum monthly and daily flows have been compared to the annual average flow to determine the maximum month and maximum day peaking factors, respectively.

Table 3.0	Table 3.6 Historical Wastewater Flows – Southwest Service Area Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County										
Year	Annual Average Flow (mgd)	Max. Month Flow (mgd)	Max. Month Peaking Factor	Max. Day Flow (mgd)	Max. Day Peaking Factor	Population ⁽¹⁾	Average Flow per Person (gpcd)				
2005	15.97	18.26	1.14	-	-	100,201	159.40				
2006	15.70	20.02	1.28	-	-	101,510	154.68				
2007	12.26	13.88	1.13	-	-	104,495	117.35				
2008	10.75	13.01	1.21	-	-	106,535	100.92				
2009	11.00	12.71	1.16	-	-	108,575	101.33				
2010	11.83	14.27	1.21	18.50	1.56	110,615	106.90				
2011	11.79	14.66	1.24	18.86	1.60	111,577	105.63				
2012	12.08	15.68	1.30	31.60	2.62	112,539	107.30				
2013	13.02	16.42	1.26	31.66	2.43	113,501	114.71				
2014	12.48	14.58	1.17	22.07	1.77	114,463	109.06				
10-Year Avg.	12.69	15.35	1.21	-	-	-	117.73				
5-Year Avg.	12.24	15.12	1.24	20.84	1.68	-	108.72				
10-Year Max	15.97	20.02	1.30	-	-	-	159.40				
5-Year Max	13.02	16.42	1.30	31.66	2.62	-	114.71				
Notes:											

(1) Population estimates provided by County Planning Department. Population includes the Town of Longboat Key.

The maximum month peaking factor ranged from 1.13 to 1.30 over the past 10 years, and the maximum day peaking factor ranged from 1.56 to 2.62 over the past five years. The average flow per person has decreased by approximately 32 percent between 2005 and 2014, from 159.4 gpcd to 109.1 gpcd. The 5-year average flow per person in the Southwest Service Area is approximately 109 gpcd. The Southwest Service Area is an older system and experiences more inflow and infiltration (I&I), which results in a higher maximum day peaking factor and average flow per person than the other two service areas.

The historical annual average flow from 2005 to 2014 is presented in Figure 3.6. The maximum month and maximum day peaking factors are shown in Figure 3.7

The County recently revised the level of service (LOS) for the North and Southeast Service Areas. Table 3.7 compares the historical five-year average flow per person to the previous and revised LOS values for each service area. As shown, the historical average flow per person for the North and Southeast Service Areas was far less than the previous LOS. The previous LOS values were very conservative and could have led to premature or unnecessary capital improvement projects. The revised LOS values for the North and Southeast more accurately represent the current conditions of the collection system. Since the LOS was not revised by the County for the Southwest Service Area, the previous LOS of 115 gpcd was used for projecting flows in the model scenarios (2015 through build-out).

Table 3.7Wastewater Level of Service (LOS) Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County										
Historical 5-Year Average Flow per PersonPrevious LOS (gpcd)Revised LOS(1) (gpcd)										
North	73.6	95	80							
Southeast	69.3	95	85							
Southwest	Southwest 108.7 ⁽²⁾ 115 115									
Notes:	Notes:									

(1) The County revised the LOS for the North and Southeast Service areas in 2015.

(2) Historical average per capita calculation includes Town of Longboat Key population.

3.3.2 **Historical Wet Weather Flows**

The purpose of analyzing historical wet weather events is to determine how the collection system reacts to rainfall. Depending on factors such as age, location, pipe material, and construction methods, different areas of the collection system may react differently to a particular rainfall event. For example, a low-lying area with older infrastructure may have significantly higher flows for an extended period following a rainfall event. Alternatively, flows may not increase as much in an area with a higher elevation and/or with newer infrastructure.





Because of this, some locations within the Southwest Service Area have been analyzed separately to see how each area reacted to historical rainfall events that occurred during a wet weather period. This section describes the methodology behind the determination of the wet weather period used to calibrate the hydraulic model.

Hourly flow and rainfall data from SCADA for the Southwest Water Reclamation Facility (SWWRF), SCADA-derived daily flow data at four master lift stations (1-M, 1-D, 13-A, and 27-A), and pump speed and pressure time series for MLS 12-A (from which flow patterns can be theoretically calculated) for September 23-25, 2013 was used to assess and calibrate wet weather flows for the Southwest Service Area. These data are shown in Table 3.8. Table 3.8 also includes the maximum daily rainfall for each location. It should be noted that the minimum, average, and maximum at master lift stations are from September 23-25, 2013, whereas the rainfall data is the total during the period of analysis (August 1, 2013 – September 30, 2013). The date of the maximum flow and maximum rainfall are also listed in Table 3.8.

Table 3.8 F S M	able 3.8 Flow and Rainfall Analysis Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County												
		Da	aily Flow (m	ngd) ⁽¹⁾	Maximum Day								
WRF/MLS	Rain Gauge Location	Min	Average	Max (Date)	(inches) (Date)								
SWWRF	SWWRF	16.43	22.68	31.66 (9/25/13)	2.35 (9/24/13)								
MLS 27-A (RTU 138)	MLS 27-A (RTU 138)	3.77	4.00	4.22 (9/25/13)	2.06 (9/25/13)								
MLS 12-A (RTU 139)	MLS 12-A (RTU 139)	1.72 ⁽³⁾	2.72 ⁽³⁾	3.28 ⁽³⁾ (9/24/13)	1.67 (9/24/13)								
MLS 1-M (RTU 203)	MLS 1-M (RTU 203)	3.07	3.98	4.90 (9/25/13)	2.54 (9/25/13)								
MLS 1-D (RTU 237)	MLS 1-D (RTU 237)	1.04	2.38	3.54 (9/25/13)	2.06 (9/25/13)								
MLS 13-A (RTU 408)	MLS 13-A (RTU 408)	3.89	4.21	4.84 (9/25/13)	1.90 (9/25/13)								

Notes:

(1) Based on SCADA and SCADA-derived flow data recorded for September 2013, unless noted otherwise.

(2) Maximum daily rainfall between 8/1/13 and 9/30/13.

(3) Based on pump speed and pressure time series for the week of September 22-28, 2013.

During the period analyzed, a large storm event occurred from September 23 to 25, 2013. In total, the storm amounted to 6.85 inches of rainfall at the SWWRF over the 3-day period. The maximum flow at the SWWRF and MLSs occurred during this storm event. Even though flows lower than the minimum daily shown in Table 3.8 (which occurred between the September 23 to 25, 2013 period) occurred in the August through September, 2013 period, no flows lower than the dry weather flows measured and/or recorded during the dry weather calibration period of April 2015, were used to calculate inflow volumes. Such values were deemed incorrect by both Carollo and the County, and therefore dismissed. The maximum daily flow related to the storm event of September 23-25, 2013 at the SWWRF corresponds to the highest daily flow seen by the SWWRF within the past five years (the 5-year max). The 5-year maximum day flows and peaking factors are shown in Table 3.6.

The maximum daily rainfall shown in Table 3.8 was compared to the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) curves (Appendix B) to determine the storm's severity. The FDOT IDF curve for Zone 6 (which includes Manatee County) has been used to determine 24-hour duration rainfall amounts for 2-, 5-, 10-, and 25-year storm events within the County. The FDOT IDF curves were developed using depth-duration-frequency data presented in the 1977 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office of Hydrology (HYDRO) Technical Memorandum No. 35 (HYDRO-35) and the 1961 U.S. Weather Bureau Technical Paper No. 40 (TP-40). HYDRO-35 and TP-40 include data from 2,100 National Weather Service (NWS) rain gauges. Precipitation amounts for storms with 5 to 60 minute durations were recorded at 200 rain gauge stations with an average 60-year period of record. Hourly data have been recorded at approximately 1,900 rain gauges since the 1940s. The predicted rainfall amounts for a 24-hour duration rainfall event, based on the IDF curves, and are presented in Table 3.9.

Table 3.9	Storm Event – 24-Hour Rainfall ⁽¹⁾ Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County				
Storm Event Period		Rainfall Amount (inches)			
2-year		5.76			
5-year		7.2			
10-year		8.52			
25-year		10.08			
Notes: (1) Based on 24-hour duration - FDOT IDF curve (2001)					

Based on the rainfall amounts in Table 3.9 and the maximum daily rainfall amount presented in Table 3.8, no 2-year or greater storm events (based on a 24-hour storm duration) occurred from August 2013 to September 2013. Only total daily rainfall data was available for this time period and therefore it is unknown how the rainfall was distributed

throughout the day. If the total daily rainfall occurred in a shorter amount of time (less than 24 hours), it is possible that a 2-year or greater storm event did occur during a shorter period time.

Because the peak daily rainfall from August to September 2013 did not qualify as a 2-year storm event, the wet weather analysis at the SWWRF was expanded to include June and July 2013, to determine if any major storm events occurred within the entire summer of 2013. Evaluation of this expanded period showed that a 5-year, 24-hour storm event (7.75 inches) occurred in the Southwest Service Area on July 1, 2013.

In order to determine the impact of the various storm events, the amount of inflow was calculated by comparing the dry weather flow to the total and wet weather flows. The dry weather flow is the wastewater flow without influence from rain. Flow from dry weather days was averaged to determine the base, dry weather sanitary flow. A dry weather day is one that has no rainfall and was preceded by two days with a combined rainfall less than 0.02 inches. Flow data from September 26 to 30, 2013 was not included in the dry weather flow calculation, due to suspected ground saturation following the September 23-25, 2013 storm event.

Frequent rainfall events throughout the summer can cause the water table to be elevated for extended periods of time. In some cases the water table can be at or near ground level. During these times, the flow may not return to its base, dry weather flow immediately after a rainfall event. The dry weather flows in June and July 2013 appeared to be falsely elevated due to the saturated ground (extended I&I). Therefore, the dry weather flows calculated for September 2013, which appeared to be more representative of the true dry weather flow, were applied to the entire June to September 2013 data. It is assumed that the base sanitary flow would be approximately equal from June through September, with little to no impact from variations in seasonal population.

The dry weather flows were compared to the average flow during September 2013 and over the entire 2013 summer (June to September 2013) to determine the average inflow. It has also been compared to the 3-day storm event (September 23-25, 2013) and the maximum 24-hour storm event (within the June to September 2013 period) to determine maximum inflow. Due to extended infiltration caused by saturated ground conditions, there were some instances in which the maximum flow occurred up to two days after the rainfall event. Even though this maximum flow occurred after the rainfall event, it was still used to determine the maximum inflow. A summary of the dry and wet weather flows for the Southwest Service Area, including percent inflow where available, are presented in Table 3.10.

Table 3.10 suggests that a large, 24-hour storm event results in less inflow than a series of smaller storm events occurring over a few days. As shown in Table 3.10, the Southwest Service Area experiences, on average, moderate inflow (13 to 18 percent). The largest 24-hour storm event at the SWWRF (7.75 inches) was associated with 40 percent inflow compared with 59 percent inflow following the three-day September storm event

(6.85 inches total with a 24-hour maximum of 2.35 inches). Infiltration is also expected to contribute to increased flows during and after a storm event due to saturated ground conditions, especially in coastal areas or where the pipes are below the water table. However, infiltration is difficult to quantify and has not been evaluated for this report.

Table 3.10	Summary of Wet and Dry Weather FlowsSouthwest WWCS Master Plan UpdateManatee County					
Location		Summer ⁽¹⁾	September 2013 ⁽²⁾	September Storm Event ⁽³⁾	24-Hour Storm Event ⁽⁴⁾	
SWWRF	Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁵⁾ (mgd)	12.97				
	Flow (mgd)	14.99	15.81	31.66	21.45 ⁽⁶⁾	
	Inflow (mgd)	2.02	2.83	18.69	8.84	
	Percent Inflow	13%	18%	59%	40% ⁽⁶⁾	
MLS 27-A	Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁷⁾ (mgd)	2.58				
	Flow (mgd)	NA	_(8)	4.0	NA	
	Inflow (mgd)	NA	_(8)	1.42	NA	
	Percent Inflow	NA	_(8)	35%	NA	
MLS 12-A	Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁷⁾ (mgd)	0.76				
	Flow (mgd)	NA	_(8)	2.72	NA	
	Inflow (mgd)	NA	_(8)	1.96	NA	
	Percent Inflow	NA	_(8)	72%	NA	
MLS 1-M	Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁷⁾ (mgd)	1.74				
	Flow (mgd)	NA	2.24	3.98	NA	
	Inflow (mgd)	NA	0.5	2.24	NA	
	Percent Inflow	NA	22%	56%	NA	

Table 3.10	Summary of Wet and Dry Weather Flows Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County					
Location		Summer ⁽¹⁾	September 2013 ⁽²⁾	September Storm Event ⁽³⁾	24-Hour Storm Event ⁽⁴⁾	
MLS 1-D	Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁷⁾ (mgd)	1.23				
	Flow (mgd)	NA	_(8)	2.38	NA	
	Inflow (mgd)	NA	_(8)	1.15	NA	
	Percent Inflow	NA	35%	48%	NA	
MLS 13-A	Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁷⁾ (mgd)	2.98				
	Flow (mgd)	NA	3.31	4.21	NA	
	Inflow (mgd)	NA	1.06	1.23	NA	
	Percent Inflow	NA	10%	29%	NA	

Notes:

(1) Average flow data from 6/1/13 to 9/30/13. Not used for calibration.

(2) Average flow data from 9/1/13 to 9/30/13. Not used for calibration.

(3) Maximum daily flow that occurred between 9/23/13 and 9/25/13. Used for calibration.

(4) The largest 24-hour storm event occurred on 7/1/13 and totaled 7.75 inches; the maximum flow that occurred between 7/1/13 and 7/3/13 was used as the storm flow.

(5) Based on dry weather flow calculated from September 2013 flow data.

(6) The 5-year storm event at the SWWRF was followed by a smaller rain event totaling 2 inches over 24-hours, which elevated the flow to 22.52 mgd and led to an estimated 42% inflow.

- (7) Based on dry flow recorded during April 2015 for base model calibration.
- (8) Data considered inaccurate. Not used for model calibration or Master Planning purposes.

The hydrograph for the SWWRF for the period of June 1, 2013 through

September 30, 2013 is shown in Figure 3.8. The inflow volume is represented by the area between the blue flow curve and the orange dry weather flow line on the hydrographs. The dry weather flow is the average dry weather flow calculated for September. Large peaks in flow occur concurrently with rainfall events or directly after the events. The hydrograph demonstrates that periods of consecutive rainfall lead to elevated flows that can last for a number of days following the rainfall event. Because of its impact on the system, the three-day September 2013 storm event has been used to calibrate the wet weather scenario and applied to future scenarios as described in subsequent chapters.

The I&I Study completed by Carollo in 2014 investigated lift stations within the North and Southwest Service Areas which contributed the most I&I. The MLSs in the Southwest Service Area with the highest percentage of inflow during the storm event, after data corrections based on SCADA and pump speed and pressure time series, were MLS 12-A at 72 percent, MLS 1-M at 56 percent, and MLS 1-D at 48 percent.



Although initial, incorrect historical data provided for MLS 12-A showed that this location was not prone to a very high inflow rate, comparisons of dry and wet weather flow trends calculated using pump speed and pressure time series at MLS 12-A confirmed that 12-A is highly impacted by inflow. The 2014 I&I Study identified a number of contributing lift stations within all of the above basins as well as the MLS 12-A and MLS 27-A basins themselves as candidates for future I&I inspection and repair work.

The wet weather scenarios in the hydraulic models account for the lift stations that are substantially impacted by inflow.

The County is in the process of inspecting and repairing gravity mains based on the recommendations from the 2014 I&I Study, which should reduce the future wet weather flows and maximum day peaking factors.

3.4 DIURNAL CURVES

Wastewater flow generation varies throughout the day based on customer water use patterns and industrial/commercial contributions. Because the hydraulic models are developed to model movement of wastewater flow throughout an extended period of time, diurnal curves are used to vary the wastewater generation at lift stations in the model.

Temporary flowmeters were installed throughout the County in order to record actual flow data that was used to calibrate the hydraulic models. This flow data was used to calibrate the model, as discussed in Chapter 4. The flow data was also used to develop diurnal curves for two MLSs in the Southwest Service Area: MLS 1-D (Figure 3.9) and MLS #5 (Figure 3.10 and Figure 3.11).

Overall, the diurnal curves show expected trends with the largest flow peaks occurring in the morning, typically between 6 am and 12 pm, and the evening, between 6 pm and 12 am. The lowest flows occur between approximately 12 am and 6 am. Representative data from two consecutive days were chosen to develop a typical diurnal curve, shown in Figure 3.12, which was input into the hydraulic model for all scenarios other than the calibration.









3.5 PROJECTED WASTEWATER FLOWS

Table 3.11 summarizes the projected annual average, maximum month, and maximum day wastewater flows for each planning period with and without the flows contributed by the Town of Longboat Key and the USF/airport area. The annual average flows have been developed using the per capita wastewater flow LOS value shown in Table 3.7. The projected maximum monthly flows have been calculated using a monthly peaking factor of 1.31, per County Policy 9.1.3.1. The actual maximum 10-year monthly peaking factor for the Southwest Service Area (1.30) is similar to this policy value. The USF/airport area is expected to contribute a total additional flow of 0.67 mgd. Approximately 0.13 mgd is expected by 2025 and 0.3 mgd is expected by 2035 (per County estimates).

Figure 3.13 illustrates the projected wastewater flows for the Southwest Service Area based on the LOS per capita value and 1.31 monthly peaking factor. It should be noted that the actual per capita flow is lower than the LOS value, which is also evident based on the historical data shown in Figure 3.13. Therefore, the projected annual average flows are not anticipated to reach the plant capacity until later than indicated in Figure 3.13. Further discussion of the SWWRF capacity and future expansions is provided in Chapter 6.

The historical 5-year maximum daily peaking factors were used to estimate future maximum daily flows. Although the September 2013 storm event was replicated to obtain wet weather flows in the future scenarios in the model, the projected flows using the peaking factors were also compared to the maximum flows generated in the model to confirm that the model generates flows that are comparable to the historical maximum day peaking factors. Note that simulated flows at the SWWRF include the flows from Longboat Key.

Table 3.11 Projected Wastewater Flows – Southwest Service Area Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County							
	Southwest County Area Wastewater Flow (mgd) ⁽¹⁾			Total SWWRF Wastewater Flow, Including Longboat Key and USF/Airport Flows(mgd) ⁽²⁾			
Year	Annual Average (LOS)	Maximum Month ⁽³⁾	Maximum Day ⁽⁴⁾	Annual Average (LOS)	Maximum Month ⁽³⁾	Maximum Day ⁽⁴⁾	
Peaking Factor	1	1.31	2.62	1	1.31	2.62	
2014	12.48	14.58	22.07	14.42	18.90	37.79	
2015	13.27	17.39	34.69	15.21	19.93	39.86	
2020	13.82	18.11	36.13	15.76	20.65	41.30	
2025	14.38	18.84	37.58	16.45	21.55	43.10	
2030	14.93	19.56	39.01	17.00	22.27	44.53	
2035	15.48	20.28	40.46	17.72	23.21	46.43	
Planned Development	17.02	22.30	44.48	19.26	25.23	50.46	
Build-Out	19.32	25.30	50.61	21.93	28.72	57.45	

Notes:

(1) Based only on the estimated County TAZ populations presented in Table 3.3 and a future average flow per person of 115 gpcd. Does not include flow contributed by the Town of Longboat Key or the additional flow from the USF/airport area.

(2) Including the projected flow contributed by the Town of Longboat Key and the USF/Airport areas. The projected flow for the Town of Longboat Key is 1.94 mgd. Expected flows from the USF/Airport areas are estimated at 0.13 mgd at 2025, 0.3 mgd at 2035, and a total of 0.67 mgd at build-out. The peaking factors listed in this table were applied to the Longboat Key and USF/Airport flows to obtain total Maximum Month and Maximum Day flow projections.

(3) Based on monthly peaking factor of 1.31 per County Policy 9.1.3.1.

(4) Based on 5-year maximum day peaking factor (2.62) presented in Table 3.6.


The treatment capacity of the SWWRF with respect to the projections shown in Table 3.11 and Figure 3.13 will be discussed in detail in Chapter 6.

3.6 PERFORMANCE CRITERIA

The purpose of this section is to define criteria, or standards of measurement, for evaluating the performance and design of the County's wastewater collection systems. Comparison of the systems' capabilities against these performance and design criteria provides the mechanism for identifying existing or future deficiencies and needs, and serves as a guide for capital improvement projects and budget planning. The performance criteria are based on the County's utility design criteria (excerpt provided in Appendix C), applicable regulations such as the Florida Administrative Code (FAC) and 10 State Standards, as well as accepted engineering standards.

The performance of existing force mains and pump stations will be based on the criteria described below. New infrastructure will also be sized to comply with the performance criteria.

3.6.1 System Reliability and Redundancy

Reliability of the County's wastewater collection system is provided by a combination of the following factors:

- 1. Wastewater collection, transfer pumping capability, and force main capacity to transport wastewater to the WRF.
- 2. Backup power supply for critical facilities.

3.6.2 Force Main Capacity

Force mains should have a minimum diameter of 4 inches and a velocity between 2 and 6 feet per second (fps). The minimum velocity, 2 fps, is required to provide scour velocity so that the solids deposited while the pumps are off will be transported when the pumps are operating. Hazen-William's roughness coefficients (c-factors) of 120 and 140 were used for existing metallic and plastic pipes, respectively, based on the model calibration discussed in Chapter 4. A c-factor of 120 was used for all future pipes based on the County's utility design criteria.

3.6.3 Gravity Sewer Design

The design velocity for a gravity pipeline should have a minimum velocity of 2 feet per second and a maximum velocity of 10 feet per second. The design limit depth is 80 percent of the pipe inside diameter. Minimum slopes are designed according to the 10 State Standards requirements. The minimum slopes for achieving a velocity of 2 feet per second are presented along with additional summary data in Table 3.12 at the end of this section.

3.6.4 Lift Stations

Multiple pumps are recommended at all lift stations. Equal size pumps are needed when only two pumps are provided. Capacities of pumps will be evaluated to provide a lift station capability with the largest pump out of service to handle the peak hour design flow rates per 10 State Standards requirements.

3.6.4.1 Normal Operation

Lift station wet well sizing takes into consideration the fill time, based on average flow, and the minimum pump cycle time. The minimum volume should equal four times the pump capacity in gpm (based on County utility design criteria) and should provide a retention period not to exceed 30 minutes of average daily design flow (per 10 State Standards). Wet wells should have a minimum diameter of 6 feet unless a smaller diameter is approved for a grinder pump application. When selecting the minimum cycle time, the pump manufacturer's duty cycle recommendations shall be utilized. Starting and stopping more than five times an hour for any one pump is not recommended based on the County utility design criteria.

3.6.4.2 Emergency Operation

The objective of emergency operation is to protect public health by preventing sewer backups and subsequent discharge into streets, water bodies, and public or private property. The most common emergency would be a power outage. The County has onsite backup generators at each of the master lift stations and various area lift stations as well as portable generators that can be used throughout each of the three service area lift stations.

3.6.5 Wastewater Performance Criteria Summary

Table 3.12 summarizes the performance and design criteria used to evaluate the wastewater collection system.

Table 3.12 Performance Crit Southwest WWC Manatee County	eria Summary S Master Plan Update
Description	Criteria
Force Main Criteria:	
Diameter	≥ 4 inches
Velocity	≥ 2 fps and < 6 fps
C-factor	140 (existing plastic pipes)
	120 (existing metallic pipes)
	120 (future pipes)
Gravity Pipes	
Manning's n	0.013
Flow Depth (d/D)	0.80
Velocity	≥ 2 fps and < 10 fps
Diameter (inches)	Minimum Slope (ft/100 ft)
8	0.4
10	0.28
12	0.22
14	0.17
15	0.15
16	0.14
18	0.12
21	0.10
24	0.08
27	0.067
30	0.058
36	0.046
Lift Station Criteria:	
Firm Capacity	≥ peak hourly flow with largest pump out of service
Wet Well Volume	4 times the pump capacity in gpm
Wet Well Diameter	≥ 6 feet
Pump Start/Stop	≤5 per hour (duplex stations)

3.7 PLANNING FRAMEWORK SUMMARY

The planning information, data, and performance criteria relevant for updating the hydraulic models and developing the Southwest WWCS Master Plan are presented within this chapter. Population projections provided by the County Building and Development Services Department were revised to exclude the population served by septic tanks. The 2015 to 2035 scenarios include the population served by the County's wastewater system in the Southwest areas of the County including Anna Maria Island, and a patterned load to simulate flow contributed by the Town of Longboat Key and USF/Airport areas. The build-out scenario assumes that areas currently served by septic tanks will be connected to the County's wastewater system. The planned development scenario, an intermediate between the 2035 and build-out scenarios, includes the maximum population within the planned

developments. The planned development scenario does not include the septic population or growth of undeveloped parcels outside of the planned developments.

Historical wastewater flows were evaluated for the Southwest Service Area. Monthly flow data from the last 10 years were used to calculate maximum month peaking factors, and daily flow data from the last five years were used to calculate maximum day peaking factors. Historical flow data was used to calculate the average daily flow per person in each service area. The current LOS values were used in projecting future wastewater flows. A summary of the peaking factors and LOS values is provided in Table 3.13 located at the end of this section.

Wet weather flows and inflow contributions were evaluated for the Southwest Service Area using rainfall and flow data collected between June 1, 2013 and September 30, 2013, and time series of pump speed and pressure at certain master lift stations. Available flow data was evaluated for the SWWRF and five MLSs: 27-A (RTU #138), 12-A (RTU #139), 1-M (RTU #203), 1-D (RTU #237), and 13-A (RTU #408). The percent inflow for the month of September and for the three-day storm event that occurred from September 23 to September 25 was estimated using calculated dry weather flows. The Southwest Service Area experiences moderate to high inflow. Table 3.13 includes the percent inflow for locations where inflow data was available during the three-day storm event from September 23-25, 2013.

Based on the FDOT 24-hour rainfall intensity criteria, a 5-year storm occurred in July 2013. Analysis of the percent inflow indicate that successive rainfall events with low to medium intensity, such as the three-day storm that occurred in late September 2013, appear to have a greater impact on inflow compared with an isolated 24-hour storm event with higher intensity, even when the total rainfall amounts are similar.

Flow projections for 2015 through build-out have been developed for each service area based on population projections provided by the County, LOS values, historical maximum day peaking factors, and maximum month peaking factors based on County Policy 9.1.3.1. Flow projections and peaking factors are presented in Table 3.13.

Performance and design criteria were reviewed for the County's wastewater collection system including force mains, lift station wet wells, and gravity mains. Performance criteria used in evaluating future scenarios in the model are summarized in Table 3.13.

The data included in Table 3.13 were used to project future flows, calibrate the hydraulic model, and evaluate the existing and future collection system scenarios.

Table 3.13Summary of Planning and Performance CriteriaSouthwest WWCS Master Plan UpdateManatee County						
Wastewater Po	pulation and Flow Proj	ections ⁽¹⁾				
	Population Projections ⁽²⁾	Annual Average Level of Service Flow (mgd)	Max M Flow (n	lonth ngd) ⁽³⁾	Max Day Flow (mgd) ⁽⁴⁾	
LOS (gpcd)		115				
Peaking Factor	-	1 1.31 2.62		2.62		
2015	115,425	14.42	18.9	90	37.79	
2020	120,213	15.21	19.9	93	39.86	
2025	125,044	15.76	20.0	65	41.30	
2030	129,807	16.45	21.	55	43.10	
2035	134,615	17.00	22.27		44.53	
Planned Development	148,003	17.72	23.21		46.43	
Build-Out	167,969	19.26	25.2	23	50.46	
Wet Weather an	nd Storm Event Flows					
Location		3-Day Storm ⁽⁵⁾ 24-		Hour Storm ⁽⁶⁾		
SWWRF	Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁷⁾		12	.97		
	Total Rainfall (Maximum Daily Rainfall) (inches) ⁽⁸⁾	6.85 (2.35)			7.75	
	Flow (mgd)	31.66		21.45		
	Inflow (mgd) ⁽⁹⁾	18.69		8.84		
	Percent Inflow ⁽¹⁰⁾	59%			40%	
MLS 27-A Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁷⁾		2.58				
(RTU #138)	Flow (mgd)	4.0		NA		
	Inflow (mgd) ⁽⁹⁾	1.42		NA		
	Percent Inflow ⁽¹⁰⁾	35%			NA	
	Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁷⁾ 0.76					
MLS 12-A	Flow (mgd)	2.72			NA	
(RIU #139)	Inflow (mgd) ⁽⁹⁾	1.96			NA	
	Percent Inflow ⁽¹⁰⁾	72%			NA	

Table 3.13Summary of Planning and Performance CriteriaSouthwest WWCS Master Plan UpdateManatee County				
	Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁷⁾		1.7	4
MLS 1-M (RTU #203)	Flow (mgd)	3.98		NA
	Inflow (mgd) ⁽⁹⁾	2.24		NA
	Percent Inflow ⁽¹⁰⁾	56%		NA
	Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁷⁾		1.23	
MLS 1-D	Flow (mgd)	2.38		NA
(RTU #237)	Inflow (mgd) ⁽⁹⁾	1.15		NA
	Percent Inflow ⁽¹⁰⁾	48%		NA
	Dry Weather Flow ⁽⁷⁾		2.9	8
MLS 13-A	Flow (mgd)	4.21		NA
(RTU #408)	Inflow (mgd) ⁽⁹⁾	1.23		NA
	Percent Inflow ⁽¹⁰⁾	29%		NA
Performance C	riteria			
Description			Criteria	
Force Main Crite	eria:			
Diameter			≥ 4 inches	
Velocity		≥ 2 fps and < 6 fps		
C-factor		140 (existing plastic pipes)		
		120 (existing metallic pipes) 120 (future pipes)		
Gravity Pipes				
Manning's n			0.013	
Flow Depth (d/D)			0.80	
Velocity	`		\geq 2 fps and < 10 fps	
Diameter (inch	es)		Minimum Slope (ft/100 ft)	
8		0.28		
12		0.22		
14		0.17		
15		0.15		
16		0.14		
18		0.12		
21			0.10	
24			0.08	
27				
36			0.046	

Table 3.13	Summary of Planning and Performance Criteria Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County		
Lift Station Cr	iteria:		
Firm Capac	ity	≥ peak hourly flow with largest pump out of service	
Wet Well Vo	lume	4 times the pump capacity in gpm	
Wet Well Diameter		≥ 6 feet	
Pump Start/	Stop	≤5 per hour per pump (duplex stations)	

Notes:

- (1) Includes the projected flow contributed by the Town of Longboat Key and the USF/Airport areas. The projected flow for Longboat Key is 1.94 mgd. Expected flows from the USF/Airport areas are estimated at 0.13 mgd at 2025, 0.3 mgd at 2035, and a total of 0.67 mgd at build-out. The peaking factors listed in this table were applied to the Longboat Key and USF/Airport flows to obtain total Maximum Month and Maximum Day flow projections.
- (2) Parcels served by septic tanks are not included in the 2015 through planned development scenario populations. Build-out projections include population currently served by septic tanks assuming these will be converted to the County's collection system.
- (3) Based on the maximum monthly peaking factor of 1.31 per County Policy 9.1.3.1.
- (4) Based on the actual 5-year maximum daily peaking factor.
- (5) 3-day storm occurred from September 23 to 25, 2013.
- (6) 24-hour storm event occurred on July 1, 2013 based on rainfall data from the SWWRF.
- (7) Based on dry weather flows calculated from September 2013 flow data.
- (8) Maximum 24-hour rainfall that occurred within the 3-day storm included in parentheses.
- (9) Inflow calculated by subtracting the average dry weather flow from the maximum flow occurring within the storm event.
- (10)Percent inflow calculated by comparing the average dry weather flow with the maximum day flow during each storm event.

WASTEWATER MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND CALIBRATION

4.1 INTRODUCTION

A wastewater collection system hydraulic model requires large amounts of infrastructure, wastewater flow, and operational data. The quality of the information used in the modeling and planning work can have substantial consequences on model results. If the data is not accurate, the model may predict a result that is too conservative and therefore costly, or not sufficiently conservative which therefore may compromise the quality of service. All of the information that would ideally be used for a model is usually not available, and sometimes the data is not as precise or accurate as desired. Therefore, it is important to have a clear understanding of what is available and the assumptions that are made to compensate for missing information so model results can be interpreted appropriately.

This chapter describes the update and calibration of the County's Southwest Service Area Wastewater Collection System (WWCS) hydraulic model. The remainder of Chapter 4 is divided into the following sections:

<u>Section 4.2 – Model Update:</u> Provides an overview of the previous hydraulic model, types of infrastructure included in the model, and the model update and review process.

<u>Section 4.3 – Model Development:</u> Summarizes the scenarios and alternatives included in the model and the types of simulations used for each planning period.

<u>Section 4.4 – Model Calibration:</u> Provides a summary of the calibration standards and expected accuracy, dry and wet weather calibration methodology, and calibration results.

<u>Section 4.5 – Calibration Summary:</u> Summarizes the calibration results and provides recommendations for future modeling efforts.

4.2 MODEL UPDATE

A WWCS model is a simplified representation of the real collection system. WWCS models can assess the conveyance capacity of a collection system and be used to create "what if" scenarios to evaluate impacts of future developments and land use changes. As part of this WWCS Master Plan Update project, Carollo reviewed the previous hydraulic model and updated/expanded upon certain aspects of the model. This section summarizes the hydraulic model update and review process.

4.2.1 Existing Hydraulic Model

The County's previous model was built during the 2009 update of the master plan using the County's GIS database. The model was built using the Bentley SewerCAD hydraulic modeling software. It should be noted that the model has been updated using the

SewerGEMS software, also developed by Bentley. In addition to all the capabilities of SewerCAD, SewerGEMS incorporates dynamic equations for gravity systems and stormwater systems which involve surface water hydrology. Since Inflow & Infiltration (I&I) is an important component of any WWCS in Florida, especially in low-lying coastal areas with older infrastructure, SewerGEMS is considered more applicable to the County's collection system. SewerGEMS will produce more appropriate simulations and realistic results than SewerCAD. SewerGEMS also provides a dynamic solution appropriate for existing capacity analysis, detention, looped systems, and diversions. All model simulations were completed using SewerGEMS v8i.

An extensive comparison of the existing model infrastructure, the most recent GIS database, and spreadsheet data provided by the County (Appendix D) was conducted. Discrepancies between the model and GIS were reviewed with the County and the existing model was updated based on comments received by County staff. Additional information updated in the model includes:

- New diurnal wastewater flows patterns were calculated and assigned (as discussed in Chapter 3 and shown in Figures 3.9 through 3.12).
- New sanitary loads were calculated and assigned (original sanitary loads and "forced" flows were removed).
- Wet weather loads were assigned based on a historic storm event (discussed further in Section 4.4.4).
- Pump curves were reviewed and updated.
- Lift station pump controls were reviewed and updated.

4.2.2 Model Infrastructure

The physical components in the model are represented in a mathematical format so the modeling software can calculate the hydraulics within the network. To do this, all physical infrastructure is represented as either point entities (nodes) or line entities (links). Nodes include pressure junctions, manholes, wet wells, and pumps. Force mains and gravity mains are represented as links. Figure 4.1 shows the physical infrastructure included in the base, wet weather calibration, 2015 level of service (LOS), and 2015 Wet Weather scenarios of the Southwest model. The data input into the model for the wet wells and pumps (flex tables) are shown in Appendix E.



The following provides a brief overview of the major elements of the hydraulic model and the required input parameters associated with each:

- **Manholes:** Sewer manholes that connect multiple gravity mains or connect force mains to gravity mains. Required inputs include diameter, sanitary loads, and ground, rim, and invert elevations.
- **Conduits:** Gravity sewers are represented as conduits in the model. Input parameters include length, diameter, material, friction factor (Manning's n), and invert elevations.
- **Pressure Pipes:** Force mains are represented as pressure pipes in the model. Required input includes length, diameter, material, friction factor (Hazen Williams C), and invert elevations.
- **Pressure Junctions:** Pressure junctions are used to connect multiple force main segments. They are needed when an individual pipe changes in diameter or material and can be used to represent a pressure gauge. Required input includes ground and node elevations. Node elevations correspond to inverts of the contiguous pressure pipes.
- Wet Wells: Required input parameters for wet wells include cross section type (circular or variable area), wet well diameter or cross sectional area, and wet well base (bottom), ground (top), maximum (high water level), and minimum (low water level) elevations.
- **Pumps:** Input parameters for pumps include pump definition type (single point, variable speed, multiple point, etc.), pump capacity/head information, operational controls (on/off set points), ground elevation, and pump invert elevation.
- **Outfalls:** Outfalls represent where the flow leaves the system (i.e. treatment or storage facility). Required input parameters include boundary conditions (free outfall, normal, user defined tailwater, etc.), ground elevation, and invert elevation.
- **Patterns:** Diurnal patterns are used to simulate the variation in flow throughout the day. Patterns can be established for any time period, including multi-day patterns (48-hour, 72-hour, etc.).
- **Catchments:** Sewer sheds, or lift station tributary areas, are represented in the model as catchments, which are used in the wet weather scenarios. Required input parameters include user defined area and outflow element (typically a manhole). The area of a catchment affects the amount of I&I flow to a particular lift station. A smaller catchment will have less I&I than a larger catchment.
- **Flows:** The following are two types of wastewater flow sources that can be applied at individual model junctions (manholes, wet wells, and pressure junctions):

- Loads. Loads simulate base sanitary wastewater flows and may be used to represent dry weather flow or average flow. The base sanitary loads are multiplied by the diurnal patterns that vary the flow throughout the simulation.
- Stormwater Flows. Rainfall Derived Infiltration and Inflow (RDII) is applied in the model by assigning a unit hydrograph and a corresponding catchment (tributary area) to a given loading manhole. The unit hydrographs consist of several parameters that are used to adjust the volume of RDII that enters the system at a given location. These parameters are adjusted during the wet weather calibration process, described more in Section 4.4.4.

The following sections describe the physical components included in the Southwest model, the resources used to update the existing model, and assumptions made where actual information was not available.

4.2.2.1 Manholes and Gravity Mains

Although most of the County's pipelines are gravity mains used to collect flows in close proximity to lift stations, the majority of wastewater transmission needs are pressurized force mains due to the lack of elevation difference. Only those gravity mains needed to connect the pressurized network (those that receive flow from a force main) were included in the model, regardless of the gravity main's size. The gravity mains included in the 2015 scenario are shown in Figure 4.1.

All sanitary loads associated with existing or future populations were applied at a manhole(s) directly upstream of each wet well, referred to as the loading manhole(s). Every lift station also has an upstream gravity main(s), connecting the loading manhole(s) to the wet well; however, only the major or connecting gravity mains were evaluated for performance.

Manhole and gravity main data was provided in GIS shapefile format (up to date as of January 29, 2015). Missing manholes were either imported from GIS or drawn directly into the model and missing gravity mains were imported directly from GIS. Several assumptions were applied to the manholes and gravity mains:

- As needed, manhole invert elevations were adjusted to achieve a positive slope in the gravity mains or to smooth out the slope along a series of gravity segments where there were extreme differences in slope.
- Calculation instabilities and numerical issues within the model arise when there are short pipe segments following long pipe segments. This is because flow routing is a dynamic process that sometimes is truncated by the short length of a pipe (like a wave propagating in an enclosed container). In some cases, manholes were removed from the model and the gravity main reconnected to the next manhole (overall length of pipe unaffected) to reduce routing errors.

- Gravity mains that connect the loading manholes to the wet well were given a user defined length of at least 50 feet to prevent calculation issues in the model.
- For future and build-out lift stations, or where information was not available for an existing lift station, the gravity main connecting the loading manhole to the wet well was assumed to have a length of 100 feet, and a slope of 0.02 feet/feet.

4.2.2.2 Lift Stations

There are a total of 211 County-owned and 108 privately-owned lift stations in the Southwest Service Area, although only 194 existing lift stations were included in the base scenario (Figure 4.1). This includes no private lift stations. In order to simplify the model, some smaller County-owned lift stations and all private lift stations were excluded from the model. Flow from the excluded County-owned lift stations was assigned to the downstream lift stations to which they discharge. Flow from private lift stations was included with a nearby County-owned lift station.

Lift stations are represented in the model by a wet well, a combination of pumps, and a discharge node. Figure 4.2 shows the typical model layout of a lift station and the naming convention of the physical infrastructure in the model. The County's three-digit RTU number is used to identify County-owned lift stations and the Utility Work Operations on the Web (UWOW) number is used to identify private lift stations. All future components have been assigned a unique number, which is used in place of the RTU number: FXXX for future infrastructure installed from 2020 through 2035 and BO-X for infrastructure installed after 2035 (build-out). A single pump configuration, also shown in Figure 4.2, was used for future lift stations where an actual pump curve was not available.

The following data sources (included in Appendix D), provided by the County, were used to update the existing lift station infrastructure:

- Pump characteristic curves and flow-depth curves for VFD pumps (the latter derived from pump operation time series)
- County "Lift Station Flow Calculation Worksheets," which showed the current pump on/off depths, measured from the top of the wet well
- County " LSInfo_2015" (Lift Station spreadsheet), which provided wet well base and top elevations, diameter, influent line size and elevation, and force main length, diameter, material, and termination location. Pump model, pump rated capacity, impeller diameter, horse power, and discharge size was also provided.
- Collection system flow chart



A multiple point type pump definition (Type 3) was used for all existing pumps. Pump curves were not available for Lift Stations 25-D and Manatee Woods (RTU 258 and RTU 319, respectively). For these, a pump definition based on the design point provided in the LSInfo 2015 spreadsheet (rated head and flow rate) was used. Pump curves can be easily updated in the model as the information becomes available. For future and build-out lift stations, the multiple point definition was used if the pump curve was available, otherwise a single point (1 Point GVF) pump definition was used. Wet well initial elevations were set at halfway between the pump on and off elevations, although this is one parameter that may have been changed throughout the calibration process.

Where information was not available, the following assumptions were made for all lift stations (existing, future, and build-out). It should be noted that the following assumptions were used for modeling purposes only and do not replace design standards:

- Top of the wet well is at ground elevation.
- Pump invert is 12 inches above the base of the wet well.
- Wet well depth is 21 feet.
- Pump off elevation is set to the pump invert elevation.
- Lead pump on elevation is 3.2 feet above the pump off elevation.
- Lag pump on elevation is 12 inches above the lead pump on elevation.
- Design points for future or build-out pumps (if pump curves were not available) were calculated based on future population (with some exceptions), LOS unit load generation factors (gpcd), head loss through the future pipe, and the pressure in the downstream force main. In some cases in the Southwest model, the assigned pump operating flow is higher than required based on population calculations. Instead, it was designed as the minimum acceptable such that the diameter in the discharging force main could be kept at a minimum of 4-inch per County request.

4.2.2.3 Master Lift Stations

There are six master lift stations in the Southwest Service Area (1-M, 1-D, 12-A, 13-A, 27-A, and #5), shown in Figure 4.1. Lift Station #5, although considered a MLS for being a major repump station, uses 1-M as an intermediary to convey flows to the SWWRF.

Each of the MLSs in the Southwest Service Area are operated by variable speed drive (VFD) pumps. VFD pumps were simulated using a flow-depth curve (Variable Speed, Type 4 pump definition), rather than the multiple point pump curves. SCADA data, provided by the County, including wet well level, pump flow rate, pump speed, and discharge pressure, was used to develop actual flow-depth curves to model the VFD pumps. For future scenarios, the flow-depth curves were modified to include the maximum pump flow rate and maximum wet well depth, allowing for a full range of pumping capacity.

Wet well elevations and pump invert elevations were updated based on record drawings provided by the County.

4.2.2.4 Force Mains

The force mains included in the 2015 scenario are shown in Figure 4.1. Missing force mains were imported from GIS. Pressure junctions along force mains were set to equal four feet below ground elevation, unless record drawings with actual elevations were provided or pressure calibration results indicated an elevation difference.

The placement of future force mains was aligned with existing roadways or future thoroughfares (provided by the County as a GIS shapefile), when possible. This will allow pipeline construction to occur within road right-of-way and utility easements when possible. The exact alignment of each force main and location of each lift station should be evaluated as actual development occurs. Slight changes in force main alignment should not impact model results or master planning recommendations.

The following assumptions were made when updating the existing force mains:

- A Hazen-Williams C factor of 140 was used for all plastic pipes (PVC and HDPE) and 120 was used for all metallic pipes.
- The nominal pipe diameter was used.
- Short force main segments were removed or the user-defined length was adjusted (overall pipe length remained unchanged) to prevent routing errors and instabilities, which can occur when short pipe segments are connected to long pipe segments.

4.2.2.5 Water Reclamation Facility

In the hydraulic model, flow is ultimately directed (or discharged) to what the model calls an outfall. An outfall may represent a treatment plant, a reservoir, or an emergency connection to another system. For the Southwest Service Area, there is only one outfall: the SWWRF. The SWWRF has a current permitted capacity of 15 million gallons per day (mgd) based on 3-month annual average daily flow (3MADF). Flow is transferred to the plant by one of two main force mains that transmit wastewater from inland served areas and Anna Maria Island; and one force main coming from the Town of Longboat Key. All three force mains converge into a single 42-inch pipe that discharges to the headworks influent channel. The outfall elevation used in the model (35.00 feet) was taken from record drawings of the headworks building.

4.2.2.6 Elevations

As the elevations in the previous model and GIS shapefiles were said to be unreliable, USGS topographic layers in GIS were used to re-assign ground elevations to all nodes (manholes, wet wells, and pressure junctions). It is important to note that the locations for infrastructure in the County shapefiles are not exact. Therefore, the elevations assigned to them may not be the actual elevation. As provided, for a few locations, elevations from record drawings were used.

4.2.3 Model Review and Diagnostic Checks

The modeling software is capable of performing a number of diagnostic checks to identify errors in the data. The model review process included the following:

- Running queries to identify missing attributes, force mains not connected to the network, duplicate pipes, and pipes connected to more than one outfall.
- Verifying that the model data (i.e., inverts, diameters, etc.) was input correctly and that the flow direction, size, and layout of the modeled pipelines were logical.
- Reviewing pipeline connectivity to determine, in a general sense, how flows are routed through the collection system.

4.3 MODEL DEVELOPMENT

This section describes the background information on how the model was set up and run, the various types of scenarios and alternatives included, and the types of model simulations that were applied.

4.3.1 Scenario Management

SewerGEMS has the capability to create separate scenarios to represent different conditions within the model. These conditions can depict existing or future parameters to be analyzed in the network such as various sanitary load conditions, different pipe diameters and materials, modified operating controls, and alternate pipe configurations. These parameters can be added to a scenario as a data set and then modeled.

4.3.1.1 Scenarios and Alternatives

SewerGEMS allows the creation of multiple parent and child scenarios and alternatives. Child scenarios will automatically inherit the same set of data from the parent scenario from which it was created. Similarly, child alternatives will initially inherit the same data from their parent alternative. If a parent scenario or alternative is modified, all child scenarios or alternatives to the parent will automatically be updated. However, once a child alternative has itself been altered, the child alternative will no longer inherit changes from its parent alternative. It is important to ensure changes made in different scenarios have passed on to the other scenarios (if applicable), as intended.

4.3.1.2 Steady State and Extended Period Simulations

Models can be simulated in two different modes: steady state or extended period simulation (EPS). A steady state model predicts hydraulic behavior at one instant in time, assuming

that the collection system is close to steady state conditions. An EPS model performs a series of steady state balances at specified intervals.

An EPS model is able to predict wet well levels and mimic pumps turning on and off as conditions change throughout the day. Therefore, this type of simulation is commonly used to resolve system storage concerns, evaluate lift station capacity, and to solve operational problems, since an EPS model can simulate dynamic conditions. An EPS model requires diurnal flow pattern information and additional time-based operating control information for pumps. Diurnal patterns, based on field test data, as discussed in Chapter 3, were applied to each lift station. Wet well operational control information was provided by County staff (Appendix D), although this data may have been modified during the calibration process.

EPS scenarios, using a 3-second routing time step, were developed for base and wet weather conditions in each planning period through build-out. Table 4.1 summarizes the different model simulations evaluated for each planning period.

Table 4.1 Descriptions of Wastewater Model Simulations Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County			
Planning Period	Scenario	Type of Simulation	Simulation Description ⁽¹⁾
Calibration	Base (Dry Weather)	Extended Period	72-hour EPS analysis with dry (base) sanitary loads
	Wet Weather	Extended Period	96-hour EPS analysis with base (dry) sanitary loads and a three-day storm event ⁽²⁾
2015, 2020, 2025, 2035, Planned Development, and Build-Out	LOS (Base)	Extended Period	72-hour EPS analysis with LOS sanitary loads
	Wet Weather	Extended Period	96-hour EPS analysis with base (dry) sanitary loads and a three-day storm event ⁽²⁾
	Wet Weather	Extended Period	96-hour EPS analysis with base (dry) sanitary loads ar a three-day storm event ⁽²⁾

Notes:

- (1) The purpose of the first 24 hours of each simulation is to allow the system to reach equilibrium. They are not analyzed in the results.
- (2) Based on calibration (dry) sanitary loads and the three-day storm event from September 23-25, 2013, as discussed in Chapter 3.

4.4 MODEL CALIBRATION

Model calibration involves adjusting model parameter values until observed model results are within reasonable agreement with data measured in the field such as pressure and flow rate. The goal of calibration is to reproduce in the computer software a model network of the collection system that simulates the behavior of the existing system as close as possible for the purpose of planning future infrastructure and evaluating system performance.

Model calibration is a key step in preparing the model for use and accomplishes the following purposes:

- Assist in confirming the distribution of wastewater sanitary loads
- Identify data errors or missing data parameters
- Discover anomalies
- Establish a degree of confidence in the model

4.4.1 Calibration Standards and Expected Accuracy

Hydraulic models are built from the best available information regarding the physical attributes and operational conditions of the collection system. There are a number of parameters that are not directly known and cannot be directly measured. For this reason, these parameters must be assumed initially based on typical values and engineering judgment. Every collection system is unique. For this reason, industry standard of care dictates that a model be validated to ensure that the assumptions built into the model are reasonable and provide results that correctly reflect the operation of the system. This validation process is commonly referred to as calibration.

Possible sources of error between field measurements and model results include errors in input data (measurement and typographical), errors in SCADA data used for calibration, unknown pipe roughness values, incorrect distribution of sanitary loads (population), errors in data derived from network maps or GIS, node elevation errors, errors introduced by a simplified representation of the network, errors (identified by model anomalies) that may be correlated to valves that unknowingly may be partially or fully closed in the field, outdated or unknown pump characteristic curves, and poorly calibrated measuring equipment.

It is not realistic to believe all errors can be eliminated; however, errors should be reduced to acceptable limits so that there is confidence in the model results. Even if high quality information for the physical attributes of the system is available and good estimates of sanitary loads are included in the model, differences between simulated and observed performance can still exist.

Once a model is considered calibrated, it can be used to estimate hydraulic characteristics of the real-world system at locations where measured data are unavailable or unknown, identify system deficiencies, and evaluate the system under future conditions.

In the United States, calibration standards to assess the accuracy of model calibration have yet to be developed and depend heavily on the complexity of the system and availability of data to develop the model. For that reason, the following calibration criteria have been suggested:

- An average pressure difference of ±2.2 psi with a maximum difference of ±7.3 psi with a "good" data set, and an average pressure difference of ±4.3 psi with a maximum difference of ±14.2 psi with a "poor" data set (Walski, 1983); and
- The difference between measured and simulated values should be ±5 psi to ±10 psi (Cesario and Davis, 1984).

Carollo used these criteria as general guidelines and took into account the availability and accuracy of the data for the Manatee County collection systems.

The Wastewater Planning Users Group (WaPUG), a section of the Chartered Institution of Water and Environmental Management, has established generally agreed upon principles for model verification. The base flow and wet weather calibration focused on meeting the recommendations on model verification contained in the "Code of Practice for the Hydraulic Modeling of Sewer Systems," published by the WaPUG (WaPUG 2002), as summarized below:

- Base Wastewater Flow Calibration Standards: Base flow calibration should be carried out for two base flow days, and the modeled flows and depths should be compared to the field measured flows and depths. Both the modeled and field measured flow hydrographs should closely follow each other in both shape and magnitude. In addition to the shape, the flow hydrographs should also meet the following criteria as a general guide:
 - The timing of flow peaks and troughs should be within one hour.
 - The peak flow rate should be within the range of ±10 percent
 - The volume of flow (or the average rate of flow) should be within the range of ±10 percent. If applicable, care should be taken to exclude periods of missing or inaccurate data.
- Wet Weather Calibration Standards: The model simulated flows and depths should be compared to the field measured flows and depths. The flow hydrographs should closely follow each other in both shape and magnitude, until the flow has substantially returned to dry weather flow rates. In addition to the shape, the flow hydrographs should also meet the following criteria as a general guide:
 - The timing of the peaks should be similar with regard to the duration of the events.
 - The peak flow rates at significant peaks should be in the range of +25 percent to -15 percent and should be generally similar throughout.
 - The volume of flow (or the average flow rate) should be within the range of +20 percent to -10 percent.

4.4.2 Field Test

A wastewater collection system field test was performed in April 2015 to gather pressure and flow data needed to calibrate the base model. The pressure and flow observed at different locations during the field test were compared against the model results at the same locations. Adjustments in the model were made to correct the inconsistencies and improve the accuracy of the model. In addition to temporary flowmeters and pressure loggers, data from the County's SCADA system was also used to compare simulated flow at various lift stations. Specific locations of temporary flowmeters, pressure loggers, and SCADA-derived data are provided in the Field Test Plan, provided in Appendix F. Appendix G includes the calibration results for all locations indicated in the Field Test Plan.

The flow data gathered during the field test was also used to adjust or confirm the base calibration sanitary loading. The calibration sanitary loading was calculated based on the 2015 population and the total daily flow measured at the SWWRF during the dates of the field test.

4.4.3 Base Model Calibration

Data from the field test was evaluated to identify two days where the data was complete and when there was little or no rainfall. For the Southwest Service Area,

April 16 and 17, 2015 were selected. The base calibration scenario was run as an EPS over a 72-hour period, where the first 24 hours were used to allow the system to fill and come to equilibrium. Only the last 48 hours of the simulation were used for calibration.

The following steps were taken to calibrate the Southwest model:

- 1. Distribute populations to the existing sewer sheds (as discussed in Chapter 3).
- 2. Apply sanitary loads (population), diurnal patterns, and unit flow factors (gpcd) to the loading manholes.
- 3. Identify calibration control points with reliable data and prepare calibration spreadsheet (for comparison of simulated and actual data).
- 4. Adjust model variables to match simulated flows and pressures with field measured/ SCADA data. Adjustments made to the model included:
 - a. Redistributing population among sewer sheds to adjust simulated flows and pressures, i.e. if simulated flows were higher than field or SCADA data, population was decreased in that area and vice versa.
 - b. Adjusting pump curves and VFD flow-depth curves.
 - c. Adjusting initial wet well levels and pump on and off elevations.
 - d. Adjusting (delaying) diurnal patterns.
 - e. Adjusting invert/node elevations to reduce routing discontinuities and overflows, and to match pressures measured in the field where available.
 - f. Eliminating or lengthening short segments of pipe that lead to routing errors.
 - g. Finding an optimal duration for the hydraulic and hydrological EPS time steps without compromising model run time (run time increases as the EPS time step is refined)

The model calibration scenario was reviewed for reasonableness and compared with measured data. Final overall calibration results should be reviewed on a continuous basis

and updated as known changes to the system occur. The overall simulated flows for the SWWRF, #5 MLS, 1-M MLS, 1-D MLS, 12-A MLS, 13-A MLS, and 27-A MLS are summarized in Table 4.2. Also shown in Table 4.2 are the simulated and field-measured pressure at several locations within the Southwest Service Area. The data shown in Table 4.2 reflects the average flow, pressure, the percent difference for flow and pressure throughout the 48-hour calibration simulation period. It should be noted that the flow at a few MLSs was estimated using pump speed and pressure from SCADA and in some instances resulted in questionable values. The overall simulated flow to the SWWRF is within 2 percent of the actual flow. Figure 4.3 shows the flow and pressure calibration results for MLS 1-D. Appendix G includes a complete graphical summary of the calibration results.

Table 4.2Base Calibration Results Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County				
		Average D	aily Flow ⁽¹⁾	
Locatio	on	Field or SCADA Derived Flow (mgd) ⁽²⁾	Simulated Flow (mgd)	Percent Difference ⁽³⁾
SWWF	RF	12.97	13.17	1.6%
1-M MLS 1.74 1.53 -12.09		-12.0%		
#5 ML	S	0.71	0.68	-4.8%
1-D ML	S	1.23	1.17	-4.6%
12-A M	LS	0.76	0.70	-8.0%
13-A MLS		2.98	2.90	-2.5%
		Press	sure ⁽¹⁾	
Locatio	on	Field or SCADA Pressure (psi) ⁽⁴⁾	Simulated Pressure (psi)	Psi Difference ⁽⁴⁾
1-M MI	_S	17.4	16.81	-0.59
1-D ML	S	10.3	9.49	-0.81
12-A M	LS	18.3	20.76	2.46
27-A M	LS	10.0	12.71	2.71
13-A M	LS	15.2	16.33	1.13
Notes:				

(1) Data reflects average of hourly field and model data points over the 48-hour calibration period.

(2) Temporary flow meters were used at #5 MLS and 1-D MLS. SCADA data was available at the SWWRF. Flow was derived from pump speed and pressure time series for all other locations.

(3) Percent difference = (Simulated - Actual)/Actual*100

(4) Expressed as psi for comparison with the calibration standards described in Section 4.4.1.



4.4.4 Wet Weather Methodology

Based on the analysis of the historical wet weather data, and consulting with County staff, the 3-day storm event of September 2013 was used to calibrate the base wet weather scenario and to model future wet weather scenarios. The process to develop the wet weather scenarios consists of several elements:

- **Define RDII Tributary Areas.** For the wet weather scenarios, RDII flows are added to the dry sanitary loads. Sewer sheds were delineated in GIS using existing infrastructure and parcel boundaries and were imported to the model to serve as the RDII catchments. The total area contributing to I&I was calculated in GIS and excludes areas that were not expected to contribute to I&I, such as undeveloped and vacant land not connected to the sanitary sewer system. The tributary area provides a means to transform hourly rainfall depth from the rainfall hydrographs into a rainfall volume. The rainfall volume is transformed into actual RDII flows using the unit hydrograph, as described in the next step.
- **Create I&I Parameter Database.** The main step in the wet weather calibration process is creating custom unit hydrographs using the RTK method. The RDII unit hydrograph is the summation of three separate triangular hydrographs (short term, medium term, and long term), each being defined by three parameters: R, T, and K. R represents the fraction of rainfall over the sewer shed that enters the collection system; T represents the time to peak of the hydrograph; and K represents the ratio of time to recession to the time to peak. Therefore, there are a total of nine separate variables associated with each unit hydrograph. Figure 4.4 shows the shape of an example unit hydrograph.
- The nine variables in each unit hydrograph were initially set based on engineering judgment and then adjusted until the model simulated flow matched closely with the actual flow data. Because of the limited locations where actual hourly flow data was available (only average daily systems-derived flow was available at 1-D MLS, 13-A MLS, 27-A MLS, and 1-M MLS; hourly flows at the SWWRF were provided from historical SCADA, and hourly flows were calculated at 12-A MLS from historical pump speed and pressure time series), a series of approximated unit hydrographs for each location were developed. The level of accuracy of each series of unit hydrographs varied from one location to the other, as the quality of the data sets varied greatly.



- Identify Calibration Rainfall Events. As discussed in Chapter 3, the County's wastewater collection system appears to be impacted more from a prolonged storm of lower intensity than a 24-hour storm of higher intensity. Therefore, the 3-day storm event from September 2013 was used to calibrate the existing model and to model future wet weather scenarios.
- Rainfall data was available at several Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) rain gauge locations located in the Service Area (Figure 4.5). Also shown in Figure 4.5 is the rainfall at the SWFWMD rain gauges during the September 2013 storm event. The Palma Sola Drain rain gauge was used to calculate the 1-D MLS area RTK values, the Bowles Creek rain gauge was used to calculate both 27-A and 12-A MLS RTK sets, and the Oneco rain gauge was used to calculate the 13-A MLS RTK set. The average rainfall at all gauges was used to calculate the RTK values associated with the remainder of the SWWRF area.

4.4.5 Wet Weather Model Calibration

The wet weather calibration enables the hydraulic model to more accurately simulate I&I entering the collection system during a large storm. As discussed, one unit hydrograph set was developed for each of the MLS basins, except for #5 MLS (no wet weather data was available for the time period in consideration). The wet weather calibration process is similar to the base calibration in that model variables (mainly catchment size and R, T, and K values) were adjusted to match simulated flows as closely as possible to actual flow data. The base calibration scenario was re-checked to ensure the base calibration results were not adversely affected by changes made during wet weather calibration.

Comparisons were made for the base and peak flows as well as the temporal distribution of flow at the SWWRF and 12-A MLS, where hourly data was available. According to the WaPUG, a hydraulic model is generally considered to be satisfactorily calibrated to wet weather flow conditions if the modeled peak flows are within +25 percent to -15 percent of the field measured data, and if the average modeled flows are within +20 percent to -10 percent of the field measured data.

Appendix G contains a detailed wet weather flow calibration summary for each of the monitored locations. A summary of the wet weather calibration results are presented in Table 4.3. The data shown in Table 4.3 reflects the average daily and peak flows, and percent difference over the 72-hour calibration period. An example of the wet weather calibration using SCADA derived flows for the SWWRF is shown in Figure 4.6

Table 4.3 Wet V South Mana	Veather Calibration Sun west WWCS Master Pla tee County	nmary an Update	
	Average Da	ily Flow ⁽¹⁾	
Location	SCADA Flow (mgd) ⁽²⁾	Simulated Flow (mgd)	Percent Difference ⁽³⁾
SWWRF	22.68	22.70	0.05%
1-D MLS	2.38	2.39	0.40%
13-A MLS	4.21	4.31	2.46%
12-A MLS	2.72 ⁽⁴⁾	2.55	-6.20%
27-A MLS	4.00	3.67	-8.36%
1-M MLS	3.98	3.71	-6.86%
Peak Flow ⁽⁴⁾			
Location	Actual Peak Flow (mgd)	Simulated Peak Flow (mgd)	Percent Difference ⁽³⁾
SWWRF	39.41	36.6	-7.1%
12-A MLS	4.04	4.6	+13.9%

Notes:

(1) Average flow over the 3-day simulation period (September 23-25 2013).

(2) From average daily flows derived from SCADA, except noted otherwise.

(3) Percent Difference = (Simulated - Actual)/Actual*100.

(4) Based on hourly data.

Overall, the simulated average daily flow to the SWWRF was within +/- 4 percent of the actual flow and 12-A was from -14.3 to -3.7 percent. The simulated peak hourly flow at the SWWRF was 7.1 percent below actual peak hourly flow and the simulated 12-A peak flow was 13.9 percent higher than the actual peak hourly flow. As shown, the SWWRF calibration falls within WaPUG's recommendation for wet weather calibration. The model was as thoroughly calibrated as possible, taking data availability and accuracy into account. It should be noted that several lift stations were manually operated during the actual storm event to prevent overflows, which cannot be replicated by the model, and thus affected calibration results. Several stations pumped extremely high flows, according to SCADA derived flow data.





4.5 CALIBRATION SUMMARY

As documented in this section, flow results of the model, after adjustments, were reasonable and match the actual system data relatively closely, under both the base and wet weather conditions. The average daily flow to the SWWRF was within 5 percent in the base and within +/- 4 percent in the wet weather scenarios. Both base and wet weather calibration results meet the generally accepted standards used to determine the adequacy of model calibration, according to the WaPUG. Care should be taken when modifying the model parameters, as changes may affect the overall results and reliability of the model.

4.5.1 Recommendations for Future Modeling Efforts

Several modifications listed below should be considered to increase the robustness of the model during future model updates. It is not anticipated that these modifications would significantly impact the current overall calibration results.

- Install flowmeters at key locations throughout the collection system, including all master lift stations. A flowmeter is also recommended at the Bayshore Yacht Basin lift station (RTU 101). Calibrate flow meters annually.
- Calibrate existing flowmeters and pressure transducers.
- Perform field pump tests and update pump curves in the model.
- Maintain records of current pump settings (on/off elevations and wet well levels) when available, for dry and wet weather conditions.
- Start a database where differences in pump operation, maintenance, and controls during dry and wet weather are kept and continually maintained.
- Reconcile all infrastructure differences between model and GIS.
- Wastewater generation factors (LOS gallons per capita) should be reviewed on an on-going basis to reflect up to date wastewater loading/input.

EXISTING (2015) SCENARIO EVALUATION

5.1 INTRODUCTION

The primary objective of the wastewater collection system (WWCS) evaluation is to verify that the existing infrastructure satisfies the performance criteria set forth in Chapter 3. All evaluations and recommendations presented in this chapter are based on the current pipeline size, material, location, capacity, and other operational data provided by the County. This chapter evaluates the existing (2015) level of service (LOS) and wet weather scenarios, while the future scenarios are evaluated in Chapter 6. Identification of infrastructure improvements due to age or condition is not included in the analysis; however, pipeline replacements recommended in the County's Force Main Asset Management Plan (Carollo, 2014) are included in the overall cost estimate and CIP plan, presented in Chapter 7.

The remaining sections of Chapter 5 include:

- <u>Section 5.2 Existing Collection System Assumptions</u>: Describes the assumptions used in developing and evaluating the existing collection system.
- <u>Section 5.3 Existing System Analysis:</u> Describes the performance of the existing system and identifies areas that do not meet the performance criteria.
- <u>Section 5.4 Summary and Recommendations:</u> Provides a summary of the existing system modeling results and recommended future infrastructure needed to meet selected performance criteria.

5.2 EXISTING COLLECTION SYSTEM ASSUMPTIONS

The analysis of the existing wastewater collection system is based on the following assumptions. Changes in these assumptions will alter model results and could change system recommendations:

- 1. Only gravity mains that connect force mains to the network are included in the model, regardless of size. Each lift station also has a gravity main connecting a loading manhole to the wet well, although these were not evaluated for performance.
- 2. 194 of the County's existing lift stations and no private stations within the Southwest Service Area were included in the Southwest WWCS model.
- 3. Pipeline infrastructure in the County's previous model was updated based on data provided by the County and feedback from County staff. Missing infrastructure was imported from the County's GIS database. Most data was not field verified for this project; however, the data has been checked for reasonableness and consistency by County staff.

- 4. Existing lift station data, where available, including elevations, pump curves, wet well diameters, and operating setpoints were incorporated into the model (Appendix D). This data was provided by the County but was not field verified by Carollo. When data for lift stations was not available, average or typical operating parameters from known lift stations were used to provide a representative operating protocol, as presented in Chapter 3. If lift station operating parameters change in the future or are different from what was used in the model, the results of the model may vary.
- 5. Existing force mains were modeled using Hazen-Williams C-factors of 140 for plastic pipes and 120 for metallic pipes. C-factors were selected during model calibration to provide reasonable correlation between model results and field data.
- 6. Extended period simulation (EPS) scenarios were modeled with both pumps active at each station, controlled by the elevation of wastewater in the wet well over time. The six master lift stations with VFD pumps were controlled by the depth-flow curves. The EPS was run for 72 hours for the LOS scenario and 96 hours for the Wet scenario. Model results from the first 24 hours was eliminated from analysis as this time is used to bring the collection system to equilibrium (allows the system to "fill up").

Initial wet well elevations were set at halfway between the pump on and off elevations; however, some initial wet well elevations may have been changed during the calibration process. A summary of the model wet well information for each lift station is provided in Appendix E.

5.3 EXISTING SYSTEM ANALYSIS

The 2015 LOS and Wet Weather scenarios were used to determine if the existing infrastructure satisfies the performance criteria listed in Chapter 3. The capacity was assessed by evaluating the force main velocity, the depth in the gravity pipelines, and the average pump starts and wet well capacity of the lift stations.

It should be noted that some force mains will have a velocity less than 2 feet per second (FPS) under certain conditions throughout the simulation period, such as: 1) the velocity in some force mains may be zero when one or more lift stations are not pumping, and 2) at peak flow, the head loss in the system is high, which limits the pump capacity and decreases flow, and consequently velocity, during this condition. Alternately, during low flow conditions, few lift stations are pumping simultaneously, which decreases the amount of head loss in the system. During these conditions, many lift stations will pump high flows, resulting in higher velocities. There may also be very brief spikes in force main velocity in response to pumps turning on. These conditions are discussed further in the following sections.

5.3.1 2015 LOS Analysis

The 2015 LOS scenario was analyzed to determine how the existing infrastructure operates under current (2015) conditions at average (LOS) flows.

Figure 5.1 illustrates the maximum force main velocities and maximum gravity pipe flow depth obtained during the 48-hour analysis period for the LOS scenario. It also shows the average pump starts per hour at each lift station. Table 5.1 lists the lift station wet wells with more than five pump start/stop cycles per hour.

Table 5.1	2015 LOS Lift Stations with more than 5 Pump Cycles per Hour Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County		
Lift Station	ID ⁽¹⁾	Number of Pump Start/Stop Cycles per Hour	
WW-063		8.60	
WW-074		6.23	
WW-101		9.46	
WW-108		6.23	
WW-126		5.25	
WW-210		6.44	
WW-213		8.58	
WW-238		8.51	
WW-303		6.69	
WW-308		7.68	
WW-341		6.02	
WW-407		11.82	
WW-416		8.60	
<u>Notes:</u> (1) Lift Statio	on ID corresponds to its R	TU number.	

As described in Chapter 3, starting and stopping more than five times an hour for any one pump is not recommended based on County utility design criteria. Pump cycles may be reduced by adjusting pump control elevations, subject to wet well volume availability and the invert elevation of the influent pipe. If the pump controls cannot be modified without affecting pumping efficiency, then the large number of cycles can be directly related to insufficient wet well volume for the existing flow conditions.



All force mains where the flow never reaches 2 FPS are shown in Figure 5.1. As mentioned previously, it is expected that some force mains may have periods of low velocity; however, there are several areas where the maximum force main velocity never reaches 2 FPS throughout the simulation, including the following major force mains:

- The 24-inch and 30-inch segments of the MLS 1-M (RTU 203) force main (from MLS 1-M to the SWWRF).
- The 20-inch and 24-inch segments of the MLS 1-D (RTU 237) force main (from MLS 1-D to where it ties into the MLS 1-M force main).
- The approximately 3,400-feet, 20-inch first segment of MLS 12-A (RTU 139) force main.
- The 18-inch force main downstream MLS #5 (RTU 071), up to the confluence with Lift Station 2-C (RTU 057).
- The 6-inch force main that serves as confluence for the following lift stations: The Loop (RTU 147), Fiddlers Green (RTU 250), The Nursery (RTU 264), and Colonial Woods (RTU 262).

These low velocity force mains may be subject to deposition of sediment that is not cleared because the velocity in the force main never reaches the minimum scouring velocity of 2 FPS. Force mains operating at such low velocities may need to be pigged or cleaned to clear sediment that has collected in the pipe. Low velocities may also lead to odor issues and possibly corrosion, due to the buildup of hydrogen sulfide. Pigging ports may be installed to help prevent these issues.

Force mains with a maximum velocity greater than 6 FPS were further analyzed in the 2015 Wet Weather scenario and are discussed in more detail in the following section.

5.3.2 2015 Wet Weather Analysis

The existing (2015) system was also evaluated under wet weather conditions to determine the system's ability to operate and meet performance criteria under maximum flow conditions. Figure 5.2 shows the maximum force main velocities, maximum gravity pipe depth, and the average number of pump starts per hour for the 2015 Wet Weather scenario. The following sections describe the force mains, lift stations, and gravity system areas that do not meet the County's WWCS performance criteria.


5.3.2.1 Force Mains

Force mains with velocities greater than 6 FPS were evaluated to determine the magnitude and duration of the exceedances. All force mains with a maximum velocity greater than 6 FPS for more than 10 percent of the simulation are listed in Table 5.2.

Each of these high velocity force mains was evaluated to determine the potential cause of the high velocity, typically a function of the force main size or the pumps. A simple calculation, using the population served and a peaking factor based on the population, was performed to determine if the cause of the velocity exceedance was a lack of capacity, and therefore if a force main upsize is needed. If the calculation indicates that a force main is sized properly (has not reached capacity based on population), it is suspected that the operating point of the pump may be incorrect for the head condition, causing a flow and velocity spike in the hydraulic modeling software.

Some of these high velocity force mains discharge directly to gravity pipelines or to other force mains that operate at very low pressures. Under these conditions, the pumps operate on the far right side of their curve, resulting in high flows and velocities when the pumps are on. It is also possible that data in the model is not accurate to field conditions (outdated pump curve or imprecise node elevations). For these, it is recommended that the County check the pump curve, impeller size, node elevations, or perform a pump drawdown test to verify the actual force main flow/velocity. Recommendations for each of the high velocity force mains are presented in Table 5.2.

Table 5.22015 Wet Weather Maximum Force Main Velocities Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County					
Force Main ID ⁽¹⁾ or Alignment	Maximum Velocity (FPS)	Duration of Velocity > 6 FPS, hours (Percent Duration) ⁽²⁾	Recommendation		
FM-139-408-138-6 FM-139-408-138-7 FM-139-408-138-8 FM-139-408-138-9 FM-SWWRF-From East ⁽³⁾	7.6	13.8 (19%)	Continue with plans to upsize existing 30-inch force main with 42-inch (CIP # 6082980)		
FM-SWWRF-Outfall ⁽⁴⁾	6.5	2.2 (3%)	Upsize existing 42-inch force main during headworks replacement project.		
FM-057-1	9.9	8.7 (12%)	Verify model input data ⁽⁵⁾		

Table 5.2 2015 Wet Weather Maximum Force Main Velocities Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County						
Force Main ID ⁽¹⁾ or Alignment	Maximum Velocity (FPS)	Duration of Velocity > 6 FPS, hours (Percent Duration) ⁽²⁾	Recommendation			
FM-101-1 FM-101-2	8.5	26.7 (37%)	Upsize force main to a 16- inch			
FM-127-1	8.0	14.6 (20%)	Verify model input data ⁽⁵⁾			
FM-142-1	6.9	8.8 (12%)	Verify model input data ⁽⁵⁾			
FM-204-1 FM-204-2	7.2	9.3 (13%)	Verify model input data ⁽⁵⁾			
FM-250-1	6.5	8.3 (12%)	Verify model input data ⁽⁵⁾			
FM-304-1 FM-304-2	8.0	12.1 (17%)	Verify model input data ⁽⁵⁾			
FM-338-1 FM-338-2	6.8	9.5 (13%)	Verify model input data ⁽⁵⁾			
FM-405-1	7.8	13.4 (19%)	Verify model input data ⁽⁵⁾			
FM-410-2	8.7	16.1 (22%)	Continue with plans to upsize existing 6-inch force main with an 8-inch (CIP # WW01037)			
FM-435-1	9.6	10.6 (15%)	Verify model input data ⁽⁵⁾			
FM-484-1	8.2	9.7 (13%)	Verify model input data ⁽⁵⁾			

Notes:

(1) Force main ID corresponds to lift station RTU number.

(2) Duration (hours) that force main velocity is greater than 6 FPS throughout the 72-hour model simulation.

(3) This alignment corresponds to the project described in the CIP as "27-A MLS Force Main from 51st Street West to the SWWRF."

(4) This is the SWWRF headworks influent pipe and although the maximum velocity (6 FPS) was only exceeded 2.1 hours out of the entire 72-hour simulation (less than 3 percent of the duration) it was included in this table because it is considered a critical pipe. This pipe is expected to be replaced as part of the new SWWRF headworks project (by 2018).

(5) This is a small, remote lift station. The County should confirm all model input data, including pump curve, wet well diameter and elevations, downstream node elevations, and wastewater loadings are correct. A pump drawdown test may also be useful to confirm actual pump flow rate. Because there are no recommendations to upsize the force mains, these high velocity pipes will flag in each of the future scenarios.

The 24-inch segment of the MLS 1-D force main and several lift station discharge force mains still do not reach 2 FPS in the 2015 Wet Weather scenario. These force mains will be

further evaluated under future conditions (discussed in Chapter 6). If deficiencies persist throughout the planning period, recommendations for corrective action will be included to avoid high operation and maintenance costs associated with pigging and cleaning.

5.3.2.2 Lift Stations

Average pump starts, pump utilization, pumping capacity, and wet well storage capacity were evaluated for each lift station. Table 5.3 lists the wet wells that had more than 5 pump starts per hour per pump (on average) in the 2015 Wet Weather scenario. Where possible, pump operating controls were adjusted in future scenarios to bring the average pump starts below 5 per hour. However, this was not possible in some cases, due to the elevation of the wet well influent pipe (County standard requires the pump on elevation to be below the influent pipe invert). For the lift stations where the operational controls were unable to be adjusted, or where adjusting the operational controls did not work, it is recommended the County confirm the actual pump on/off elevations and the pump curves. These locations are identified and further discussed in Chapter 6.

Table 5.3	High Pump Starts in 2015 Wet Weather Scenario Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County			
Lift Station	RTU	Number of Pump Starts per Hour per Pump		
WW-063 ⁽¹⁾		18.98		
WW-074 ⁽¹⁾		8.23		
WW-101 ⁽¹⁾		6.62		
WW-126 ⁽¹⁾		7.74		
WW-141		6.04		
WW-205		8.35		
WW-210 ⁽¹⁾		5.39		
WW-213 ⁽¹⁾		6.41		
WW-219		5.48		
WW-238 ⁽¹⁾		9.63		
WW-241		5.18		
WW-303 ⁽¹⁾		9.92		
WW-308 ⁽¹⁾		7.60		
WW-341 ⁽¹⁾		8.08		
WW-401		5.83		
WW-407 ⁽¹⁾		8.06		
WW-409		5.56		

Table 5.3High PumpSouthwest VManatee Co	e 5.3 High Pump Starts in 2015 Wet Weather Scenario Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County		
Lift Station RTU	Number of Pump Starts per Hour per Pump		
WW-412	7.40		
WW-416 ⁽¹⁾	13.59		
WW-418	6.30		
WW-422	5.82		
WW-423	5.69		
WW-440	6.84		
Notes:			

(1) Lift stations with pumps that also experienced more than 5 start/stop cycles per hour in the 2015 LOS scenario.

Appendix H also summarizes the results of the evaluation of the performance criteria for pumping capacity (pump capacity equal to or greater than peak hourly flow with largest pump out of service) and wet well storage capacity (wet well volume equivalent to four times the pump capacity in gpm). Only 86 of the 194 existing lift stations met both criteria (pumping capacity and total wet well storage capacity) while 5 lift stations did not meet either criteria. There were 10 lift stations that exceeded their pumping capacity in the 2015 LOS scenario and 24 lift stations that exceeded their pumping capacity in the 2015 Wet Weather scenario (based on comparing the simulated peak hour flow to firm pump capacity). Many of the existing lift stations in the Southwest Service Area were designed and built under different performance criteria than currently used. These lift stations may require further evaluation to determine what, if any, changes are required in order to meet the current performance criteria. Although all future lift stations will be designed to meet both performance criteria, the County may want to consider revising the wet well volume criteria, as many of the County's existing lift stations (82 out of 194 included in the 2015 scenarios) do not meet this criteria. Because this information is highly dependent on the data provided (pump off and influent invert elevations), no improvements will be recommended be made based on the evaluation presented in Appendix H other than to verify actual elevation and pump capacity information as needed.

5.3.2.3 Gravity System

During the 2015 Wet Weather simulation, several manholes experienced overflows and some groups of gravity pipes were surcharged. The manhole ID, overflow duration, and total overflow volume over the 72-hour wet weather simulation are shown in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4 20 S M	2015 Wet Weather Manhole Overflow Summary Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County				
Manhole ID ⁽¹⁾		Duration of Overflow (hours) ⁽²⁾	Total Overflow Volume (gallons)	Recommendation	
054MH001		9.8	57,398	N/A ⁽³⁾	
136MH004		0.8	147	Increase pump capacity at	
136MH005		15.1	111,413	lift station 6-A (RTU 136)	
136MH006		8.3	23,876		
137MH001		11.9	51,931	N/A ⁽³⁾	
141MH001		8.4	26,187	N/A ⁽³⁾	
457MH001		17.3	43,233	Increase pump capacity at Whitfield Industrial Park 1 lift station (RTU 457)	

Notes:

(1) First three digits of Manhole ID correspond to downstream lift station RTU number.

(2) Includes overflows occurring within the last 72-hours of the 96-hour simulation.

(3) The upstream gravity system for this lift station was not modeled and, therefore, not evaluated (as discussed in Chapter 4). Model parameters will be adjusted in future scenarios to prevent simulated overflows and to ensure the entire wastewater flows at these locations are simulated in downstream pipes (and not lost due to overflows).

Gravity pipes with a maximum depth (d/D) greater than 80 percent for more than 10 percent of the simulation are reported in **Error! Reference source not found.** Table 5.5. Refer to Figure 5.2 for locations. Field verification of invert elevations and manhole/gravity pipe diameters is also recommended at the locations listed in Table 5.4 and Table 5.5.

Table 5.52015 Wet Weather Gravity Main Surcharge Summary Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County				
Gravity Pipe IDs ⁽¹⁾	Duration at > 80% Full (hours) ⁽²⁾	Recommendation		
GM-136-1 to GM-136-13 ⁽³⁾	24.1 - 30.2	Increase pump capacity at lift station 6-A (RTU 136)		
GM-203-3 to GM-203-25	7.3 - 15.4	Extend MLS #5 force main to MLS 1-M (bypassing 24" gravity main on Cortez Road)		
GM-203-52 to GM-203- 54	25.9 - 36.3	Upsize existing 8-inch gravity main to a 12-inch		
GM-217-1 to GM-217-3	7.8 - 10.9	Increase pump capacity at lift station 19-D (RTU 217)		

Table 5.52015 Wet Weather Gravity Main Surcharge Summary Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County				
Gravity Pipe IDs ⁽¹⁾	Duration at > 80% Full (hours) ⁽²⁾	Recommendation		
GM-241-1 to GM-241-8	9.9 - 60.1	Upsize existing 15-inch and 18-inch gravity pipes to 20-inch and 24-inch, respectively		
GM-302-11 to GM-302- 12	7.6 - 10.8	Confirm invert elevations and confirm discharge flow rate from the Desoto Mall lift station (RTU 305) force main, which discharges to this gravity system		
GM-303-1	29.4	Confirm pipe diameter and invert elevations		
GM-408-35 to GM-408- 37, GM-408-97	12.9 - 18.2	Confirm invert elevations; upsize 18-inch segments of gravity main with 24-inch pipe.		
GM-434-1, GM-434-18 to GM-434-19, and GM- 434-35 to GM-434-39	11.0 - 38.3	No action. This surcharging is eliminated once the pumps are replaced at lift station RTU 457.		
GM-437-1 to GM-437-4	8.7 - 11.3	Increase pump capacity at the MacArthur and Meadowbrook lift station (RTU 437)		
GM-457-1 to GM-457-3 ⁽³⁾	41.4 - 50.6	Increase pump capacity at Whitfield Industrial Park 1 lift station (RTU 457)		
Notes:	1	L		

1) Gravity pipe ID corresponds to lift station RTU number.

2) Duration (hours) that gravity main depths are above 80 percent of total diameter throughout the last 72-hours of the 96-hour model simulation.

3) Associated with a manhole overflow (refer to Table 5.4).

5.3.3 **Areas Not Meeting Performance Criteria**

Based on the 2015 LOS and Wet Weather modeling results, the most critical areas of the pressurized system not meeting the performance criteria (termed "deficiencies" in this document) have been previously identified in the County CIP (FY 2015-2019) and are either under design or scheduled for construction. The following sections summarize the existing deficiencies.

5.3.3.1 Force Mains

As shown in Figure 5.1 and as described in Section 5.3.1, the major force mains connecting the western basins (MLSs 1-M, 1-D, and #5) to the system are currently operating with a maximum velocity less than the minimum scouring velocity in the 2015 LOS scenario (2 FPS). During wet weather, the 24-inch segment of the MLS 1-D force main still does not reach 2 FPS.

Conversely, the routing of the flow from the eastern basins (MLS 12-A, 13-A, and 27-A) is hydraulically challenged by the limited capacity of the 30-inch force main east of SWWRF, as shown in Figure 5.2. This is expected to be resolved by 2017, as construction is underway to replace the existing 30-inch force main with a 42-inch pipe.

The existing influent force main to the SWWRF headworks is also an important element of the collection system that is not currently meeting the County's performance criteria. Replacement projects for all the mentioned force main trunks are already included in the adopted County FY 2015-2019 CIP. The upsize of the headworks influent pipe is assumed to be included as part of the new headworks project, expected to be completed in 2018.

The only new recommended force main improvement is to upsize the existing 10-inch discharge force main at the Bayshore Yacht Basin lift station (RTU 101). Improvements at this lift station are discussed in Section 5.3.3.4 below.

All force main recommendations are listed in Table 5.2, including locations where it is recommended to confirm model input data. Low velocity force mains are further evaluated under future conditions (see Chapter 6). If deficiencies persist throughout the planning period, recommendations for corrective action will be included to avoid high operation and maintenance costs associated with pigging and cleaning.

5.3.3.2 Major Gravity Mains

Deficiencies in major gravity mains are identified by manhole overflows and/or surcharged gravity mains. Manhole overflows occurred upstream of lift station RTUs 136, 141, and 457 during the wet weather scenario. The gravity main along Cortez Road, connecting Anna Maria Island (MLS #5) and other smaller basins to MLS 1-M (RTU 203) was surcharged during the wet weather scenario. Surcharging was also observed upstream of MLS 13-A (RTU 408) and 36-A (RTU 241). The deficient gravity portions (1,350 and 1,250 linear feet, respectively) connect 54,390 linear feet (10.3 miles) and 6,770 linear feet (1.28 miles) of force main, respectively, to MLS 13-A and Lift Station 36-A. These deficiencies are observed mostly during wet weather conditions. The gravity system deficiencies and recommended improvements are summarized in Table 5.4 (Manhole Overflow Summary) and Table 5.5 (Gravity Main Surcharge Summary).

5.3.3.3 Wet Wells

Lift stations where one or more of the performance criteria such as pump starts, pump utilization or flow retention time, pumping capacity, and wet well storage capacity are currently not met are summarized in Appendix H. Deficiencies that result in a higher operating cost to the County are considered to be of higher importance. As such, the pump starts criterion (greater than five pump start/stop cycles per hour) is given more relevance, has been represented in maps, and efforts to resolve sustained deficiencies are proposed in Chapter 6. Figure 5.1 and Figure 5.2 show areas where this deficiency occurs. While some may be fixed by adjusting operational controls and set points as described in Section 5.3.2.2 and per recommendations in Appendix H, others may require evaluation under future flow conditions to assess the need of upgrading the pumps.

5.3.3.4 Bayshore Yacht Club Lift Station (RTU 101) Deficiencies

The Bayshore Yacht Club lift station (RTU 101) was specifically identified by the County as a lift station that experiences capacity issues during wet weather events. During a recent storm event (September 2016), it was noted by County staff that the three pumps at this lift station operated continuously for several days and vac trucks were used to help pump down the wet well to prevent overflows. Evaluation of the 2015 scenarios indicate that this lift station and force main do not have sufficient capacity. During the wet weather scenario, this force main had a maximum velocity of 8.5 FPS and exceeded 6 FPS for 26.7 hours (37 percent of the model simulation duration).

The County has plans to relocate this lift station and convert it to a master lift station with VFD pumps. As part of this future project, the County also intends to increase the wet well size, pump capacity, and force main diameter. Based on County input and evaluation of the 2015 scenarios, it is recommended that the County proceed with this project. Because the SCADA derived flow was deemed unreliable during calibration, it is recommended the County install a temporary or permanent flowmeter at this location to more accurately measure average and wet weather flows so the new lift station can be sized appropriately.

5.3.4 Recommended Improvements

As mentioned above, the deficiencies noted in large force main trunks discharging to the SWWRF are expected to be resolved once County projects already in the planning or design phase are completed, such as:

- The 30-inch 27-A MLS force main from 51st Street West to the SWWRF, that will be replaced with a 42-inch pipe (CIP project ID 6082980), and
- Several MLS force mains that will be replaced and/or rehabilitated (CIP project IDs WW00975, WW00976, 6035781, 6085780, WW00978, and WW00974) (see Table 5.6).

Replacement of the headworks influent pipe is recommended to be completed as part of the headworks project scheduled to be completed in 2018.

Table 5.6 summarizes the recommendations to correct existing deficiencies based on evaluation of the 2015 scenarios. The pipe diameters shown in Table 5.6 reflect sizing that will achieve the County's performance criteria through 2035. Projects already in the planning or design phase (current CIP projects) were evaluated under future conditions to determine if any changes to the CIP were recommended. Changes to existing CIP projects are discussed in Chapter 6.

Table 5.6 Summary of Recommended Improvements Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County			
Description	Recommendation		
Projects Already in the Planning	or Design Phase ⁽¹⁾		
27-A MLS (RTU 138) force main from 51 st Street West to the SWWRF ⁽²⁾	No changes to the current CIP project are recommended.		
Force main downstream of Lift Station 23-A (RTU 410) ⁽²⁾	Recommended change to the current CIP project is discussed in Chapter 6.		
MLS 12-A (RTU 139) force main	Part of CIP ID WW00975. Recommended change to the current CIP project is discussed in Chapter 6.		
MLS 1-D (RTU 237) force main	Complete CIP ID 6035781 and part of CIP ID 6085780. Recommended change to CIP is discussed in Chapter 6.		
MLS 1-M (RTU 203) force main	Part of CIP ID 6085780. Recommended change to the current CIP project is discussed in Chapter 6.		
MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main	Part of CIP ID WW00974. Recommended change to CIP is discussed in Chapter 6.		
Headworks influent force main	Upsize force main as part of the headworks replacement project scheduled for 2018.		
New Projects Identified Based or	n Master Plan 2015 Evaluation ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾		
Bayshore Yacht Basin lift station (RTU 101)	Upsize force main to 16-inch diameter; upsize wet well and pumps. ⁽⁶⁾		
Manhole overflows and surcharged gravity mains upstream of lift station RTUs 136 and 457	Increase pump capacity at lift station RTUs 136 and 457		
Surcharged gravity main on Cortez Road and manhole overflow upstream of lift station RTU 141	Extend new MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main along Cortez Road to the MLS 1-M wet well (bypassing the 24-inch gravity main on Cortez Road). The County has indicated that this will be completed at the same time the force main for the new Peninsula Bay development is constructed (by 2020).		
Surcharged gravity main upstream of MLS 13-A (RTU 408)	Upsize existing 18-inch gravity main with 24-inch		
Surcharged gravity main upstream of MLS 1-M (RTU 203), from the north	Upsize existing 8-inch gravity main with 12-inch (this is recommended to be completed by 2035)		
Surcharged gravity main upstream of lift station RTU 241	Upsize existing 15-inch and 18-inch diameter gravity pipe with 21-inch and 24-inch, respectively		

Table 5.6Summary of Recommended Improvements
Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update
Manatee County

Description	Recommendation		
Surcharged gravity mains upstream of lift station RTUs 217 and 437	Increase pump capacity at lift station RTUs 217 and 439		
Notes:			
(1) Existing CIP projects are recomme unless otherwise noted.	nded to be completed within the timeline shown in the CIP,		
(2) Expenditures committed by FY 201	5 in the adopted CIP.		
(3) Does not include locations where it is recommended to verify model input data. Refer to Table 5.2, Table 5.4, and Table 5.5 for complete list of recommendations based on 2015 We Weather results			
 (4) Recommended pipe diameters are based on sizing requirements needed to serve through the 2035 population. 			
5) Due to the amount of time it takes to complete a new CIP project through planning, design, and construction, new recommendations are assumed to be completed by 2025, unless otherwise noted. Because these improvements will not be included until the 2025 scenarios, they will also show as deficient in the 2020 scenarios.			
(6) County should install temporary flow weather) to confirm appropriate size information provided by County.	wmeter to determine actual flows (average and wet ing. Diameters used in future scenarios were based on		

5.4 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the 2015 LOS and Wet Weather evaluations, the majority of modeled assets in the Southwest Service Area meet the performance requirements set forth in Chapter 3. However, deficiencies have been identified at major transmission force main trunks, major connecting gravity mains, smaller gravity collectors, and at some lift stations. Fortunately, improvement projects for the most critical of these deficiencies have been previously identified and programmed into the County CIP (FY 2015-2019), and these projects are currently under design or scheduled for construction. Given that all other major deficiencies have the potential to either be carried through to future planning years or be resolved under future flow conditions, further evaluations are required in order to propose the most suitable corrective actions. These evaluations and resulting recommendations are described in Chapter 6.

Chapter 6 FUTURE SCENARIOS

6.1 INTRODUCTION

An evaluation of the future scenarios using the calibrated model was completed in order to assess the performance of the existing and future infrastructure under increased wastewater loads. Performance criteria, described in Chapter 3, were selected based on industry standards, regulatory requirements, and the County's utility design standards. The result of this analysis is a set of recommendations that identifies the improvements in each planning period (2020, 2025, 2035, planned development, and build-out). A CIP with timing and costs of improvements within the 20-year planning period was also developed as part of the Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update and is presented in Chapter 7.

The primary wastewater collection system issues the County will need to address in the Southwest Service Area in the near future include: 1) replacement of the discharge force mains of all master lift stations; 2) upsizing the 30-inch force main along 53rd Avenue West to a 42-inch pipe; and 3) extending the 20-inch MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main along Cortez Road all the way to MLS 1-M (RTU 203) to alleviate capacity limitations in the 24-inch gravity pipe along Cortez Road, upstream of MLS 1-M. There are several existing CIP projects underway to address the first two issues, and the County has indicated that the MLS #5 force main extension will be completed at the same time as the force main for the new Peninsula Bay development. As discussed in Chapter 5, additional existing hydraulic challenges have been identified throughout the service area. Since they are not considered as critical as the projects described above and because of the time it takes for a project to go through planning, design, and construction, all other CIP projects are assumed to be completed by 2025.

Chapter 6 presents the County's Southwest WWCS Master Plan. The remainder of the chapter is divided into the following sections:

- <u>Section 6.2 Future Collection System Assumptions:</u> Outlines the assumptions used in adding future infrastructure to the model.
- <u>Section 6.3 5-Year (2020) Scenario:</u> Describes the infrastructure needed to correct deficiencies in the existing system and for new growth through the 2020 timeframe.
- <u>Section 6.4 10-Year (2025) Scenario:</u> Describes the infrastructure needed to correct deficiencies in the existing system, which were not already programmed into the current CIP, and for new growth through the 2025 timeframe.
- <u>Section 6.5 20-Year (2035) Scenario:</u> Describes the infrastructure needed to correct deficiencies in the existing system and for new growth through the 2035 timeframe.

- <u>Section 6.6 Planned Development Scenario</u>: Describes the infrastructure required if all planned developments are fully developed and connected to the County's infrastructure.
- <u>Section 6.7 Build-Out Scenario</u>: Describes the infrastructure required at build-out if all undeveloped lands and septic parcels are connected to the County's wastewater infrastructure.
- <u>Section 6.8 Water Reclamation Facility Capacity:</u> Evaluates the capacity at the SWWRF and the necessary timing of future expansions.
- <u>Section 6.9 Summary:</u> Summarizes future infrastructure needed to meet selected performance criteria and serve future growth.

6.2 FUTURE COLLECTION SYSTEM ASSUMPTIONS

The County has several CIP projects in progress, which are planned to be completed within the next 5 years. The County's Wastewater 2015-2019 CIP is provided in Appendix I. The 2015-2019 CIP projects have been incorporated into the 2020 scenario of the model.

For the 2020, 2025, and 2035 scenarios, new lift stations, piping, and corresponding sewer sheds were added to the model to serve the planned developments, which were discussed in Chapter 3 (Table 3.2 and shown in Figure 3.4). For the build-out scenario, lift stations envisioned by the County along with their corresponding sewer sheds were included in the model.

The following assumptions were made for planning and sizing future infrastructure:

- 1. Populations were assigned to future sewer sheds based on the methodology outlined in Chapter 3. All future population through 2035 was assumed to occur only within the planned developments. The projected TAZ populations (through 2035) were distributed among the planned developments. The population in the planned development scenario assumes that all planned developments are fully constructed. The County build-out population includes the existing population, future growth among the planned developments (through the planned development scenario), connection of all other undeveloped parcels, and the parcels on septic tanks within the Southwest Service Area.
- 2. If a force main is undersized or needs additional capacity after 2035, a parallel pipe was added to meet capacity needs. County preference was to show new pipelines as parallel instead of upsizing existing force mains for clarity on the planned development and build-out maps. However, the projects should be further evaluated in the future to determine whether a parallel pipeline or an upsized single pipeline would be most beneficial. Some of the existing pipelines may be nearing the end of their useful life after 2035 and therefore upsizing may be the preferred option.

- 3. All evaluations and recommendations for lift stations and force mains are based on size and capacity of the infrastructure only. Identification of infrastructure replacement needed due to age or condition was not considered in the Master Plan Update.
- 4. The assumptions outlined in Chapter 4 regarding new infrastructure were applied to future lift stations and piping, unless detailed construction plans were provided.
- 5. Future lift stations were located at the approximate center of each future sewer shed. Actual locations should be evaluated during the design of each individual lift station. Built-out areas were assumed to have one lift station for hydraulic modeling purposes. Once these areas are developed, multiple lift stations will most likely be required, depending on the rate and location of development.
- 6. The placement of future force mains was aligned with existing roadways or future thoroughfares (provided by the County as a GIS shapefile), when possible. This will allow pipeline construction to occur within road right-of-way and utility easements, when possible. The exact alignment of each force main and location of each lift station should be evaluated based on planned development needs and as actual development occurs. Slight changes in force main alignment should not significantly impact model results or master planning recommendations.
- 7. New pipes less than 30 inches in diameter were assumed to be PVC and new pipes with diameter 30 inches and greater were assumed to be DIP, unless scheduled differently in the current County CIP. New force mains were assumed to have a C-factor of 120 for master planning purposes. For existing force mains, the C-factors determined during model calibration were used throughout all future scenarios, for as long as the pipe remains active.
- 8. Velocity in force mains in exceedance of the performance criteria of 6 fps for a duration shorter than 10 percent of the total EPS timeframe in the Wet Weather scenarios was not considered problematic and therefore was not addressed as a deficiency.

The following sections discuss the analysis of the 2020, 2025, 2035, planned development, and build-out scenarios.

6.3 2020 SCENARIO

The 2020 scenario was developed using the methodology discussed in Chapters 3 and 4. Estimated growth was based on planned developments and the County's TAZ population projections. Although new infrastructure will be constructed to serve the planned developments within the next 5 years, the future infrastructure needed for 2020 was sized to meet 2035 flow projections.

6.3.1 2020 Wastewater Infrastructure

The infrastructure and new planned developments included in the 2020 scenario are shown in Figure 6.1. Figure 6.2 shows the model infrastructure included in the 2020 scenario, color coded by diameter. Completed CIP projects (from the 2015-2019 CIP), and new pipes serving planned developments are identified in Figure 6.1 and Figure 6.2. Pipes that were inactivated (placed out of service) in the 2020 scenario are also shown in Figure 6.1.

CIP projects scheduled for design and construction between 2016 and 2019 were evaluated for hydraulic performance under 2020 and Build-Out LOS and Wet Weather conditions. When the planned CIP did not meet performance criteria for more than 10 percent of the simulation duration, recommendations for modifications to the CIP were presented to the County and included in the model by 2020. When the planned CIP project met the performance criteria, they were assumed to be complete and were included in the 2020 scenario. CIP infrastructure added in the 2020 scenarios includes:

- <u>MLS #5 (RTU 071) Force Main Rehabilitation:</u> Replacement of the existing 18-inch DIP force main with approximately 8,800 linear feet of 16-inch diameter HDPE pipe and approximately 4,800 linear feet of 18-inch diameter HDPE pipe.
- <u>MLS 1-M (RTU 203) Force Main Rehabilitation:</u> Replacement and reroute of approximately 8,700 linear feet of 24-inch and 3,200 linear feet of 30-inch DIP force main with approximately 12,900 linear feet of 27-inch HDPE¹, 800 linear feet of 20-inch HDPE, 1,350 linear feet of 24-inch HDPE, and 1,050 linear feet of 36-inch HDPE force main. Because of the reroute, the 800 and 1,350 linear feet segments (2,150 linear feet total) would no longer belong to the MLS 1-M discharge force main and will rather become the continuation of the MLS 1-D discharge force main. The 1,050 linear foot segment, the last in the alignment, would be part of the discharge of both the MLS 1-M and MLS 1-D force mains into the SWWRF.
- <u>MLS 1-D (RTU 273) Force Main Rehabilitation:</u> Replacement of up to 12,000 linear feet of 20-inch ductile iron pipe force main with 20-inch HDPE force main.
- <u>MLS 12-A (RTU 139) Force Main Rehabilitation:</u> Replacement of approximately 10,300 linear feet of 20-inch DIP with approximately 3,400 linear feet of 20-inch and approximately 6,900 linear feet of 24-inch HDPE force main.
- <u>MLS 13-A (RTU 408) Force Main Rehabilitation:</u> Replacement of approximately 13,000 linear feet of 24-inch DIP force main with 27-inch HDPE force main.
- <u>MLS 27-A (RTU 138) Force Main Rehabilitation:</u> Replacement of approximately 3,200 linear feet of 20-inch DIP force main with 24-inch HDPE force main¹.

¹ As planned in the County CIP





- <u>Force Main 27A 53rd Avenue West from 43rd Street West to 75th Street West:</u> Replacement of 30-inch DIP with 42-inch DIP.
- <u>Lift Station 18-M (RTU 116) Rehabilitation:</u> Replacement and reroute of approximately 3,000 linear feet of 6-inch DIP force main with approximately 2,140 linear feet of 8-inch HDPE force main.
- <u>Lift Station 17-A (RTU 404) Force Main Reroute and Rehabilitation:</u> Abandonment of 3,500 linear feet of 6-inch DIP and reroute of the lift station discharge towards the gravity tributary of MLS 13-A (RTU 408) using 1,600 linear feet of 6-inch PVC.
- <u>Fiddler's Green Lift Station (RTU 250) Pumps Replacement:</u> Pump replacement and force main reconnection¹.
- <u>Lift Station 23-A (RTU 410) Force Main Rehabilitation:</u> Replacement of approximately 1,300 linear feet of 6-inch DIP with 8-inch HDPE and PVC. While the existing County CIP project calls for this project to be replaced with 6-inch pipe (in-kind replacement), modeling analyses show that the force main experiences high velocities in future scenarios. Therefore, an upsize to 8-inch force main is recommended. The County has recently stated that while the CIP project description calls for 6-inch pipe, the project has been revised to be comprised of 8-inch pipe.
- <u>51st Street Gravity Main Sewer Replacement:</u> In-kind replacement of approximately 3,300 linear feet of existing 30-inch gravity force main with 30-inch PVC. Replace 11 manhole locations along the route from 8th Avenue to MLS 1-D, including reconnecting all laterals and associated appurtenances within the collection system.
- <u>Lift Station 31-A (RTU 126) Force Main Renewal:</u> In-kind replacement up to 2,750 linear feet of 14-inch cast iron pipe with PVC.
- <u>Spanish Park Lift Station (RTU 213) Force Main Renewal:</u> In-kind replacement of 900 linear feet of 6-inch DIP with PVC.
- <u>Windmill Village Lift Station (RTU 405) Force Main Renewal:</u> Abandonment of the existing 4-inch force main and reroute to discharge upstream of the Lift Station 17-A (RTU 404).

Evaluation of the CIP projects as planned by the County and the resulting recommendations reflected in the model are included in Appendix K.

In addition to the CIP projects listed above, the following new developments and associated infrastructure were brought online in 2020:

- Lake Flores (split into two new lift stations: F300 and F301)
- Longbar Pointe (Lift Station F302)
- Peninsula Bay (Lift Station F303)

- Three vacant lots (served by Lift Station F305)
- Palma Sola Grande (included with Lift Station 19-D (RTU 217))
- 43rd Terrace (included with MLS 1-M (RTU 203))

It also was assumed that the MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main extension to MLS 1-M (RTU 203) is completed at the same time as the Peninsula Bay (F303) force main. It is anticipated that a portion of the MLS #5 force main extension to MLS 1-M will be funded by developers and therefore this project was included with the 2020 scenarios. Once the MLS #5 force main extension is complete, the existing 24-inch gravity main on Cortez Road will have sufficient capacity for the Peninsula Bay development. Because it is unknown how this development will tie into the existing infrastructure, it was modeled as a single lift station and force main that connects to the existing 24-inch gravity main on Cortez Road. Alternatively, a new gravity main may be installed to connect the development to the existing gravity main.

Table 6.1	shows the	force mains	and lift stat	ions added	in the 2	2020 s	scenario ((as sho	wn in
Figure 6.	1 and Figure	e 6.2).							

Table 6.1Wastewater Infrastructure Added in 2020 ScenarioSouthwest WWCS Master Plan UpdateManatee County						
	Planned De	velopments	CIP Pr	CIP Projects		
Force Main Diameter (inches)	Length Added (Feet)	Length Added (Miles)	Length Added (Feet)	Length Added (Miles)		
4	5,523	1.05				
6			1,598	0.30		
8	8,225	1.56	3,762	0.71		
12	845	0.16				
16	721	0.14	8,781	1.66		
18			4,776	0.90		
20			26,555	3.11		
24			11,447	2.17		
27			25,360	4.80		
42			6,870	1.30		
Total Length	15,314	2.90	89,149	14.97		
New Lift Stations	5					
Existing Lift Stations with New Pumps	1 (RTU 250)					

6.3.2 2020 LOS Analysis

An EPS LOS scenario was completed for the 2020 planning period. Figure 6.3 shows the force main velocity, gravity main flow depth, and pump cycles per hour. As shown, several force mains do not reach 2 fps at LOS flow conditions.

Of the 200 modeled lift stations in 2020, eight exceeded their rated capacity under LOS conditions. During peak rainfall events, it is possible to operate lift stations manually, or override the controls so that both pumps operate at all times. However, the minimum expectation for a lift station is that the pumps are capable of performing under average LOS conditions. The lift stations where the peak hour flow exceeded the firm pump capacity for more than 10 percent of the simulation are listed in Table 6.2. The County is planning to upsize the pumps at the Bayshore Yacht Basin Lift Station (RTU 101). The pumps at the Whitfield Industrial Park 1 Lift Station (RTU 457) were previously identified in Chapter 5 as needing to be upsized to prevent surcharging and overflows in the upstream gravity system. It is recommended that the County verify the actual pump curves and influent flows at the remaining lift stations identified in Table 6.2 to determine if pump replacements are needed. To be conservative, a CIP project to replace these pumps has been included in Chapter 7 so that the County has funds set aside in case pump replacements are necessary.

Table 6.2 Lift Station Firm Capacity Exceedances in 2020 LOS Scenario Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County					
Wet Well ID ⁽¹⁾	Capacity Exceeded by (%) ⁽²⁾	Duration of Capacity Exceedance (hours) ⁽³⁾			
WW-101	134%	14			
WW-108 ⁽⁴⁾	7%	41			
WW-116	30%	10			
WW-141	27%	14			
WW-258 ⁽⁵⁾	14%	30			
WW-319 ⁽⁵⁾	11%	34			
WW-342	282%	36			
WW-457	4%	45			

Notes:

(1) Wet well ID corresponds to lift station RTU number.

(2) Compares the peak hour flow simulated in the 2020 LOS scenario to the firm capacity (with largest pump out of service).

(3) Duration (hours) that the firm capacity of the lift station is exceeded throughout the 48-hour LOS model simulation.

(4) It is suspected that the pump rated capacity provided by the County is incorrect because it is not consistent with the provided pump curve. This pump change recommendation should be reassessed upon confirmation of rated capacity.

(5) Only the design operating point was provided for this lift station. No pump curve was available.



6.3.3 2020 Wet Weather Analysis

The 2020 Wet Weather scenario, based on flows seen during the 3-day storm event in September 2013, was evaluated to determine the system's ability to operate and meet performance criteria under maximum flow conditions. Figure 6.4 shows the results from the 2020 Wet Weather simulation.

Important observations from the results are described in the following sections.

6.3.3.1 Force Mains

With the completion of the current CIP projects listed in Section 6.3.1, the velocity in the 12-A force main and SWWRF influent pipe now falls between 2 and 6 fps. The rest of the high velocity force mains identified in Chapter 5 (see Table 5.2) still have high velocities in the 2020 Wet Weather scenario. The high velocity in the Bayshore Yacht Basin (RTU 101) force main is expected to be corrected once the existing 10-inch force main is upsized to a 16-inch. Because the recommended action was to verify model input data for the remaining force mains, they will continue to be high in the rest of the future scenarios. Refer to Table 5.2 for recommended actions for these force mains.

Two new high velocity force mains were identified in the 2020 Wet Weather scenario: the discharge force mains at the Lake Bridge Lift Station (RTU 108) and IMG/Bollettieri Village Lift Station (RTU 150). It is suspected that these new deficiencies are related to changes in pressure due to the completed CIP projects. Model input data (existing pump curve, operational controls, and node elevations) should be verified at these locations to determine if new pumps are required.

In 2020, there were also force mains identified with peak velocities lower than the minimum scouring velocity of 2 fps, as shown in Figure 6.4. The 4-inch force main that conveys wastewater from the future lift station F305 to the existing Azalea Park Lift Station (RTU 246), also shows a velocity lower than 2 fps in the 2020 Wet Weather scenario after construction of the new development. This velocity does not meet performance criteria. But since the pipe diameter is the minimum listed under the performance criteria in Chapter 3, no change to the currently planned diameter is proposed.

Low velocity force mains in the existing scenario were evaluated under future conditions to determine if the deficiency persisted or would be corrected in the future. The discharge force mains for the following lift stations were shown to never reach the minimum velocity (2 fps) through the Build-out Wet Weather scenario:

- Wildwood Springs 2 (RTU 115)
- Coral Shores East 4 (RTU 122)
- Desoto Memorial Park (RTU 222)
- Broome Park (RTU 245)



While the low velocity force mains can cause sediment build-up and more intensive maintenance, these are considered not as critical as high velocity force mains. There are no recommended improvements for low velocity force mains, however, the County should evaluate these force mains at the end of their useful life to determine if they should be downsized. The County should also verify the influent and force main flows at these locations and implement a maintenance program (pigging/cleaning) if the actual velocity is less than 2 fps.

6.3.3.2 Lift Stations

As shown in Figure 6.4, there are 23 lift stations with more than 5 pump starts per hour per pump. Because the pump starts are highly dependent on pump curve and operational control data, no recommendations were made for high pump starts. Where possible, pump operating controls were adjusted to bring the average pump starts below 5 per hour. However, this was not possible in some cases, due to the elevation of the wet well influent pipe (County standard requires the pump on elevation to be below the influent pipe invert). For the lift stations where the operational controls were unable to be adjusted, or where adjusting the operational controls did not work, it is recommended the County confirm the actual pump on/off elevations and the pump curves. Because there are no recommended improvements for high pump starts, some of these are likely to be high in each of the future scenarios. The peak hour flow exceeded the firm pump capacity at 26 lift stations during the 2020 Wet Weather scenario (shown in Appendix H). The pump capacity at these 26 lift stations is exceeded in each of the future wet weather scenarios.

6.3.3.3 Gravity System

Table 6.3 summarizes the manhole overflows in the 2020 Wet Weather scenario and includes location, overflow duration, total overflow volume, and recommended action. Each of the manholes listed in Table 6.3 also experienced overflows in the 2015 Wet Weather scenario. These deficiencies are expected be resolved once the recommended improvements listed in Table 6.3 are completed (by 2025).

With the extension of the MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main all the way to MLS 1-M (RTU 203), the 24-inch gravity pipe on Cortez Road is no longer surcharged. All other surcharged gravity mains identified in Chapter 5 (see Table 5.5) are still surcharged in the 2020 Wet Weather scenario.

Several lift station influent pipes (immediately upstream of wet wells) also experienced a d/D of over 80 percent; however, it is possible that the invert elevations and therefore slopes of the gravity mains in the model are not exactly correct. Because the upstream gravity system was not modeled at these locations and there were no overflows, no upgrades are recommended.

Table 6.32020 Wet Weather Manhole Overflow Summary Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County						
Manhole ID ⁽¹⁾	Duration of Overflow (hours) ⁽²⁾	Total Overflow Volume (gallons)	Recommendation			
136MH004	4.2	12,483	Increase pump capacity at			
136MH005	14.7	135,478	Lift Station RTU 136			
136MH006	9.1	47,151				
457MH001	17.0	43,345	Increase pump capacity at Lift Station RTU 457			

Notes:

(1) First three digits of Manhole ID correspond to downstream lift station RTU number.

(2) Includes overflows occurring within the last 72-hours of the 96-hour simulation.

6.3.4 Planned and Recommended Improvements

The new projects identified based on the 2015 evaluations (presented in Table 5.6) are recommended to be completed by 2025 (and are included in the 2025 scenarios), unless otherwise noted.

In addition, it is strongly recommended that the lift stations identified in Table 6.2 are assessed as soon as possible, and any inconsistencies found in the simulated pump curves or model setpoints be addressed in the model. Since the pump curves provided by the County were carefully input into the model and the model was reviewed by the County in its development stage, many inconsistencies are not expected. If no inconsistencies are found, it is recommended that new pumps that are capable of matching the simulated flow and head conditions of the 2035 Wet Weather scenario are installed.

It is also recommended that the rated capacity of Lake Bridge Lift Station (RTU 108) as provided by County (see Appendix D) is confirmed, and reassess the recommended pump change if the rated capacity of the existing pumps is different than the information provided.

6.4 2025 SCENARIO

Figure 6.5 shows the infrastructure included in the 2025 scenarios. There are no new planned developments coming online between 2020 and 2025, and growth is assumed to only occur within the planned developments that came online by the 2020 scenario. Sizing of the new force mains added in 2025 is shown in Figure 6.6.





6.4.1 2025 Wastewater Infrastructure

The following infrastructure updates are included in the 2025 scenarios:

• The Bayshore Yacht Basin Lift Station (RTU 101) force main is upsized from 10-inch to 16-inch. The pumps are converted to VFD and the wet well capacity is increased. A 16-foot square wet well is used in the 2025 and beyond scenarios. Infrastructure sizing was based on detailed calculations provided by the County as the available calibration data for this lift station was not reliable. The County should install a temporary flowmeter to determine actual flows (average and wet weather) to confirm appropriate sizing of future infrastructure.

For simplicity, the future lift station was modeled in the same location as the existing lift station. The future 16-inch force main is approximately 800 linear feet based on the new location (at the southeast corner of 26th Street West and South Radcliffe Place).

- The pumps at lift station RTUs 136, 457, 217, and 437 were upsized to prevent manhole overflows and/or surcharged gravity upstream of the lift stations.
- Approximately 1,250 linear feet of 15-inch and 850 linear feet of 18-inch gravity main that connect 6,770 linear feet of force main to Lift Station 36-A (RTU 241) was upsized to 21-inch and 24-inch, respectively. This segment experiences a significant bottleneck when routing flow from other lift stations.

In addition to the improvements identified based on the 2105 and 2020 scenario evaluations, the following improvements are needed by 2025 due to the additional flows from the USF/Airport areas:

- A new pump design point was assigned at Lift Station 7-A (RTU 137), and the discharge force main was upsized from a 6-inch to an 8-inch diameter pipe.
- A new pump design point was assigned at the Crosley Estate Lift Station (RTU 149). This is required due to the new pumps at Lift Station 7-A (RTU 137)
- Upsize Lift Station 6-A (RTU 136) force main from an 8-inch to a 12-inch diameter pipe.
- A new pump design point was assigned at Lift Station 9-A (RTU 436) and the force main was upsized from a 6-inch to a 12-inch diameter pipe. The new force main was also extended to a manhole at the corner of Whitfield Avenue and Persimmon Place because the existing gravity main on 15th Street East and Idelwild Court does not have sufficient capacity for the additional flows. The wet well for this lift station was also shown to have limited storage capacity. Due to the additional flows expected from the USF/Airport areas, the County has identified this lift station wet well to be upsized. For the 2025 and beyond scenarios, a 12 foot diameter wet well was used.

It is recommended that the County verify the actual influent flows (average and wet weather) at this lift station to confirm the appropriate size needed.

Table 6.4Wastewater Infrastructure Added in 2025 ScenariosSouthwest WWCS Master Plan UpdateManatee County					
Diameter	Туре	Length Added (Feet)	Length Added (Miles)		
8	Force Main	1,615	0.31		
12	Force Main	7,962	1.51		
16	Force Main	800	0.15		
Force Main Subtotal		10,377	1.97		
21	Gravity Main	1,247	0.24		
24	Gravity Main	2,328	0.44		
Gravity Main Subtotal		3,575	0.68		
New Lift Stations	1 ⁽¹⁾				
Existing Lift Stations with New Pumps	9				
Notes: (1) For simplicity, the future B same location as the exist	ayshore Yacht Basin L	ift Station (RTU 101) wa	s modeled in the		

Table 6.4 summarizes the force mains and gravity mains added in the 2025 scenario.

6.4.2 2025 LOS Analysis

Radcliffe Place).

A EPS LOS scenario was completed for the 2025 planning period. Figure 6.7 shows the force main velocity results, gravity main flow depth, and pump cycles per hour. The results shown in Figure 6.7 include all new infrastructure listed in Table 6.4. As shown, there are a few force mains that do not reach 2 fps in LOS conditions.

feet based on the new location (at the southeast corner of 26th Street West and South

6.4.3 2025 Wet Weather Analysis

A wet weather EPS was also created for the 2025 planning period. The 2025 Wet Weather scenario, based on flows seen during on the 3-day storm event in September 2013, was evaluated to determine the system's ability to operate and meet performance criteria under maximum flow conditions. Figure 6.8 shows the results from the 2025 Wet Weather simulation.

Important observations from the results are discussed in the following sections.





6.4.3.1 Force Mains

There are no new force main deficiencies identified in the 2025 Wet Weather scenario. The 4-inch force main connecting future Lift Station F305 to Azalea Park (RTU 246) now has a maximum velocity above 2 fps (was below 2 fps in the 2020 scenarios). High velocity force mains were previously identified in the 2015 (see Table 5.2) and 2020 (see Section 6.3.4.1) scenarios. Because the recommended action for these pipes was to verify model input data, they will continue to be high in the remainder of the future scenarios.

6.4.3.2 Lift Stations

As shown in Figure 6.8, only three lift stations had an average of more than 5 pump starts per hour (Lift Station RTUs 314, 416, and 435). These three lift stations have high pump starts in each of the remaining future wet weather scenarios. A majority of the high pump starts identified in the previous scenarios have been resolved, most likely due to increased flows causing the pumps to run longer, adjusted pump operational controls, new pumps, and/or a change in hydraulic conditions.

The pump capacity was exceeded at the same 26 lift stations identified in 2020 Wet Weather scenario. There were no overflows associated with these lift stations.

6.4.3.3 Gravity System

The most critical issues in the gravity system were addressed between 2020 and 2025. The previous overflows upstream of Lift Station RTUs 136 and 457 were corrected with new pumps. However, one new manhole overflow was identified upstream of the El Conquistador 1 Lift Station (RTU 104). The overflow at MH ID 104MH008 had a total duration of less than one hour and a total overflow volume of approximately 6,800 gallons. The force main from the Palm Court Lift Station (RTU 145) discharges at this manhole. No improvement project is included for this deficiency. This overflow did not occur in previous scenarios. Because this manhole receives flow from a force main, it is recommended the County verify the lift station discharge flow and gravity invert elevations.

Surcharging upstream of Lift Station RTUs 136, 217, 434, 437, and 457 were eliminated with the pump replacements in 2025. The gravity main upstream of MLS 13-A (on Whitfield Avenue) was not surcharged in the 2025 scenarios. This is attributed to new pumps at Lift Station RTU 457, causing a change in the flow pattern. However, with the increased flows from the USF/Airport area, this gravity main surcharges under 2035 Wet Weather conditions. Therefore, it is still recommended that this 18-inch gravity main be upsized to 24 inches by 2035. Table 6.5 summarizes the remaining surcharged gravity pipes in the 2025 Wet Weather scenario and recommended actions. Surcharging upstream of MLS 1-M (RTU 203) is expected to be corrected by upsizing the 8-inch gravity main on Palma Sola Boulevard to a 12-inch diameter.

Table 6.52025 Wet Weather Gravity Main Surcharge Summary Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County				
Gravity Pipe IDs ⁽¹⁾	Duration at > 80% Full (hours) ⁽²⁾	Recommendation		
GM-203-52 to GM-203-54	31.1 - 38.9	Upsize existing 8-inch gravity main to 12-inch		
GM-302-12	13.3	Confirm invert elevations and confirm discharge flow rate from the Desoto Mall Lift Station (RTU 305) force main, which discharges to this gravity system		
GM-303-1	29.2	Confirm pipe diameter and invert elevations		
Notes:	·	•		

(1) Gravity pipe ID corresponds to lift station RTU number.

(2) Duration (hours) that gravity main depths are above 80 percent of total diameter throughout the last 72-hours of the 96-hour model simulation.

Several lift station influent pipes (right upstream of wet wells) also experienced a d/D of over 80 percent; however, it is possible that the invert elevations and therefore slopes of the gravity mains in the model are not exact. Because the upstream gravity system was not modeled at these locations and there were no overflows, no upgrades are recommended.

6.4.4 Recommended Improvements

The following improvements are recommended to be completed by 2035:

- Upsize the 8-inch gravity main on Palma Sola Boulevard (upstream of MLS 1-M, RTU 203) to a 12-inch diameter pipe.
- Upsize the 18-inch gravity main (upstream of MLS 13-A (RTU 408)) on 63rd Avenue East (from Pennsylvania Avenue to 5th Street Circle) to a 24-inch diameter pipe.

6.5 2035 SCENARIO

No new planned developments are expected to come online between 2025 and 2035 in the Southwest Service Area, although further growth is expected among the planned developments that came online in 2020. Figure 6.9 shows the new infrastructure added in the 2035 scenarios.



6.5.1 2035 Wastewater Infrastructure

The following infrastructure improvements are included in the 2035 scenarios:

- Upsize existing 8-inch gravity main upstream of MLS 1-M (RTU 203) with a 12-inch diameter pipe.
- Upsize existing 18-inch gravity main upstream of MLS 13-A (RTU 408) along 63rd Avenue East (from Pennsylvania Avenue to 5th Street Circle) with 24-inch diameter pipe.

The following improvements are required to meet additional flows from the USF/Airport areas:

- New pumps at the Airport Industrial Park Lift Station (RTU 469)
- Upsize the existing 14-inch force main along US 41 (from Magellan Drive to 69th Avenue West) with a 16-inch diameter.

Table 6.6 summarizes the force mains and lift stations added or modified in the 2035 scenario.

Table 6.6 Was Sou Mar	Wastewater Infrastructure Added in 2035 Scenarios Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County						
Diameter		Туре	Length Added (Feet)	Length Added (Miles)			
16		Force Main	1,027	0.19			
Force Main Subto	otal		1,027	0.19			
12		Gravity Main	1,061	0.20			
24		Gravity Main	1,430	0.27			
Gravity Main Subtotal			2,491	0.47			
New Lift Stations		0					
Existing Lift Statior	ns with New Pumps	1 (RTU 469)					

6.5.2 2035 LOS Analysis

A LOS EPS scenario was completed for the 2035 planning period. Figure 6.10 shows the force main velocity results, gravity main flow depth, and pump cycles per hour. The results shown in Figure 6.10 include all new infrastructure listed in Table 6.6. As shown, there are a few force mains that do not reach 2 fps.


6.5.3 2035 Wet Weather Analysis

A wet weather EPS was also created for the 2035 planning period. The 2035 Wet Weather scenario, based flows resulting from the 3-day storm event in September 2013, was evaluated to determine the system's ability to operate and meet performance criteria under maximum flow conditions. Figure 6.11 shows the results from the 2035 Wet Weather simulation. Because there were no major changes in the infrastructure and only a moderate increase in flow, the 2035 results are similar to 2025 results.

Important observations from the results are discussed in the following sections.

6.5.3.1 Force Mains

There were no changes in the force main velocity results between the 2025 and 2035 Wet Weather scenarios.

6.5.3.2 Lift Stations

There were no major changes in lift station results between the 2025 and 2035 Wet Weather scenarios. As shown in Figure 6.11, the same three lift stations identified in 2025 with high pump starts (RTUs 341, 416, and 435) were also identified in 2035. The pump capacity was exceeded at 26 lift stations during the 2035 Wet Weather scenario (the same lift stations as the 2025 Wet Weather scenario). Although the pump capacity was exceeded, there were no overflows associated with these lift stations.

6.5.3.3 Gravity System

The only overflow identified in the 2035 Wet Weather scenario was upstream of Lift Station RTU 104 (104MH008). This was the same location identified in the 2025 Wet Weather scenario and has a similar overflow duration (less than one hour) and total volume (less than 10,000 gallons). The surcharged gravity main upstream of MLS 1-M (RTU 203) has been resolved by upsizing the gravity main. Because there were no recommended improvements for the surcharging upstream of Lift Station RTUs 302 and 303, they are still flagging in the 2035 Wet Weather scenario.

Several lift station influent pipes (right upstream of wet wells) also experienced a d/D of over 80 percent; however, it is possible that the invert elevations and therefore slopes of the gravity mains in the model are not exact. Because the upstream gravity system was not modeled at these locations and there were no overflows, no upgrades are recommended.

6.5.4 Recommended Improvements

There are no new recommended improvements based on the evaluation of the 2035 scenarios. As mentioned previously, this is because all recommended improvements made were sized to meet the 2035 population.



6.6 PLANNED DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO

Figure 6.12 shows the infrastructure coming online between the 2035 and planned development scenarios. Although other pipelines and valves may exist for backup and other operational strategies, Figure 6.12 represents the strategy used in the planned development scenario modeling analyses.

6.6.1 Planned Development Wastewater Infrastructure

Evaluation of the Planned Development scenario indicated that the only development that would need a force main with additional capacity by the Planned Development scenario was Longbar Pointe (F302). Since the County plans to use the existing 8-inch force main that runs along El Conquistador Parkway for reclaimed water in the future, a new 12-inch line along the same route was proposed (to be installed by developer). Other flow routing alternatives (for example, connecting to the Longboat Key force main) were evaluated during the Master Plan project and were kept inactive in the model for future County use.

As a result, maps developed for 2035 scenarios are not different from the Planned Development scenarios, except for the new force main serving Longbar Pointe. The increased capacity requirement of the Longbar Pointe development also requires either:

- A wet well expansion and addition of a third pump by Planned Development, or
- The addition of a second lift station.

Although the County may choose either option listed above, for simplicity, the new Longbar Point lift station was modeled as a single wet well.

Table 6.7 reflects the new infrastructure recommended to come online by the Planned Development scenario.

Table 6.7 Wastewater Infrastructure Added in Planned Development Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County					
Force Main Diameter	Total				
(inches)	Length Added (Feet)	Length Added (Miles)			
12	8,296	1.57			
Total Length 8,296 1.57					
New Lift Stations ⁽¹⁾		1			
New Pumps in Existing Lift Stations	0				
Notes: (1) New lift station brought online to serve additional growth within Longbar Pointe development.					



6.6.2 Planned Development LOS Analysis

A EPS LOS scenario was completed for the planned development scenario planning period. Figure 6.13 shows the force main velocity results, gravity main flow depth, and pump cycles per hour.

6.6.3 Planned Development Wet Weather Analysis

A wet weather EPS was also created for the planned development planning period. The Planned Development Wet Weather scenario, based on flows resulting from the 3-day storm event in September 2013, was evaluated to determine the system's ability to operate and meet performance criteria under maximum flow conditions. Figure 6.14 shows the results from the Planned Development Wet Weather simulation.

6.6.3.1 Force Mains

There were no changes in the force main velocity results from the 2035 to the Planned Development Wet Weather scenarios.

6.6.3.2 Lift Stations

There were no major changes in lift station results between the 2035 and Planned Development Wet Weather scenarios. As shown in Figure 6.14, the same three lift stations identified in the 2025 and 2035 Wet Weather scenarios with high pump starts (RTUs 341, 416, and 435) are still flagging as high. The pump capacity was also exceeded at 26 lift stations (the same lift stations as the 2025 and 2035 Wet Weather scenarios). Although the pump capacity was exceeded, there were no overflows associated with these lift stations.

6.6.3.3 Gravity System

There were no new overflows or surcharged gravity mains identified in the Planned Development Wet Weather scenario. Several lift station influent pipes (right upstream of wet wells) experienced a d/D of over 80 percent; however, it is possible that the invert elevations and therefore slopes of the gravity mains in the model are not exact. Because the upstream gravity system was not modeled at these locations and there were no overflows, no upgrades are recommended.

6.6.4 Recommended Improvements

There are no new recommendations based on the evaluation of the Planned Development scenarios.





6.7 BUILD-OUT SCENARIO

Figure 6.15 shows the infrastructure coming online between the planned development and build-out scenarios. Although other pipelines and valves may exist for backup and other operational strategies, Figure 6.15 represents the strategy used in the build-out modeling analyses. Figure 6.16 shows sizing of the infrastructure added at build-out.

Figure 6.17 shows all of the infrastructure added through build-out, including lift stations, force mains, and sewer sheds. The build-out parcels (all undeveloped lands and septic parcels) are also shown. The force mains in Figure 6.17 are color coded based on when they come online: existing (black), future (green), or build-out (red). It is important to note that the green future force mains represent all new force mains added between the 2020 and planned development scenarios. The red build-out force mains represent the force mains added between planned development and build-out.

6.7.1 Build-Out Wastewater Infrastructure

Table 6.8	le 6.8 Wastewater Infrastructure Added in Build-Out Scenario Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County						
Force Mair	Diameter	Total					
(incl	nes)	Length Added (Feet)	Length Added (Miles)				
4	ļ	21,944	4.16				
6		1,492	0.28				
1:	2	2,171	0.41				
Total Lengtl	h	25,607	4.85				
New Lift Stat	tions ⁽¹⁾	,	11				
Existing Stat New Pumps	ions with	1 (RTU 135)					
Notes:							

Table 6.8 summarizes the force mains and lift stations added in the build-out scenario.

(1) New lift stations brought on line to serve areas of undeveloped land and septic parcels by build-out.





		Image: constrained	
Build Out Sewer Shed Number		Prove Pr	$RF = \begin{array}{c} 119 \\ 112 \\ 112 \\ 112 \\ 112 \\ 112 \\ 112 \\ 112 \\ 113 \\ 114 \\ 113 \\ 114 \\ 113 \\ 114 \\ 113 \\ 114 \\ 113 \\ 114 \\ 113 \\ 124 \\ 125 \\ 120 \\ $
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c } \hline B0-1 & RES-1 & 204 & 153 \\ \hline B0-2 & RES-1 & 78 & 59 \\ \hline RES-3 & 7 & 16 \\ \hline B0-3 & RES-3 & 14 & 31 \\ \hline Septic parcels < 1 acre^{(2)} & Less Than 1 & 3 \\ \hline B0-4 & RES-3 & 3 & 6^{(3)} \\ \hline B0-5 & Septic parcels < 1 acre^{(2)} & Less Than 1 & 134 \\ \hline B0-6 & Septic parcels < 1 acre^{(2)} & Less Than 1 & 134 \\ \hline B0-6 & Septic parcels < 1 acre^{(2)} & Less Than 1 & 134 \\ \hline B0-6 & Septic parcels < 1 acre^{(2)} & Less Than 1 & 134 \\ \hline B0-6 & Septic parcels < 1 acre^{(2)} & Less Than 1 & 186 \\ \hline B0-7 & RES-16 & Less Than 1 & Less Than 1 \\ RES-9 & 40 & 270 \\ \hline B0-8 & RES-6 & 22 & 100 \\ \hline Septic parcels < 1 acre^{(2)} & Less Than 1 & 17 \\ \hline B0-8 & RES-6 & 8 & 34 \\ \hline Septic parcels < 1 acre^{(2)} & Less Than 1 & 4 \\ \hline B0-10 & IL & 16.5 & 12^{(4)} \\ \hline B0-10 & IL & 16.5 & 12^{(4)} \\ \hline B0-10 & RES-6 & 8 & 56 \\ \hline \end{array} $			
BO-11 KES-6 86 389 BO-12 CITY Less Than 1 Less Than 1 Less Than 1 RES-6 28 128 128 BO-13 RES-6 11 48 Septic parcels < 1 acre ⁽²⁾ Less Than 1 3 Notes: 1. Only includes future land use types associated with a residential population. 2. Septic parcels less than 1 acre in size were assumed to have 1 EDU per parcel. 3. The flow for BO-4 is included with LS RTU 223. 4. The flow for BO-10 is included with LS RTU 437.	Lift Station NumberPlanned Development NameTotal EDUs20343rd Terrace W30F300Lake Flores 14,324F301Lake Flores 24,324F302Longbar Pointe3,197F303Peninsula Bay1,876F305Vacant Lots162217Palma Sola Grande16		Son Flow from build-out sewer shed BO-10 is included with LS RTU 437.



6.7.2 Build-Out Analysis

Analyses were completed for build-out LOS and wet weather conditions. New force mains were sized to operate within velocities of 2 to 6 fps. As described in previous sections, all future lift stations were designed to meet performance criteria, including force main and wet well diameter. The minimum force main diameter of 4 inches was used for all build-out lift stations. Because some of the build-out lift stations serve a small number of dwelling units, some of these force mains do not reach 2 fps. The County may alternatively choose to install a grinder pump station (with a smaller diameter force main) at these locations. The best option should be determined during the design phase of each lift station.

The discharge force mains for the following existing lift stations were shown to never reach 2 fps throughout the Build-Out Wet Weather scenario:

- Wildwood Springs 2 (RTU 115)
- Coral Shores East 4 (RTU 122)
- Desoto Memorial Park (RTU 222)
- Broome Park (RTU 245)

Although there were no recommended improvements for the low velocity force mains, the County may want to evaluate these pipes at the end of their useful life to determine if they should be downsized.

6.8 WATER RECLAMATION FACILITY CAPACITY

The SWWRF is currently permitted for 15.0 mgd based on three month rolling average daily flow (3MRADF). The average ratio between the County's annual average daily flow (AADF) and the 3MRADF was determined to be essentially one in the LOS Evaluation project (Carollo, 2015). Table 6.9 summarizes the projected flows based on LOS and historical peaking factors, as described in Chapter 3. The model simulated LOS and maximum day wet weather flows are also shown. Both the projected AADF and the simulated LOS flows show that the SWWRF capacity would have been exceeded by 2015 based on the County's LOS flow assumptions.

As shown in Table 6.9, the simulated peaking factor increases from 2015 to 2020 and then steadily decreases from 2020 through build-out. The peaking factor decreases over time because the increase in population is greater in comparison to the amount of land being developed and contributing to I&I. As presented in Table 6.10, the simulated peaking factor has an inverse relationship with the population density (total population divided by the total developed area). The lowest population density occurs in 2020, which corresponds to the highest simulated peaking factor. The highest population density occurs in the build-out scenario, which corresponds to the lowest simulated peaking factor.

Table 6.9 Summary of Projected and Simulated Flows Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County						
Projected Flows (mgd) ⁽¹⁾ Average Simulated Flows (mgd)						
Year	Year AADF Month ⁽²⁾ Day ⁽³⁾ LOS Weat					Simulated Peaking Factor ⁽⁵⁾
2015	15.2	19.9	39.9	15.9	40.6	2.55
2020	15.8	20.7	41.3	16.5	44.9	2.72
2025	16.5	21.6	43.1	16.8	45.5	2.71
2035	17.7	23.2	46.4	18.1	47.0	2.60
Planned Development	19.3	25.2	50.5	19.6	47.7	2.43
Build-Out	21.9	28.7	(6)	22.4	51.0	2.28

Notes:

(1) Based on TAZ populations and LOS per capita for the Southwest Service Area, plus average daily flow from the Town of Longboat Key (which was assumed constant throughout the planning period) and average daily flows from the USF/Airport areas.

(2) Based on the County's Peaking Factor of 1.31 per County Policy 9.1.3.1.

(3) Based on 5-year maximum historical maximum day peaking factor (2.62).

(4) Based on sanitary loads and day of maximum flow from September 2013 3-day storm event.

(5) Calculated by dividing maximum day wet weather flow by the average LOS flow.

(6) Maximum day peaking factor of 2.62 is not anticipated at build-out due to the increased population density (persons/acre) as described below and in Table 6.10.

Table 6.10	Comparison of Simulated Peaking Factor and Population Density
	Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update
	Manatee County

Year	Projected Population ⁽¹⁾	Total Developed Land (acres) ⁽²⁾	Population Density (persons/acres) ⁽³⁾	Simulated Peaking Factor ⁽⁴⁾
2015	115,425	18,043	6.40	2.55
2020	120,213	20,035	6.00	2.81
2025	125,044	20,035	6.24	2.75
2035	134,615	20,035	6.71	2.60
Planned Development	anned 148,003 20,035 elopment		7.39	2.43
Build-Out	167,969	21,285	7.89	2.28

Notes:

(1) Based on TAZ population for the inland Southwest Service Area. Projected population from 2015 through planned development scenario does not include parcels served by septic. The build-out population does include parcels served by septic.

(2) Not including the Town of Longboat Key.

(3) Population density is equal to projected population divided by total developed land (acres).

(4) Calculated by dividing maximum wet weather flow by the average LOS flow.

Figure 6.18 shows a comparison of the SWWRF permitted capacity with flows projected using the strict interpretation of the LOS, the simulated LOS flows, and projections using the actual per capita wastewater generation factor (84.75 gpcd, calculated during model calibration). If current and future system loads are calculated using the actual per capita factor, the SWWRF would not be expected to reach capacity until after the end of this Master Plan period (2035). It is recommended that the County continue to monitor the actual per capita factor, perform periodic reviews of the expected LOS, and update projections and wet weather model simulations accordingly.

6.9 SUMMARY

Wastewater collection system infrastructure recommended in Chapters 5 and 6 will allow the existing system to meet the County's selected performance criteria and provide wastewater service to future growth within the County's Southwest Service Area. The primary wastewater collection system issues the County will need to address in the near future are the hydraulic challenges associated with master lift station discharge force mains, the upgrade of the force mains connecting upstream of the SWWRF influent pipe, and the capacity limitation in the 24-inch gravity pipe upstream of MLS 1-M (RTU 203). The County was already aware of the capacity limitations in the force mains stated above and has CIP projects in place to alleviate them (see Appendix I). A new project to extend the MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main all the way to MLS 1-M (RTU 203) to divert a large portion of flow from the 24-inch gravity main on Cortez Road was identified in this Master Plan as a high priority project.

Other issues identified in the Southwest Service Area included force mains with limited capacity (velocities higher than 6 fps for long durations), lift stations with firm capacity exceeded during LOS conditions, and localized surcharges and/or overflows in the gravity system due to capacity constraints. These issues were gradually solved between planning years 2025 and 2035 with several infrastructure improvement projects. Improvements are also required due to the additional flows expected from the USF/Airport areas.

It should be noted that the exact alignment of force mains assumed in this Wastewater Master Plan Update may vary depending on the location and timing of planned developments and other projects such as streets, stormwater, and potable water infrastructure. Minor changes in pipeline alignment or lift station location should not impact overall modeling results.

Not only does the County have the task of correcting existing deficiencies and providing service for future growth, but they also face the task of replacing aging infrastructure. A separate analysis, the Force Main and Valve Asset Management Plan (AMP), was performed by Carollo in 2014. The purpose of the AMP Study was to evaluate the County's existing infrastructure and assign each force main and valve a risk score (based on vulnerability and criticality).



carollo

SOUTHWEST WWCS MASTER PLAN UPDATE

Of the high risk force mains, over 95 percent are located in the Southwest Service Area. The remaining useful life of the County's force mains were estimated (based on age and the average useful life of the material), and the projected expenses associated with replacing force mains at the end of their useful life were established. Although the replacement of aging infrastructure is not included in the recommendations shown in this Chapter 6, the results of the AMP study are incorporated into the CIP tables presented in Chapter 7.

Table 6.11 provides a summary of the infrastructure added in each of the future scenarios. The majority of new infrastructure is added in 2020 due to the projects in the current FY 2015-2019 CIP and all future developments coming online in 2020. The infrastructure added in 2025 includes the bulk of the new projects recommended as part of this Master Plan, based on the existing (2015) and future scenario evaluations. A few projects are included by planning year 2035. The amount of infrastructure added in the planned development and build-out scenarios is not considered significant and is much smaller than in the Southeast and North Service Areas.

The pipe lengths listed throughout this chapter include force mains connecting the future planned developments to the network. Developers will be expected to pay to install force mains up to the point where it ties into a common (major) transmission force main.

Chapter 7 provides a 20-year CIP and a detailed description of the recommended County projects through 2035. Developer funded projects are not included in the County's CIP.

Table 6.11	Summary Recommended Future Infrastructure Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County						
		2020 (Feet)	2025 (Feet)	2035 (Feet)	Planned Development (Feet)	Build-Out (Feet)	
Force Main	Diameter	•					
4		5,523				21,944	
6		1,598				1,492	
8		11,987	1,615				
10							
12		845	7,962		8,296	2,171	
14							
16		9,502	800				
18		4,776					
20		26,555		1,027			
24		11,447					

Table 6.11 Summ South Manate	Summary Recommended Future Infrastructure Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County						
	2020 (Feet)	2025 (Feet)	2035 (Feet)	Planned Development (Feet)	Build-Out (Feet)		
27	25,360						
30							
36							
42	6,870						
Total Force Main Length	104,463	10,377	1,027	8,296	25,607		
Gravity Main Diamet	er						
12			1,061				
21		1,247					
24		2,328	1,430				
Total Gravity Main Length	0	3,575	2,491	0	0		
New Lift Stations	5	1	0	1	11		
Existing Lift Stations with New Pumps	1	9	1	0	1		

Although this Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update and CIP present a planning scenario based on best available information, the County should continue to update the land use plan, collection system hydraulic model, and Master Plan as new developments, land use changes, or additional information becomes available. The County should also continue with efforts to identify and rehabilitate areas vulnerable to I&I.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

7.1 INTRODUCTION

This chapter presents a 5-, 10-, and 20-year capital improvements program (CIP) based on the recommendations in Chapters 5 and 6. The CIP provides an estimate of the planning level costs associated with the improvements recommended through the 20-year (2035) planning period. Cost estimates prepared for the CIP were developed based on information obtained from recent, local bid tabs for similar projects and various unit cost sources. The costs included in the CIP are based on 2016 dollars; therefore, costs for projects in future years must be escalated to account for inflation and other applicable increases. The CIP also includes a detailed schedule for the 5-year period based on relative priority of the recommended projects.

The remainder of Chapter 7 includes the following sections:

<u>Section 7.2 - Cost Estimating Accuracy</u>: Describes the expected level of accuracy for master planning cost estimates.

<u>Section 7.3 - Cost Estimating Methodology and Unit Costs</u>: Summarizes the methods and assumptions used in developing cost estimates.

<u>Section 7.4 - Wastewater Collection System Cost Estimates:</u> Outlines recommended wastewater system improvements and estimated costs for projects needed within the 20-year timeframe. The projects are identified as County funded projects or developer contributed assets.

<u>Section 7.5 - 5-Year CIP</u>: Provides the 5-year wastewater system CIP and a detailed implementation based on relative priority of County projects and benefit to the system.

<u>Section 7.6 - 10-Year CIP</u>: Provides the 10-year wastewater system CIP based on relative priority of County projects and benefit to the system.

<u>Section 7.7 - 20-Year CIP</u>: Provides the 20-year wastewater system CIP based on relative priority of County projects and benefit to the system.

<u>Section 7.8 - CIP Summary:</u> Summarizes the CIP for the wastewater collection system through 2035.

7.2 COST ESTIMATING ACCURACY

Cost estimates have been developed to help the County prepare budgets for the projects identified in this Master Plan Update. The level of accuracy for cost estimates varies depending on the level of detail to which the project has been defined. Planning level estimates usually represent a Class 4 or Class 5 level of accuracy, while final plans and specifications present the highest level of accuracy, or Class 1. The Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering (AACE) International has developed the following guidelines for anticipated cost estimate accuracy based on the type of estimate:

Type of Cost Estimate	Anticipated Accuracy
Class 5 (Conceptual)	+100% to -50%
Class 4 (Planning Level)	+50% to -30%
Class 3 (Preliminary Design)	+30% to -15%
Class 2 (50 to 70% Design Completion)	+20% to -10%
Class 1 (Pre-Bid)	+15% to -5%

The cost estimates presented in this Master Plan Update are considered Class 4 accuracy level unless otherwise noted.

7.3 COST ESTIMATING METHODOLOGY AND UNIT COSTS

Cost estimates were calculated for the recommended improvements based on the length and diameter of pipes, and based on power consumption (horsepower) for pumps. In the Southwest Service Area, pipe improvements are required in both force main and gravity pipelines and therefore cost estimates include both. Manholes are not included in cost estimates when the deficiency relies on the gravity lines around and not on the manhole itself. Proposed lift station upgrades such as pump replacements are also included in the cost estimates. Costs are presented in 2016 dollars and must be escalated for future years.

Summaries of the unit pipe costs are provided in Table 7.1. These costs were developed using bid tabulations from twenty recent County pipeline projects. A range of projects were included with segments of open cut and trenchless construction, in rural and urban areas. The construction cost per LF includes mobilization, demobilization, clearing and grubbing, dewatering, maintenance of traffic, special connections, excavation, restoration, and fittings.

A 30 percent factor was applied to the construction cost of force mains for contingency and land acquisition costs. It should be noted that land acquisition costs are highly variable and could exceed this estimate. Contingency accounts for project unknowns at the planning level, such as pipe alignment, pipe material, and site conditions. Since this cost reflects only construction costs, a 25 percent factor for engineering, construction inspection and management, legal fees, and administration was applied to the total unit construction costs. For pump replacements, a 30 percent factor for contingency was applied to the pump cost given that it is assumed that the County would directly procure and install the equipment.

Table 7.1	Table 7.1 Unit Pipe Costs for PVC and DIP Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County						
Diameter	Construction Cost (\$/LF)	30% Contingency and Land Acquisition (\$/LF)	25% Legal, Admin, Engineering Services during Construction (\$/LF)	Total Project Cost (\$/LF)			
4	\$61.00	\$18.30	\$19.83	\$99.13			
6	\$92.00	\$27.60	\$29.90	\$149.50			
8	\$123.00	\$36.90	\$39.98	\$199.88			
10	\$154.00	\$46.20	\$50.05	\$250.25			
12	\$184.00	\$55.20	\$59.80	\$299.00			
14	\$215.00	\$64.50	\$69.88	\$349.38			
16	\$246.00	\$73.80	\$79.95	\$399.75			
18	\$277.00	\$83.10	\$90.03	\$450.13			
20	\$307.00	\$92.10	\$99.78	\$498.88			
21	\$323.00	\$96.75	\$80.63	\$499.88			
24	\$369.00	\$110.70	\$119.93	\$599.63			
30	\$461.00	\$138.30	\$149.83	\$749.13			
36	\$713.00	\$213.90	\$231.73	\$1,158.63			
48	\$951.00	\$285.30	\$309.08	\$1,545.38			
Notes:							

(1) Cost estimates are based on PVC material for pipe sizes 4 to 30 inches and DI for pipes 32 inches and larger.

Costs used for submersible pumps by power usage are summarized in Table 7.2. These power-cost relationships follow a statistical regression developed from cost data of previous lift station planning and design projects. The horsepower-cost relationship shown is not scalable to equipment with larger horsepower and therefore is only intended for application at non-master lift stations. It should also be noted that these are costs per each pumping unit, and that design redundancy is necessary since lift stations operate unattended and must be reliable to prevent overflows of the collection system. Therefore, twice the cost shown in Table 7.2 is included per lift station when a pump upgrade was recommended.

Table 7.2 Pump Replacement Costs by Horsepower ⁽¹⁾ Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County					
Horsepowe	er (hp)	Pump Cost (Per Pump, \$) ⁽²⁾	30% Contingency		
5		\$12,720	\$16,535		
15		\$15,384	\$19,999		
25		\$16,556	\$21,523		
50		\$20,698	\$26,907		
60		\$22,504	\$29,256		
75		\$25,082	\$32,606		
Notes:					

(1) For use at non-master lift stations.

(2) Costs not scalable to equipment with horsepower larger than shown in this table.

7.4 WASTEWATER COLLECTION SYSTEM COST ESTIMATES

Table 7.3 presents a list of recommended wastewater infrastructure improvements to correct system deficiencies (to allow the system to meet the County's performance criteria) and to accommodate future growth. In some cases, several improvements of the same type were grouped into a single project. A detailed description of each project and the conditions in the collection system has been included in Chapter 6. The construction year for projects already in the County FY 2015-2019 CIP remains the same regardless of recommended changes.

Most new projects identified in this Master Plan Update are anticipated to come online by the 2025 planning period based on the amount of time it takes to budget, plan, design, procure, and construct a CIP project. Other projects of less priority based on relative risk to the collection system are proposed to come online by year 2035. The County may choose to construct those projects by 2025 if funds are available.

The locations of the recommended projects listed in Table 7.3 are shown in Figure 7.1 with the corresponding project numbers identified. CIP forms for each of the projects shown in Table 7.3 are included in Appendix J.

Table 7.3	3 Master Plan Recommended Infrastructure Improvemen Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County	ts				
	Master Plan Project				Project Cost Estimate (\$M)	
Lift Statio	on Evaluation/Replacement Projects					
Project ID	Description			Recommended Year Online	Total Project Cost	
SW-1	Bayshore Yacht Basin Lift Station relocation and upgrades. Project inc new pumps with variable frequency drives, 16-foot square wet well, an force main ⁽¹⁾	ludes new l d 800 LF of	building, f 16-inch	2025	\$3.50	
SW-2	W-2 Upsize Lift Station 9-A (RTU 436) force main (approximately 6,060 feet) to 12-inch pipe, 2025 replace the pumps (40 hp), and evaluate and upsize wet well ⁽²⁾					
			Su	btotal Lift Stations	\$5.76	
Pipeline I	Projects					
Project ID	Description	Diamet er (inch)	Length (feet)	Recommended Year Online	Total Project Cost	
SW-3	Extend MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main along Cortez Road to MLS 1- M (RTU 203) $^{\!(3)}$	20	10,113	2020	\$5.05	
SW-4	Upsize force main connecting Lift Stations 2-A, 1-A, and 16-A (RTUs 439, 135, and 440) to MLS 12-A	20	965	2025	\$0.48	
SW-5	Upsize Lift Station 7-A (RTU 137) force main	8	1,615	2025	\$0.32	
SW-6	Upsize Lift Station 6-A (RTU 136) force main	12	1,902	2025	\$0.57	
SW-7	Upsize MLS 36-A (RTU 241) influent gravity main	21	1,247	2025	\$1.11	
		24	850	2023		
SW-8	Upsize MLS 13-A (RTU 408) Influent Gravity Main	24	1,350	2035	\$0.81	

Table 7.	3 Master Plan Recommended Infrastructure Improvemen Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County	ts			
	Master Plan Project				Project Cost Estimate (\$M)
SW-9	Upsize Force Main on US 41 (from Magellan Drive to 69th Avenue West)	16	1,027	2035	\$0.41
SW-10	Upsize the existing 8-inch gravity main upstream of MLS 1-M (RTU 203) on Palma Sola Boulevard.	12	1,061	2035	\$0.32
			Subtotal	Pipeline Projects	\$9.07
Pump Re	placement Projects	•	•		
Project ID	Description	Horse- power (hp)	Number of Pumps	Recommended Year Online	Total Project Cost
SW-11	Replace pumps at the following RTUs to prevent manhole overflows and surcharging in upstream gravity system: 136	15	2 (each)	2025	\$0.04
	457	10			\$0.04
SW-12	Replace pumps at the following RTUs to prevent surcharging in upstream gravity system:		2 (each)	2025	
	217	15			\$0.04
	437	5			\$0.03
SW-13	Replace pumps at the following RTUs to provide additional capacity for USF/Airport flows:		2 (each)	2025	
	137	5			\$0.03 \$0.03
		2			φ 0. 03

Table 7.	3 Master Plan Recommended Infrastructure Improveme Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County	nts			
	Master Plan Project				Project Cost Estimate (\$M)
SW-14	Replace pumps at the following RTUs to meet firm pump capacity under future LOS conditions ⁽⁴⁾ :		2 (each)	2025	
	108	15			\$0.04
	116	20			\$0.04
	141	15			\$0.04
	258	2			\$0.03
	319	15			\$0.04
	342	30			\$0.05
SW-15	Replace pumps at Airport Industrial Park lift station (RTU 469)	2	2	2035	\$0.03
		Subtotal	Pump Replac	ement Projects	\$0.48
				Total	\$15.31
Notes:	a completed a cost estimate for this project as part of the County's 2016 CIP of	lanning			

Carollo completed a cost estimate for this project as part of the County's 2016 CIP planning.
 Cost estimate based on a 12-foot diameter wet well. Actual wet well sizing should be determined by project design engineer.
 Because this is expected to be partially funded by developers, the County anticipates this project coming online by 2020.
 Firm pumping capacity exceeded during LOS scenario based on rated pump capacity provided by County.



Recommended changes to current FY 2015-2019 CIP projects are summarized in Table 7.4. Table 7.4 also shows the estimated cost savings that may be achieved due to the proposed diameter reductions with respect to the diameter in the currently planned CIP project. As explained in Chapter 6, the different force main segments of each CIP were evaluated separately. As a result, the entire length of all projects has not been recommended for change in all cases. Table 7.4 shows the percent savings with respect to the portion of the CIP project proposed for change. It also shows the percent of the project for which changes have been proposed. These two percentages were used to calculate a total estimated savings with respect to the adopted CIP. Total estimated savings are shown in Table 7.4 and reflected in proposed 20-year CIP.

Recommended changes to CIP projects are shown in Figure 7.2 grouped by color per the original description in the County's FY 2015-2019 CIP. Lengths are included so pipe segments can be easily related to segments in the CIP descriptions as presented in Chapter 6 and Table 7.4.

Table 7.4	Recommended Changes Southwest WWCS Maste Manatee County	to FY 2015- r Plan Upda	2019 CIP Projects te								
	FY 2015-2019 CIP Project	As Proposed in Master Plan									
Project ID	Description	FY 2015- 2019 CIP Cost (\$M)	Diameter (inch)	Length (feet)	Estimated Savings ⁽¹⁾ (%)	Entire Project Length ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Percent of Project Changed ⁽³⁾ (%)	Savings with Respect to FY 2015-2019 CIP Cost (\$M)			
WW00974	MLS #5 Force Main	\$4.17	16	8,781	30.4%	13,557	100%	\$1.27			
	Replacement		18	3,465							
			18	1,311							
WW00975	MLS 12-A Force Main Replacement - First Segment Only	\$4.50	20	3,393	16.7%	10,297	33%	\$0.25			
6035781	MLS 1-D Force Main	\$3.00	20	4,637	22.6%	12,150	100%	\$0.68			
	Replacement		20	7,513	1						

Table 7.4	Recommended Changes Southwest WWCS Maste Manatee County	is to FY 2015- ≆r Plan Upda	2019 CIP Pi ite	rojects								
	FY 2015-2019 CIP Project		As Proposed in Master Plan									
Project ID	Description	FY 2015- 2019 CIP Cost (\$M)	Diameter (inch)	Length (feet)	Estimated Savings ⁽¹⁾ (%)	Entire Project Length ⁽²⁾ (feet)	Percent of Project Changed ⁽³⁾ (%)	Savings with Respect to FY 2015-2019 CIP Cost (\$M)				
6085780	Extension of MLS 1-D	\$2.72	20	205	37.6%	16,730	13.5%	\$0.14				
MLS 1-M Force Main CIP Description	MLS 1-M Force Main CIP		20	694								
	Description		24	1,364								
WW00976	MLS 13-A Force Main Replacement - Second Segment Only	\$5.28	27	304	25.0%	13,255	2.3%	\$0.03				
WW01037	Lift Station 23-A Force Main Replacement	\$0.33	8	1,385	-33.7% ⁽⁴⁾	1,385	100%	(\$0.11) ⁽⁴⁾				
Total Savir Changes to Projects (\$	igs due to Recommended o FY 2015-2019 CIP M)			<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	\$2.25				
Notes: (1) With res	pect to the CIP costs for the seg	ment proposed	d for change.									

(1) With respect to the only costs for the segments included in the original CIP description.
(2) Scaled (from model) length of segments included in the original CIP description.
(3) Based on length only.
(4) Additional expenditures instead of savings. Proposed change includes upsize of the planned CIP project from 6-inch to 8-inch pipe.



7.5 5-YEAR CIP

The County has an adopted 5-year CIP (FY 2015-2019) as mentioned above. The projects listed in the CIP, with the proposed changes, are included in the 2020 scenario. Upon County adopting the recommended changes, it is also recommended that planning-level savings presented in Table 7.4 be evaluated at a design phase level, and results be incorporated into the adopted CIP. The only additional pipelines which were included in the 2020 scenario that are not in the County's current CIP are the force mains that will serve planned developments coming online by 2020 and the MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main extension. The estimated cost for the MLS #5 force main extension is \$5,050,000.

7.6 10-YEAR CIP

The 10-year CIP extends through 2025 and includes recommended future CIP projects to alleviate existing capacity restrictions in force mains and gravity pipelines. The total estimated cost of the Southwest Service Area recommended Master Plan projects for FY 2021 through 2025 is \$8,690,000.

7.7 20-YEAR CIP

New infrastructure coming online between 2025 and 2035 is mostly proposed infrastructure to alleviate lower risk deficiencies identified during the 2015 and 2020 scenarios. The total estimated cost of recommended Master Plan projects for the Southwest Service Area for FY 2026 through 2035 is \$1,570,000.

Table 7.5 provides a detailed 20-year CIP, which includes the projects in the County's most recent published CIP (FY 2015-2019), projects recommended in the Force Main and Valve Asset Management Plan (AMP) completed by Carollo in 2014, and the recommended projects developed in this Master Plan Update. Table 7.5 also includes the cost savings based on recommended changes to existing CIP projects.

7.8 SUMMARY

Table 7.6 provides a summary of the total 20-year CIP, including existing CIP projects, recommended master plan projects, and projects recommended in the AMP.

Table 7.5	Complete 20-Year Wastewater Collection Sys Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County	stem CIP										
CIP/						Fis	cal Year					
Project Number	Description	2016	2017	2018	2010	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026 -
Southwest	Service Area Existing CIP Projects ⁽¹⁾ and P	otential Associa	ated Cost Ch	ange Identifie	ed in this Ma	aster Plan (in	\$M)	2022	2023	2024	2025	2033
WW00975	Force Main 12A Rehabilitation	\$4.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW00976	Force Main 13A Rehabilitation	\$4.40	\$0.88	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW01036	Force Main 17A Replacement	\$0.32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6035781	Force Main 1D Rehabilitation	\$3.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6085780	Force Main 1M Rehabilitation	\$2.72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW00978	Force Main 27A Rehabilitation	\$1.70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW01038	Force Main 31A Replacement	\$0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW00974	Force Main 5 Rehabilitation	\$3.50	\$0.67	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW01037	Lift Station 23-A Force Main Replacement	\$0.33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW01225	MLS 12A Emergency Generator Replacement	\$0.46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW01226	MLS 12A Pumps and VFD Replacement	-	-	\$0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW01229	MLS 1D Wet Well Rehab and Dimminutor Replacement	\$0.59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW01232	MLS 27A Pumps & VFD Replacement	-	-	\$0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW01236	MLS 5 Wet Well Rehabilitation	\$0.46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southwest	CIP Projects - Subtotal	\$22.49	\$1.55	\$1.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Count	y CIP Projects - Subtotal	\$5.42	-	\$0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southeast C	IP Projects - Subtotal	\$1.12	\$2.62	\$5.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
County-Wi	de Existing CIP Projects ⁽¹⁾ (in \$M)											
WW01258	Lift Station Repair, Replacement, and Generators	-	-	-	\$1.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WW01259	End of Service Life Collection Line Replacement ⁽²⁾	-	\$2.50	\$3.00	\$3.50	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$20.00
Subtotal Co	unty-Wide Projects	-	\$2.50	\$3.00	\$5.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$20.00
Existing C	IP Total	\$29.02	\$6.67	\$9.57	\$5.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$20.00

Table 7.5	Complete 20-Year Wastewater Collection System C Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County	IP										
CIP/						Fi	scal Year					
Project Number	Description	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026 - 2035
Savings on	CIP Projects due to Evaluation under the Master Plan	Updates ⁽³⁾										
Southeast		-	(\$0.81)	(\$3.24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southwest		(\$2.25)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Southwest	Recommended Master Plan Projects (in \$M)	· · · · ·					· · · · ·	·				
Lift Station	Evaluation/Replacement Projects											
SW-1	Bayshore Yacht Basin Lift Station relocation and upgrades. Project includes new building, new pumps with variable frequency drives, 16-foot square wet well, and 800 LF of 16-inch force main	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.50	\$1.50	\$1.50	-	-	\$3.50
SW-2	Upsize Lift Station 9-A (RTU 436) force main (approximately 6,060 feet) to 12-inch pipe, replace pumps (40 hp) and evaluate and upsize wet well	-	-	-	-	-	\$1.13	\$1.13	-	-	-	\$2.26
Recommende	ed Lift Station Projects Subtotals	-	-	-	-	-	\$1.63	\$2.63	\$1.50	-	-	\$5.76
Pipeline Pro	vjects	·					· · · · ·	·				
SW-3	Extend MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main along Cortez Road to MLS 1-M (RTU 203)	-	-	-	-	\$5.05	-	-	-	-	-	\$5.05
SW-4	Upsize Force Main Connecting Lift Stations 2-A, 1- A, and 16-A (RTUs 439, 135, and 440) to MLS 12-A	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.48	-	-	-	-	\$0.48
SW-5	Upsize Lift Station 7-A (RTU 137) force main	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.16	\$0.16	-	-	-	\$0.32
SW-6	Upsize Lift Station 6-A (RTU 136) force main	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.29	\$0.28	-	-	-	\$0.57
SW-7	Upsize MLS 36-A influent gravity main	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$1.11	\$1.11
SW-8	Upsize MLS 13-A influent gravity main	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.81
SW-9	Upsize Force Main on US 41 (from Magellan Drive to 69th Avenue West)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.41
SW-10	Upsize the existing 8-inch gravity main upstream of MLS 1-M (RTU 203) on Palma Sola Boulevard	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.32
Recommen	ded Pipeline Projects Subtotals		-	-	-	\$5.05	\$0.93	\$0.44	-	-	\$1.11	\$9.07
Pump Repla	cement Projects											
SW-11	Replace pumps at lift station RTUs 136 and 457	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.08	-	-	-	-	\$0.08
SW-12	Replace pumps at lift station RTUs 217 and 437	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.07	-	-	-	-	\$0.07

Table 7.5	Complete 20-Year Wastewater Collection Sys Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County	stem CIP											
CIP/		Fiscal Year											
Project Number	Description	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026 - 2035	
SW-13	Replace pumps at lift station RTUs 137 and 149	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.06	-	-	-	-	-	
SW-14	Replace pumps at lift station RTUs 108, 116, 141, 258, 319, 342	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.24	-	
SW-15	Replace pumps at lift station RTU 469	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.03	
Recommen	ded Pump Replacement Projects Subtotal	-	-	-	-	-	\$0.21	-	-	-	\$0.24	\$0.03	
Recommen	ded Projects - Southwest Subtotal	-	-	-	-	\$5.05	\$2.77	\$3.07	\$1.50	-	\$1.35	\$1.57	
Recommend	ded Projects - North Subtotal	-	-	-	-	\$1.15	\$1.17	\$0.95	\$0.54	\$0.54	\$0.64	-	
Recommend	ded Projects - Southeast Subtotal	\$2.01	\$1.50	\$1.68	\$0.14	-	\$0.29	\$0.29	-	-	-	-	
Existing C	IP and Recommended Projects - Total ⁽⁴⁾	\$28.78	\$7.36	\$8.01	\$5.14	\$8.20	\$6.23	\$6.31	\$4.04	\$2.54	\$3.99	\$21.57	

Notes:

 Only includes Collections, Restoration & Rehab, and Transportation-related projects from the adopted FY 2015-2019 CIP. Does not include MARS or Treatment projects.
 AMP recommended projects are assumed to be completed using funds from this End of Service Life CIP. A budget amount of \$2 M per year was assumed for fiscal years 2021 through 2035.
 Based on estimated percent savings due to changes in pipeline diameter. Savings are based on percent changes to existing County CIP budgets as shown in Table 7.4 for the Southwest Service Area. Please refer to the Southeast Master Plan report for the cost savings in the Southeast Service Area.

(4) Includes cost savings for the Southeast and Southwest Service Areas.

		Fiscal Year ⁽¹⁾											
Description	Service Area	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026 - 2035	
Existing CIP Projects (2)	North	\$5.42	-	\$0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Southeast	\$1.12	\$2.62	\$5.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Southwest	\$22.49	\$1.55	\$1.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	County-wide ⁽³⁾	-	\$2.50	\$3.00	\$5.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$20.00	
	Subtotal	\$29.02	\$6.67	\$9.57	\$5.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$20.00	
Savings to CIP Projects	Southeast	-	\$ (0.81)	\$ (3.24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Due to Evaluations in Master Plan Updates	Southwest	\$(2.25)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Subtotal	\$(2.25)	\$(0.81)	\$(3.24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Recommended Master	North	-	-	-	-	\$1.15	\$1.17	\$0.95	\$0.54	\$0.54	\$0.64	-	
Plan Projects	Southeast	\$2.01	\$1.50	\$1.68	\$0.14	-	\$0.29	\$0.29	-	-	-	-	
	Southwest	-	-	-	-	\$5.05	\$2.77	\$3.07	\$1.50	-	\$1.35	\$1.57	
	Subtotal	\$2.01	\$1.50	\$1.68	\$0.14	\$6.20	\$4.23	\$4.31	\$2.04	\$0.54	\$1.99	\$1.57	
Existing CIP and Recon Total ⁽⁴⁾	nmended Projects -	\$28.78	\$7.36	\$8.01	\$5.14	\$8.20	\$6.23	\$6.31	\$4.04	\$2.54	\$3.99	\$21.57	

Notes:

(1) Costs shown in \$M.

 (2) Includes Collections, Restoration & Rehab, and Transportation-related projects from the adopted FY 2015-2019 CIP. Does not include MARS or Treatment projects.
 (3) AMP recommended projects are assumed to be completed using funds from the End of Service Life CIP (which is included in the existing County-wide CIP projects). A budget amount of \$2 M per year was assumed for fiscal years 2021 through 2035.

(4) Includes cost savings for the Southeast and Southwest Service Areas.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

8.1 INTRODUCTION

The primary goals of the Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update were to update the hydraulic wastewater collection system model, evaluate the existing wastewater collection system, identify system improvements to serve planned developments, and recommend future infrastructure to address existing limitations and serve undeveloped areas. The WWCS Master Plan Update identifies force mains and lift stations that should be constructed to provide wastewater services now through build-out conditions. A CIP was developed, based on the model evaluations and master planning tasks, for the 5-, 10-, and 20-year planning periods. The CIP includes improvements necessary to remediate areas in the system that do not currently meet performance criteria, as well as size infrastructure in undeveloped areas to best accommodate future development.

The following sections provide a summary of the Southwest WWCS Master Plan analyses and conclusions. Recommended projects are provided in a 20-year CIP, which can serve as a budgetary and scheduling planning tool for the County.

8.2 SOUTHWEST WWCS MASTER PLAN CONCLUSIONS

The primary wastewater collection system limitations currently in the Southwest Service Area WWCS include hydraulic challenges associated with master lift station discharge force mains and the slipped-lined gravity pipe upstream of Master Lift Station 1-M during wet weather events. In addition, a few new developments are planned in the Southwest Service Area within the 20-year planning period, which will increase wastewater flow.

The current AADF flow in the Southwest Service Area is 12.97 mgd. Based on the population projections and a strict interpretation of the County's current LOS, the AADF is projected to increase to 17.42 mgd by 2035 and to 18.96 mgd by the planned development scenario, which assumes all of the planned developments within the Southwest Service Area are built out to their maximum capacity. The AADF is estimated to reach 21.25 mgd by ultimate build-out of the service area.

The current permitted capacity of the SWWRF is 15 mgd based on three month rolling average daily flow (3MRADF). The average ratio between the County's annual average daily flow (AADF) and the 3MRADF was determined to be essentially one in the LOS Evaluation project (Carollo, 2015). Based on strict interpretation of the LOS, projected flows indicate that the SWWRF capacity would have been exceeded by 2015. However, when current and future system loads are calculated using the actual per capita factor (84.75 gpcd), the SWWRF is not expected to reach capacity until beyond 2035. It is recommended that the County continue to monitor the actual per capita factor, perform

periodical reviews of the expected LOS, and update projections and simulations accordingly to make the best possible informed decisions.

8.3 CIP RECOMMENDATIONS

The Southwest Service Area CIP, described in Chapter 7, was prepared to develop a planning level cost for the wastewater infrastructure needed within the 5-, 10-, and 20-year timeframes. Projects included in the County's CIP are those that allow the system to meet the County's performance criteria in addition to infrastructure needed to serve future growth. The recommendations also include the replacement of force mains when it reaches the end of its useful life as recommended in the County's Force Main AMP (Carollo, 2014).

Table 8.1 provides a summary of the County's 20-year CIP, including existing CIP projects, savings due to proposed modifications to adopted FY 2015-2019 CIP projects, and projects recommended as part of this Master Plan Update. Projects recommended in the AMP are included with the existing CIP projects. All recommended Master Plan projects were assumed to be included after the FY 2015-2019 CIP due to the amount of time required to plan and budget for a new project. Because the MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main extension will be partially funded by developers, it was assumed to be completed by 2020. The estimated cost for this project is \$5,050,000.

The total estimated cost of the recommended Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update projects from FY 2020 through 2035 is \$15,310,000. Approximately 57 percent represents Master Plan projects recommended for FY 2021 through 2025, while 10 percent was allocated for recommended Master Plan projects to be completed between 2026 and 2035.
Table 8.120-Year CIP SSouthwest WManatee Cou	Summary /WCS Master Plan Upd Inty	late										
			Fiscal Year ⁽¹⁾									
Description	Service Area	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026 - 2035
Existing CIP Projects ⁽²⁾	North	\$5.42	-	\$0.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Southeast	\$1.12	\$2.62	\$5.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Southwest	\$22.49	\$1.55	\$1.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	County-wide ⁽³⁾	-	\$2.50	\$3.00	\$5.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$20.00
	Subtotal	\$29.02	\$6.67	\$9.57	\$5.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$20.00
Savings to CIP Projects	Southeast	-	\$ (0.81)	\$ (3.24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Due to Evaluations in this Master Plan	Southwest	\$ (2.25)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Subtotal	\$(2.25)	\$(0.81)	\$(3.24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Recommended Master	North	-	-	-	-	\$1.15	\$1.17	\$0.95	\$0.54	\$0.54	\$0.64	-
Plan Projects ⁽⁴⁾	Southeast	\$2.0	\$1.50	\$1.68	\$0.14	-	\$0.29	\$0.29	-	-	-	-
	Southwest	-	-	-	-	\$5.05	\$2.77	\$3.07	\$1.50	-	\$1.35	\$1.57
	Subtotal	\$2.01	\$1.50	\$1.68	\$0.14	\$6.20	\$4.23	\$4.31	\$2.04	\$0.54	\$1.99	\$1.57
Existing CIP and Recommended Projects - Total ⁽⁵⁾		\$28.78	\$7.36	\$8.01	\$5.14	\$8.20	\$6.23	\$6.31	\$4.04	\$2.54	\$3.99	\$21.57

Notes:

(1) Costs shown in \$M.

(1) Coold shown in thin (1)
 (2) Includes Collections, Restoration & Rehab, and Transportation-related projects from the adopted FY 2015-2019 CIP. Does not include MARS or Treatment projects.
 (3) AMP recommended projects are assumed to be completed using funds from the End of Service Life CIP (which is included in the existing County-wide CIP projects). A budget amount of \$2 M per year was assumed for fiscal years 2021 through 2035.

(4) CIP Forms for each recommended project is provided in Appendix J.(5) Includes cost savings for the Southeast and Southwest Service Areas.

8.4 FUTURE PLANNING RECOMMENDATIONS

Although the Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update presents planning scenarios based on best available information, the County should continue to update the land use plan, hydraulic model, and Master Plan as new developments, land use changes, or additional information becomes available. In addition, the County should continue their efforts to identify infrastructure prone to I&I and plan for the repair and/or replacement of aging infrastructure.

In addition of adopting all the projects that were included in the model scenarios and in the proposed CIP, the following recommendations may improve collection system operations. Results of the suggested assessments should be included in the model to increase the robustness of the simulations for future use and during future model updates. It is not anticipated that these modifications would significantly impact the current overall calibration, model results, or CIP project recommendations.

- It is recommended that the rated capacity of Lake Bridge Lift Station (RTU 108) as provided by County (see Appendix D) is confirmed, and reassess the recommended pump change if the rated capacity of the existing pumps is different than the information provided.
- This Master Plan Update identified a limiting hydraulic condition at the SWWRF influent force main. It is recommended that upgrades of this influent pipe be a part of the future headworks replacement project (scheduled to be completed in 2018).
- Field verify invert elevations and diameters at manholes where overflows are not associated with identified gravity main surcharges, or where upstream gravity lines have not been included in the model. If field conditions are simulated in the model correctly, then such overflows may be avoided by manual operation of lift stations in a severe storm.
- Install flowmeters at key locations throughout the collection system (including all master lift stations and the Bayshore Yacht Basin (RTU 101) Lift Station), and calibrate them annually.
- Calibrate existing flowmeters and pressure transducers.
- Maintain records of current pump settings (on/off elevations) when available.
- Maintain documentation of any modifications in lift station operation or control for wet weather conditions. Update control settings in the model wet weather scenarios accordingly.
- Reconcile all infrastructure differences between the model and GIS.
- Wastewater generation factors (LOS gallons per capita) should be reviewed on an on-going basis to reflect the up-to-date wastewater loading/input.

Southwest County Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Update

APPENDIX A – EXCERPT FROM MANATEE COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

<u>MANATEE COUNTY</u> COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

COMPLETE AND UP TO DATE THRU

SUPPLEMENT # 21

Future Land Use Category	Map Symb ol	Maximum Potential Density*(Gross Dwelling Units /Gross Acre) (DU/GA)	ross Net(Dwelling Units/(Net Acre)		General Range of Potential Uses (See Policies for Additional Detail)	Commercial Size Limitation
1) Conservation Lands	CON	0	0	0	Open Space or Passive Nature Parks, Selected Agriculture Activities, Accessory Structures	See 2.2.1.7.3
2) Agriculture/Rural	AG/R	0.2	2	0.23	Agriculture, Rural Residential Uses, Mining, Agro-Industrial Uses, Commercial Uses Related To Agriculture, Neighborhood Retail Uses, and Professional/Personal Services Office Uses, Recreational Facilities.	Small
3) Estate Rural	ER	0.2	1	0.23	Clustered suburban residential uses, neighborhood retail, agricultural uses, agriculturally compatible residential uses, public or semi-public uses, schools, low intensity recreational uses, and appropriate water-dependent water-related / water-enhanced uses.	Small
4) Residential-1 DU/GA	RES-1	1.0	See 2.2.1.9.3	0.23	Residential Uses, Neighborhood-Retail Uses and Professional/Personal Service Office Uses, Recreation Facilities (Generally limited to Neighborhood Retail Uses)	Medium
5) Residential- 3.0 DU/GA	RES-3	3.0 Min. 2.5 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	6 9 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	0.23 1.0 in CRA's and UIRA	Same as for RES-1 Neotraditional development is limited to Small (Neighborhood Retail Uses – wholesale uses not allowed)	Medium
6) Urban Fringe- 3.0 DU/GA	UF-3	3.0	9	0.23	Same as for RES-1 Neotraditional development is limited to Small (Neighborhood Retail Uses – wholesale uses not allowed) Community –serving commercial	Medium *Large
7) Residential-6 DU/GA	RES-6	6.0 Min. 5.0 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	12 16 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	0.23 1.0 in CRA's and UIRA	Same as for RES-1 Neotraditional development is limited to Small (Neighborhood Retail Uses – wholesale uses not allowed)	Medium
8) Residential-9 DU/GA	RES-9	9.0 Min 7.0 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	16 20 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	0.23 1.0 in CRA's and UIRA	Same as for RES-1 Neotraditional development is limited to Small (Neighborhood Retail Uses – wholesale uses not allowed)	Medium
9) Residential-12 DU/GA	RES- 12	12.0 Min 10.0 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	16 24 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	0.23 1.0 in CRA's and UIRA	Same as for RES-1 Neotraditional development is limited to Small (Neighborhood Retail Uses – wholesale uses not allowed)	Medium

PART I: FUTURE LAND USE DISTRICTS

Page 1 of 5

Supplement #21

PART I: FUTURE LAND USE DISTRICTS

Page 2 of 5

Future Land Use Category	Map Symbol	Maximum Potential Density*(Gross Dwelling Units /Gross Acre) (DU/GA)	Net(Dwelling Units/(Net Acre)	Maximum Potential Intensity*(Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	General Range of Potential Uses (See Policies for Additional Detail)	Commerci al Size Limitation
10) Residential-16 DU/GA**	RES-16	16.0 Min 13.0 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	20 28 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	0.25 1.0 in CRA's and UIRA	Same as for RES-1; also, Hotel/Motel Neotraditional development is limited to Small (Neighborhood Retail Uses – wholesale uses not allowed)	Medium
11) Low Intensity Office	OL	6.0 Min 5.0 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	12 16 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	0.23 1.0 in CRA's and UIRA	Professional, Personal Service, Business Service, Financial Service, and Other Offices Uses, Residential Uses, Recreational Facilities	Small (Office Uses Only)
12) Medium Intensity Office	ОМ	0	0	.30 (Outside of the Urban Core Area) .50 (Inside the Urban Core Area 1.0 in CRA's and UIRA	Professional office and/or research / corporate park uses are the primary non-residential uses, neighborhood retail uses, public or semi-public uses, schools, medium intensity recreational uses and appropriate water-dependent/water- related/water-enhanced uses can serve as appropriate secondary uses.	Max. 5,000 sf (Outside of the Urban Core Area) Max. 10,000 sf (Inside the Urban Core Area)
13) Retail/Office/ Residential	ROR	9.0 Min 7.0 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	20.0 24 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a min. of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	0.35 1.0 in CRA's and UIRA 1.0 for Hotels	Neighborhood Retail Uses, Community Serving Retail Uses, and Regional Retail Uses, Office Uses, Residential Uses, Hotel/Motel, Recreational Facilities	Large
14) Industrial- Light	IL	1	1	0.75 1.0 in CRA's and UIRA 1.0 for Hotels	Office, Light Industry, Research/Corporate Parks, Warehouse/Distribution, Intensive Commercial Uses, Neighborhood Retail Uses, Hotel/Motel, Selected Single- Family, Residential Uses	Small
15) Industrial- Heavy	Ш	0	0	0.5 1.0 in CRA's and UIRA	Light Industry, Heavy Industry, Ports, Intensive Commercial Uses, Neighborhood Retail Uses. Phosphate mining is not an allowable use.	Small
16) Urban Industrial	IU	0	0	1.25	Light Industry, Heavy Industry, Warehouse/ Distribution, Neighborhood Retail Uses	Small

17) Mixed Use	MU	9.0 Min 7.0 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	20.0 24 in CRA's and UIRA for residential projects that designate a minimum of 25% of the dwelling units as "Affordable Housing"	1.0 2.0 in CRA's and UIRA	Neighborhood Retail Uses, Community Serving Retail Uses and Regional Retail, Office, Light Industrial, Research/Corporate Parks, Warehouse/ Distribution, Residential Uses, Hotel/Motel	Large
18) Public/Semi- Public(1)	P/SP(1)	See Policies	See Policies	See Policies	Landfills, Permanent Water and Wastewater Treatment Storage/ Disposal Facilities, and Other Major Public Facilities Including But Not Limited To Major Maintenance Facilities, Solid Waste Transfer Stations, Major Utility Trans mission Corridors and Permitted Uses Therein	See 2.2.1.22.2 and 2.2.1.23.2

PART I: FUTURE LAND USE DISTRICTS Page 3 of 5

Future Land Use Category	Map Symbol	Maximum Potential Density*(Gross Dwelling Units /Gross Acre) (DU/GA)	Net(Dwelling Units/(Net Acre)	Maximum Potential Intensity*(Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	General Range of Potential Uses (See Policies for Additional Detail)	Commercial Size Limitation
19) Major Public/ Semi-Public (2)	P/SP(2)	See Policies	See Policies	See Policies	Universities, Colleges, or Groupings Of Other Major Educational Facilities, Hospitals and Complementary or Accessory Health Care Uses Not Designated Under Other Future Land Use Categories, Community Centers	See 2.2.1.22.2 and 2.2.1.23.2
20) Major Attractors	AT	N/A	N/A	N/A	Mass Seating Facilities, Civic Centers, Convention Facilities and Other Major Attractors	N/A
21) Major Recreation/Open Space	R/OS	0	0	0	Major Parks, Publicly-Owned or Operated Recreational Facilities	N/A

PART I: FUTURE LAND USE DISTRICTS					
Page 4 of 5					

Future Land Use Category	Map Symbol	Maximum Potential Density*(Gross Dwelling Units /Gross Acre) (DU/GA)	Net(Dwelling Units/(Net Acre)	Maximum Potential Intensity*(Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	General Range of Potential Uses (See Policies for Additional Detail)	Commercial Size Limitation
22) Mixed Use Community	MU-C	MU-C/AC-1 Maximum 9 du/ga Minimum 6 du/ga	Maximum 20 du/net acre	1.0	Retail, wholesale or office commercial uses which function in the market place as neighborhood, community or region- serving. Also light industrial uses, research/corporate uses, warehouse/distribution, suburban or urban residential uses, lodging places, recreational uses, public or semi-public uses, schools, hospitals, short-term agricultural uses, and appropriate water-dependent/water-related/water enhanced uses.	Large
22) Mixed Use Community	MU-C	MU-C/AC-2 Maximum 9 du/ga Minimum 6 du/ga	Maximum 20 du/net acre	0.35	Retail, wholesale, or office commercial uses which function in the market place as neighborhood, community, or region- serving. Also light industrial uses, research/corporate uses, warehouse/distribution, suburban or urban residential uses, lodging places, recreational uses, public or semi-public uses, schools, short-term agricultural uses, and appropriate water- dependent/water-related/water enhanced uses.	Large
22) Mixed Use Community	MU-C	MU-C/AC-3 Maximum 3 du/ga	Maximum 9 du/net acre	0.23	Neighborhood retail/office uses, also light industrial uses, research/corporate uses, warehouse/distribution, suburban or urban density planned residential development with integrated residential support uses as part of such developments, short-term agricultural uses, interim farm worker housing, public or semi-public uses, schools, recreational uses, and appropriate water-dependent/water- related/water-enhanced uses.	Medium
22) Mixed Use Community	MU-C	MU-C/R Maximum 3 du/ga	Maximum 9 du/net acre	0.23	Suburban or urban density planned residential development with integrated residential support uses as part of such developments, neighborhood retail uses, short-term agricultural uses, interim farm worker housing, public or semi- public uses, schools, recreational uses, and appropriate water-dependent/water-related/water-enhanced uses.	Medium
22) Mixed Use Community	MU-C	MU-C/RU <u>Maximum 9 du/ga</u>	Maximum 16 du/net acre	0.23	Suburban or urban density planned residential development with integrated residential support uses as part of such developments, neighborhood retail uses, interim farm worker housing, public or semi-public uses, schools, recreational uses, and appropriate water-dependent/ water related/ water- enhanced uses	Medium

Southwest County Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Update

APPENDIX B – FDOT INTENSITY-DURATION-FREQUENCY CURVES

AUGUST 2001

ZONES FOR PRECIPITATION IDF CURVES DEVELOPED BY THE DEPARTMENT



TOPIC NO. 625-040-002-A DRAINAGE MANUAL APENDIX B-IDF CURVES



AUGUST 2001

Southwest County Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Update

APPENDIX C – EXCERPT FROM MANATEE COUNTY UTILITY DESIGN STANDARDS



MANATEE COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS UTILITY STANDARDS

MAY 2011



A. In-line potable or reclaimed water valves shall generally be installed at intervals no greater than 1,600 LF on transmission mains where systems serve widely scattered customers and where future development is not expected; and at intervals of no greater than 800 LF on main distribution loops and feeders, and on all primary branches connected to these lines.

In residential, commercial and industrial subdivisions, water valves shall be installed at intervals no greater than 800 feet and at all sides of tees and crosses located at roadway intersections, unless there is another in-line valve on that leg within 200 feet. Additional in-line isolation valves shall be located in the run of the tee at fire hydrant connections.

- B. In-line sewer valves shall be installed at intervals of no greater than 1,200 LF on sewer force mains.
- C. In all instances, for both water and pressure sewer pipes, valves shall be placed to maximize the effectiveness of isolation of the pipelines during maintenance and repairs. Valves shall not be placed in curbs or gutters, blow-off valve assemblies shall not be placed in driveways or sidewalks. In-line sewer valves shall be installed near each side of a canal crossing and/or major road crossing and at all jack and bore crossings. Valves shall be placed at the right-of-way line where a public water distribution or sewer collection system crosses over onto private property and becomes a privately maintained system. All valves shall be noted and depicted on the construction and record drawings. Clearance of 18 inches or one pipe diameter, whichever is greater, shall be maintained between valves and all other fittings and joints (bells, valves, flanges, etc.).
- D. Fire hydrants shall be located no more than 800 feet apart and within 400 feet of the main entrance of all non-residential buildings as measured along normal access routes, typically on the same side of the roadway as the water main. Hydrants shall be placed at the end of a water line unless within 500 feet of another hydrant. Hydrants shall not be located within 40 feet of any building, except within a right of way or within one-story single family residential areas.

9.09 MINIMUM PIPE FLOW DESIGN CRITERIA

A. Gravity Sewer Design

A minimum design velocity of 2.0 feet per second and a maximum design velocity of 10.0 feet per second shall be used for the design of gravity-flow pipelines. Maximum design flow depths for peak design flow rates shall not exceed 80 percent of the pipe inside diameter. Minimum slopes required to achieve a velocity of at least 2.0 feet per second are provided below:

Sewer Pipe Diameter in	Minimum Slope in Feet per
Inches, I.D.	100 Feet, Manning's $n = 0.013$
8	0.40
10	0.28
12	0.22

14	0.17
15	0.15
16	0.14
18	0.12
21	0.10
24	0.08
27	0.067
30	0.058
36	0.046

B. Sewer Force Main Design

Sewer force main velocities shall not be less than 2 feet per second, with one/smallest pump running (at minimum flow) and not exceed 6 feet per second at peak-hour flow conditions. Hazen-William's roughness coefficient of a maximum of 120 will be used in the calculations.

C. Gravity Sewer, Sewer Force Main, and Pump (Lift) Station Design

Construction drawings that are submitted to Manatee County for approval shall include engineering calculations, which may include computer hydraulic modeling. Gravity sewer, sewer force main, and pump station design shall be based on peak-hour flow rate. Unless the Engineer of Record provides credible documentation and/or data to support peaking factors used in his or her calculations, peaking factors for peak hour flow rate shall be based on the following equation:

Peak-Hour Flow/Average Daily Flow = $(18 + \sqrt{P})/(4 + \sqrt{P})$ (where \sqrt{P} = square root of the population in thousands) (Peak hour factor not to exceed 4)

D. Water Distribution Main Design

Water mains shall be designed with velocities no greater than 5 feet per second at peak-hour flow conditions and no greater than 10 feet per second at maximumday plus needed fire flow conditions. Hazen-William's roughness coefficient of a maximum of 130 shall be used in the calculations for plastic pipe and lined ductile iron pipe. Delivered flows for pressure water mains shall meet the needed fire flow rate plus a background water demand equivalent to the maximum-day demand with a residual gauge pressure not less than 20 pounds per square inch (psi). A residual gauge pressure not less than 20 points per square inch (psi). A residual gauge pressure not less than 20 points per square inch (psi) for approval shall include engineering calculations, which may include computer hydraulic modeling. Unless the Engineer of Record provides credible documentation and/or data to support peaking factors used in his or her calculations, peaking factors for peak-hour and maximum-day flow rates in potable water main design shall based on the following equations:

Q-Peak = 2.2 X Average Daily Flow

SECTION 13

PUMP (LIFT) STATIONS

13.01 DESCRIPTION OF WORK

Furnish all labor, materials, equipment and incidentals required to install complete automatic, underground pump stations with all required equipment installed in a concrete wetwell and adjacent concrete valve vault. The principal items of equipment shall include two submersible motor-driven sewage pumps, valves, internal piping, automatic pumping level controls, control panel and telemetry. All materials shall be new, without defects and of the best quality. All materials furnished and all work done shall be in strict accordance with the National Electrical Code and all local requirements and codes.

All pump stations that re-pump sewage (directly or indirectly) from other pump stations shall have an on-site generator equipped with an automatic power transfer switch, transducer level controls with backup float switches, ultrasonic flow meter, and a force main pressure transducer.

13.02 STRUCTURES AND EQUIPMENT

A. Pump Station Wetwell

All wetwells 6 feet diameter and larger, and all pump stations that are owned and maintained by Manatee County, shall be precast concrete with a full protective liner, in accordance with section 12.06, designed to accommodate the peak hour development flow from all contributing areas. The wetwell shall have a minimum of 4 feet from the lowest invert to the wetwell bottom. The pump station wetwell size shall be determined using the following formula to determine the minimum volume between the off-level elevation and the influent invert elevation:

MIN. VOLUME (GALS.) = PUMP CAPACITY (G.P.M.) X 4

Wetwell diameters shall be 6 feet or larger. 4-foot and 5-foot diameter wetwells shall be used only for special grinder pump applications as approved by the County on a case by case basis. The minimum wall thicknesses for concrete wetwells with liners shall be as follows:

DIAMETER	WALL THICKNESS	DIAMETER	WALL THICKNESS
4' - 0''	8"	8' - 0"	8"
5' - 0"	8"	10' - 0"	10"
6' - 0"	8"	12' - 0"	12"

The pump station wetwell size and control equipment shall be designed to limit the pumping cycles of each pump to a maximum of 5 starts per hour for duplex stations and 3 starts per hour for triplex stations. Pump stations discharging through pipes 12 inches or larger shall have more than two variable speed pumps. The pump cycle off level shall be no lower than the top of the sewage pumps. The lead pump on level shall be no higher than 18 inches below the invert elevation of the influent pipe for duplex stations, and no higher than 24 inches below the invert for triplex stations.

All pump stations shall have a single gravity-flow influent pipe discharging into the wetwell. Multiple gravity pipelines and force mains upstream shall all terminate at a separate manhole before flowing into the pump station wetwell. The influent gravity sewer shall be aligned, so that the inflowing stream drops into the front side of the wet well opposite from the riser side, within an angle of 25 degrees on either side of the centerline passing between both pumps in a duplex station, or between two of the three pumps in a triplex station. As an option to the to the influent gravity sewer main entering the wetwell directly between the pumps, a plastic composite/fiberglass drop bowl and pipe (Reliner/Duran, Inc. or equal) shall be installed, as shown on Detail US-20.

B. Valve Vault

A precast valve vault for three gate valves, two weighted lever swing check valves, and a pump-out connection shall be constructed adjacent to the wetwell. The valve vault shall have a 2-inch PVC drain installed at a 2 percent slope and with a P-trap installed inside the wetwell. The pump-out connection shall be equipped with a gate valve and a male aluminum quick-coupler; 4-inch for 4-inch or smaller valve assemblies, 6-inch for all others. The valve vault shall be of adequate size to allow a minimum clearance of 12 inches from flanges to the valve vault wall, 18 inches from flanges to the valve vault floor and 12 inches from the cross to the valve vault wall at the force main exit point. The depth of the valve vault, as measured from the bottom of the top slab to the valve vault floor, shall not exceed 6.0 feet for duplex lift stations. All valves and fittings shall have factory applied, fusion bonded epoxy coating on interior and exterior. Valve vaults designed with exit pipe turning 90 degrees either way to exit to the side rather than straight through shall have two braces from the elbow to the walls to hold the assembly solidly in place.

C. Entrance Hatches

The lift station wetwell and valve pit shall be equipped with an aluminum access cover of adequate size to permit easy removal and installation of sewage pumps and equipment. The wetwell access cover shall be a minimum 36" x 48" single or double door. The valve pit access cover shall be a minimum 48" x 48" double door. All access covers shall be constructed of aluminum with a minimum load rating of 300 lbs/sq. ft. and equipped with stainless steel hinges, a recessed lifting handle which lies flush with the door surface, and a stainless steel staple which may be used to secure the door with a padlock when closed. The doors shall have a raised diamond thread pattern to provide a skid-resistant surface and shall open to 90 degrees and lock automatically in that position, with a handle to release the doors for closing. The hatch assemblies shall be as manufactured by U.S. Foundry, Halliday, or an approved equal.

D. Sewage Pump Assemblies

APPENDIX D – LIFT STATION INFORMATION PROVIDED BY COUNTY

- Pump Curves
- Wet Well Evaluation Forms
- Lift Station Spreadsheet
- Collection System Flow Chart

Southwest County Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Update
APPENDIX D – PUMP CURVES



EBARA Fluid Handling www.pumpsebara.com (t) 803 327 5005 • (f) 803 327 5097

2-146 rev. 01/02



EBARA Fluid Handling www.pumpsebara.com (t) 803 327 5005 • (f) 803 327 5097

2-146 rev. 01/02



ABS reserves the right to change any data and dimensions without prior notice and can not be held responsible for the use of information contained in this software.



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH: _____

HYDROMATIC[™] **PUMPS**

Section SOLIDS HANDLING Page 116

Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1150 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.





The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

G AURORA PUMP

Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH: _____

HYDROMATIC[™] **PUMPS**



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

G AURORA PUMP

-

Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH: _____

HYDROMATIC[™] PUMPS

Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



AMX434-193/5,5T/C





KEY ROVALE & IVANHOE RTU 61

H MA





AMX646-310/15,3P/C



#8









AMX434-218/10,4T/C





AMX434-184/4D/C

"((RTU 425 El Ramcho Village Installed 7-19-11

DFG °"((





AMX434-193/5,5T/C



2

2011-11-06



LIFT STATION #15


AMX434-206/7,5T/C





AMX434-155/4D/C



2.0 - 11.01.2008 (Build 137) Homa Pump Technology Inc. (internal)



www.cranepumps.com

Series 3SE-L

Performance Curve .5, .75 & 1HP, 1750RPM, 60Hz

11/2", 2" & 3" Discharge



Testing is performed with water, specific gravity 1.0 @ 68° F @ (20°C), other fluids may vary performance

TDH: 8'

GPM: 130

CRANE

A Crane Co. Company

SECTION 1B PAGE 39 DATE 6/04

PUMPS & SYSTEMS

USA: (937) 778-8947 · Canada: (905) 457-6223 · International: (937) 615-3598



TEST REPORT

DFG °")#

PRODUCT

Serial No.		Performance cu	urve No.	Moto	r module/type	Voltage (V)
3301.180	0910047	63-634-00	-3130		131	460
Base module	Impeller No.	Gear type	Gear ratio		Imp.diam/Blade angle	Water temp ° C
032	608 69 40				404	15

TEST RESULTS

Pump total head H (ft)	Volume rate of flow Q (USGpm)	Motor input power P (kW)	Voltage U (V)	Current I (A)	Overall efficiency
135.71 110.13 96.11 83.79 69.31 52.67 34.04	52.4 1011.5 1852.2 2760.7 3740.1 4788.9 5425.6	45.36 46.91 52.55 58.70 65.58 70.95 72.10	460 460 459 458 458 458 458	76.5 78.4 85.1 92.6 101.5 108.9 110.4	2.96 44.79 63.91 74.34 74.57 67.06 48.33
Accepted after	Test facility Test d	ate Time Chie	of tester 5579		
HI	Lindas Q1 09-0 Sweden	02-09 13:31	(Mt)		
	ORDERNR	245702 POS 1			

PLOTTED TEST RESULTS Measured point : += Q/H Duty point : >= Q/H

 $\begin{array}{c} + = Q/H & Duty point: \bigcirc = Q/H & C \\ \times = Q/P & \square = Q/P \\ & \triangle = Q/ETA \text{ overall} \end{array}$

Calculated point : A = Q/ETA overall 1

TOTAL HEAD

INPUT POWER





Tortuga INN RTU073

Submittal Prepared for: ITT R&CW-SF

Engineer:

Submittal Prepared by: Greg Hogan Submittal Date: November 2, 2011 Job:

Contractor: Company: Approved by: Proposal No: GH11-11-02 04 Manatee 4 Item No: ITEM 001 Date: November 2, 2011

Hydraulic Data				Motor Data	Pump Model	
Maximum Flow	Flow at Duty Point	Maximum TDH	TDH at Duty Point	NPSHR	Voltage/Phase	
396.2 gpm	85.0 gpm	20.4 ft	15.0 ft		230V 1 Phase	WS-D4





Company: Manatee County Utilities Name: Sunbow Bay - RTU 074 Date: 4/9/2012

Pump:

Size: S4N/S4NX Type: NCLOG-4 Synch speed: 1800 rpm Curve: PAGE 109

Specific Speeds:

Dimensions:

Pump Limits:

Temperature: 140 °F Pressure: 125 psi g Sphere size: 3 in



Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 5.5 in Impeller: Ns: ---Suction: --- in Discharge: 4 in





Water Density: 62.37 lb/ft³ Viscosity: 1.105 cP NPSHa: --- ft

Search Criteria:

Motor:

Consult HYDROMATIC to select a motor for this pump.



Flow US gpm	Speed rpm	Head ft	Efficiency %	Power hp	NPSHr ft
307	1750	13.1	50	2.05	
256	1750	15.1	47	2.06	
205	1750	17.2	44	2.05	
154	1750	19.6	38	2.02	
102	1750	22.3	29	1.96	

HYDROMATIC®

Head: --- ft

Temperature: 60 °F

Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a

Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

Performance Evaluation:



Marine Rescue RTUOS



AMX644-350/56G/C



102

Bay Drive

Selection list: ---

Ser h Criteria: v: 100 US gpm Head: 15 ft Tolerance: --- % of head

Fluid: Water Temperature: 60 °F SG: 1 Viscosity: 1.105 cP Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

NPSHa: --- ft

Advanced Criteria: Preferred Operating Area: ---Secondary Operating Point: ---Max temperature: --- °F Max suction pressure: --- psi g Max sphere size: --- in Max power: --- bhp Max suction specific speed: --- (Nss) Min trim: --- % of max diameter Min head rise: --- % to shutoff

Curve Corrections: none

Eff: 26%

NPSHr: --- ft

PUMP DATA SHEET

Crane/Barnes

Catalog: Crane Barnes 60Hz vers 8 Pump: 4SE2824L

Type: 1D4NONCLOG Synch speed: 1800 rpm Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 5 in Curve no.:

Specific Speeds

Ns: ---

Dimensions: Suction: --- in

Discharge: 4 in

Nss: ----

Pump Limits: Temperature: --- °F Pressure: --- psi g Sphere size: 3 in Power: --- bhp

Motor: Consult vendor







.

Performance Curve

AMX434-142/2.5D





AMX434-193/5,5T

Wild Oak Bay (105)







RTU 107



AMX434-250/13P/C



SECTION 430 DIMENSIONAL DRAWINGS

Lake Brudge

HYDROMATIC RTU 108

PUMPS





AMX434-178/4D/C



Section SOLIDS HANDLING Page 114 Dated MAY 2013 Supersedes APRIL 2013

10.00"_

9.50"

9.00'

8. 75

8.00

100

(FEET)

HEAD

50

25

30

20[.]

10

U.S.GPM

L/M

M³/HR

HEAD (METERS)

409

200

50

1000

50%

60%



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



Conditions of Service:

GPM: 125 TDH: 76'

400

100

(70%

71%)

600

150

2000

70%

65%

50%

7.5 HP

800

3000

509

10 HP

200

15 HP

1000

4000

250

659

Victoria Square East

Selection list: ---

Se⁻⁻⁻nh Criteria: w: 150 US gpm Head: 20 ft Tolerance: --- % of head

Fluid: Water Temperature: 60 °F SG: 1 Viscosity: 1.105 cP

Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

NPSHa: --- ft

Advanced Criteria:

Preferred Operating Area: ---Secondary Operating Point: ---Max temperature: --- °F Max suction pressure: --- psi g Max sphere size: --- in Max power: --- bhp Max suction specific speed: --- (Nss) Min trim: --- % of max diameter Min head rise: --- % to shutoff

Curve Corrections: none

---- Data Point ----

PUMP DATA SHEET

Crane/Barnes

Catalog: Crane Barnes 60Hz vers 8 Pump: 4SE28*4L Type: 1D4NONCLOG Synch speed: 1800 rpm Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 5.75 in Curve no.:

Specific Speeds

Ns: ---

Dimensions:

Suction: --- in

Discharge: 4 in

Nss: ----

Pump Limits: Temperature: --- °F Pressure: --- psi g Sphere size: 3 in Power: --- bhp

Motor: Consult vendor



Max Pwr: 2.92 bhp

@ 180 US gpm







The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH: _____





(



(



Performance Curve – S4M/S4MX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

TDH:

54

PENTAIR

HYDROMATIC'

Conditions of Service:

190

GPM:



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

A UNIT OF GENERAL SIGNAL

Conditions of Service:
GPM: _____ TDH: _____

HYDROMATIC[™] PUMPS



AV432-178/13T/C





AMX444-260/20P/C





CP 3102 MT 3~ 434



FLYGT

Project	Project ID	GPM: 300	Created on	Last update
		TDH: 30'	2015-07-08	

121 **Coral Shores East 3**

Selection list: ---

Septoh Criteria: v: 100 US gpm Head: 20 ft Tolerance: --- % of head

Fluid: Water Temperature: 60 °F SG: 1 Viscosity: 1.105 cP Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

NPSHa: --- ft

Advanced Criteria:

Preferred Operating Area: ---Secondary Operating Point: ---Max temperature: --- °F Max suction pressure: --- psi g Max sphere size: --- in Max power: --- bhp Max suction specific speed: --- (Nss) Min trim: --- % of max diameter Min head rise: --- % to shutoff

Curve Corrections: none

Head: 21.3 ft

Eff: 32%

Power: 1.65 bhp

NPSHr: --- ft

BEP: 41% eff

Max Pwr: 2.92 bhp

PUMP DATA SHEET

Crane/Barnes

Catalog: Crane Barnes 60Hz vers 8 Pump: 4SE28*4L Type: 1D4NONCLOG Synch speed: 1800 rpm Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 5.5 in Curve no .: Specific Speeds

Ns: ----

Dimensions:

Suction: --- in

Discharge: 4 in

Nss: ---

Pump Limits: Temperature: --- °F Pressure: --- psi g Sphere size: 3 in Power: --- bhp

Motor: Consult vendor





Mentor vers 7.1a

Series 4SE-L

Performance Curve 2.8, 3.7 & 5.0HP, 1750RPM, 60Hz



4" Horizontal Discharge - Submersible Non-Clog Pumps



A Crane Co. Company

PAGE

DATE

10 1/05

Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

PENTAIR

HYDROMATIC'

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 100 TDH: 25



AMX434-184/4D/C





(

Performance Curve

AMX434-184/3.5D

30-A (125)





The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH: _____



LINCOLN ARMS RTU 127

ITEM #13





www.cranepumps.com

Series 3SE-L

Performance Curve .5, .75 & 1HP, 1750RPM, 60Hz

11/2", 2" & 3" Discharge



Testing is performed with water, specific gravity 1.0 @ 68° F @ (20°C), other fluids may vary performance

TDH: 8 GPM: 128



SECTION 1B PAGE 39 DATE 6/04

PUMPS & SYSTEMS

USA: (937) 778-8947 · Canada: (905) 457-6223 · International: (937) 615-3598

Pollution Equipment Company P.O. Box 1668 Orlando, Florida 32802

29-A



SUBMERSIBLE SEWAGE PUMP

Pump Type FA125-628 Motor Type FK20.1-6/22 Rated Motor H.P. 12.9 Rated R.P.M. 1150 Max. Sphere 5.0 inch



PEG



AMX434-178/4D/C


Series: PF4NC-SS

4" Discharge, Submersible Non-Clog Pumps

3" Spherical Solids, Single Seal





Page 4 Rev. 2/19/08





EBARA International Corporati 2-1



AMX434-184/4D/C



Company: Manatee County Utilities Name: Elmer's Automotive - RTU 133 Date: 4/9/2012

Pump:

Size: S4N/S4NX Type: NCLOG-4 Synch speed: 1200 rpm

Curve: PAGE 110 Specific Speeds:

Dimensions:

Pump Limits:

Temperature: 140 °F Pressure: 125 psi g Sphere size: 3 in



Speed: 1150 rpm Dia: 5.75 in Impeller: Ns: ----Nss: ---Suction: --- in



Pump Data Sheet - HYDROMATIC

Search Criteria:

Fluid:

Flow: --- US gpm



Head: --- ft

Temperature: 60 °F Water Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Density: 62.37 lb/ft3 Viscosity: 1.105 cP Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a NPSHa: --- ft Motor: Discharge: 4 in Consult HYDROMATIC to select a motor for this pump. Power: --- hp Eye area: --- in² 8 in 30 33 43 53 25 58 63 20 Head - ft 63 58 15 53 5.7<mark>5</mark> in 10 33 43 5 0 50 200 250 300 350 450 100 150 400 1.5 NPSHr - ft 1 0.5 0 300 50 100 150 200 250 350 400 450 4 Power - hp 2 0 50 100 200 150 250 300 350 400 450 US gpm

						_
Performance Ev	valuation:					
Flow US gpm	Speed rpm	Head ft	Efficiency %	Power hp	NPSHr ft	
232	1150	6.58	48	0.804		
193	1150	7.78	44	0.856		
154	1150	8.89	40	0.839		
116	1150	9.93	35	0.798		
77.2	1150	10.9	27	0.799		

H2Optimize Hydromatic 9





AMX434-155/4D/C





١

Performance Curve

AMX646-330/15,3P

-A-(135)







AMX434-184/4D/C



EKP

ITT FLYGT JUN. 23. 2005 8:59AM

NO. 2166 P. 3 27A

F

TEST REPORT

PRODUCT	والمحافظ و	Taufomades (III	VA NO.	Motor module/type	Vollage (V)
Serial No. 3306.665	0541126	63-831	Geermin	35-35-8AA	Water (smp b C
Base module	Impeller No. 574 18 04			460	21

TEST RESULTS

EST RESULT	5					Current	Överall efficientay
Pump total head	Q (USGpm	w Motor P	(KW)		olizige J (V)	T (A)	1) (5) 4 84
90.97 71.59 65.84 60.49 53.08 45.33 34.92	33.2 2082.4 2942.9 3516:2 4482.8 5224.1 6186.0		36.99 47.32 52.61 55.15 59.77 60.36 59.68		463 463 463 463 463 463 463 463	68.0 79.6 86.4 89.9 98.7 95.8 95.9	59.43 69.48 72.70 75.11 74.01 68.28
		``.		· · ·		, ; * *	
	·		,	, , , , ,	,		
	Tuel fo alling	Test date	1111718	Chief tester	2173		
Accepted after HI	Lindas Q2 Sweden	05-06-22	22:19				



TOTAL HEAD

INPUT POVIER



EKP

FLYGT

TEST REPORT

PRODUCT

Paniel No.	······································	Performence cul	ve No.	Wotor modules type	VOREING	
QUITER INC.		00 100		35.45.4AA	450	Į
3231.665	0541106	05-430			Alada - barra Or	ł
Deet medule	Limogilar No.	Geer type	Geernatio	Imb'gistArbitras sufice	AARith munin	
Raze moonie	nethatines can's			345	27	ł.
000	621 10 23		1			3
~~~	the second s	and the second se				

#### **TEST RESULTS**

Pump totel head H (ft)	Voluma rate of flo Q (USGpm)	w Motor input power P (KW)	Voltage U (V)	L Current L (A)	0veral (#10806) 11 (%1)
213.16 162.63 141.76 123.86 109.79 87.67 70.50	31.1 1586.4 2306.2 2861.4 3353.2 4044.2 4588.7	49.66 82.16 93.67 99.12 101.65 103.35 101.92	462 461 461 460 461 461 50	97.9 133.9 148.1 155.0 158.5 160.5 158.7	5:1.49 6:5.84 6 7.34 0:3 32 64.72 5:3 88
	• . • .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,
Accepted after	Test facility To	est date   Time C	hief laster		
HI	Lindas <u>02</u> ( Sweden	5-06-16 10:44			



#### TOTAL HEAD

¢

INPUT POWER



RO. 1952 P. 2

TO de an Als

St. Landstein

#### 140 Five Lakes

Selection list: ---

Se ጎ Criteria: *w*: 150 US gpm Head: 15 ft Tolerance: --- % of head

Fluid: Water Temperature: 60 °F SG: 1 Viscosity: 1.105 cP Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

#### NPSHa: --- ft

Advanced Criteria: Preferred Operating Area: ---Secondary Operating Point: ---Max temperature: --- °F Max suction pressure: --- psi g Max sphere size: --- in Max power: --- bhp Max suction specific speed: --- (Nss) Min trim: --- % of max diameter Min head rise: --- % to shutoff

Curve Corrections: none

Eff: 39%

NPSHr: --- ft

BEP: 40% eff

#### Crane/Barnes

Catalog: Crane Barnes 60Hz vers 8 Pump: 4SE28*4L Type: 1D4NONCLOG Synch speed: 1800 rpm Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 5.25 in Curve no .: **Specific Speeds** Ns: ----Nss: ---

Dimensions: Suction: --- in

Discharge: 4 in

Pump Limits: Temperature: --- °F Pressure: --- psi g Sphere size: 3 in Power: --- bhp

Motor: Consult vendor





# $\label{eq:performance} \mbox{Performance Curve} - S4HRC/S4HVX$ RPM: 3450 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"

Lift Station 141 40-120 35-105 (20%) 30-25% 30% 90 60 DIA 25-35% 75 HEAD (METERS) HEAD (FEET) 30% 15-45 25% (20%) 10-30 5-15 15 0 BHP 0-10 7-1/2 BHP BHP U.S. GPM 0 75 150 225 300 375 450 525 600 75 25 125 M 3/HR 50 100 150

The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



October © 2014 Pentair Ltd.

## Bullentin S-212 DIMIENSIONAL DRAWINGS & PERFORMANCE DATA

## HYDROMATIC PUMPS







#### Cortez Commercial Center 142

Selection list: ---

Ser 'r Criteria: v: 150 US gpm Head: 25 ft Tolerance: --- % of head

Fluid: Water Temperature: 60 °F SG: 1 Viscosity: 1.105 cP Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

NPSHa: --- ft

Advanced Criteria: Preferred Operating Area: ---Secondary Operating Point: ---Max temperature: --- °F Max suction pressure: --- psi g Max sphere size: --- in Max power: --- bhp Max suction specific speed: --- (Nss) Min trim: --- % of max diameter Min head rise: --- % to shutoff

Curve Corrections: none

Eff: 41%

NPSHr: --- ft

BEP: 43% eff

Catalog: Crane Barnes 60Hz vers 8 Pump: 4SE28*4L Type: 1D4NONCLOG Synch speed: 1800 rpm Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 6 in

**Specific Speeds** 

Curve no .:

```
Ns: ----
```

**Dimensions:** Suction: --- in

Discharge: 4 in

Nss: ----

Pump Limits: Temperature: --- °F Pressure: --- psi g Sphere size: 3 in Power: --- bhp

Motor: Consult vendor







1

U



www.cranepumps.com

# Series 3SE-L

Performance Curve .5, .75 & 1HP, 1750RPM, 60Hz

11/2", 2" & 3" Discharge



Testing is performed with water, specific gravity 1.0 @ 68° F @ (20°C), other fluids may vary performance

GPM: 50 **TDH: 25** 



SECTION 1B PAGE 39 DATE 6/04

**PUMPS & SYSTEMS** 

USA: (937) 778-8947 · Canada: (905) 457-6223 · International: (937) 615-3598





AMX434-250/13P

West GleNN (146)



l.



Lake Royale Design Conditions 175gpm @ 20Tdh Model PF4NC2824SS

# Series: PF4NC-SS

### 4" Discharge, Submersible Non-Clog Pumps

3" Spherical Solids, Single Seal



Page 4 Rev. 2/19/08

Power-Flo Pumps & Systems • 877-24PUMPS • fax: 516-812-6897 • www.powerflopumps.com





ABS PUMPS

BOLLETTIERI VILLA	SLS /150		···				Discharge DN100	2		Freque 60 Hz	ancy Z		
Density		Tesino	om aulio Instituto				Rated spe	ed 700 mm	n	Date 2007-	-07-3′	1	
Flow	Head	Rated	Raled power				Hydraulic	efficier o	y y	NPSH			
335 US g.p.m.	72.1 ft	10 hp	)			i	61 %	4.0 Tt					
H [ft] ₋₁				71									
110				/ <u></u>									
105	40		/						-			<u> </u>	
100	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		A										
95			<u>├/-</u>						-				
90			┝━╍┝╾/─┤							-			
85	+		<u>├</u>									<u> </u>	
ao 🛔 🚽		$\searrow$	+										
75		$\rightarrow$						a.ver -					
70													
65	<u> </u>											 	
60			1	`									
55					58 7%	yor.em							
50		-+				~							
45				····				$\triangleleft$		•			
40													
35		7		····						$\overline{\ }$			
30		7									Z		
25													
20													
15													
P2 [bolt													
									_				
12 <u>+</u>				-							2		
10-1	~												
8		-										<u> </u>	
6													
^η [ ^w ] ¹ 1 Hγ	draulic efficiency												
70-1									+-		~		
60 50											<b>\</b>		
40													
30-													
20									_	_			
10	, · · [								-				
1.								750				\$ -	
Ų		0 200 3	00 000 40	5 430 30	JU 000 00			, 90 i			αľο	J A	



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



Conditions of Service:

GPM: 120 TDH: 87.1

**HYDROMATIC™ PUMPS** 

# Performance Curve – S4M/S4MX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

PENTAIR

HYDROMATIC'

Conditions of Service:

GPM:Unknown TDH:Unknown



Project: Lift Station 161

GPM: 31 TDH: 29 EFF:

Chk'd: Date:

HP:

32DGUII61.5S (2HP) Synchronous Speed: 3600 RPM

1¹/₄ inch Discharge









## Lift Station 162



PUMP PERFORMANCE CURVE DATA IBUILDOUTI

N.T.S.



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH: _____

# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

TDH: 33.1

October © 2014 Pentair Ltd.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 100

PENTAIR

HYDROMATIC'

# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

TDH: 23

October © 2014 Pentair Ltd.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 200

PENTAIR HYDROMATIC'





AMX434-178/4D/C





AMX434-178/4D/C





AMX434-184/4D/C





AMX434-155/4D/C



# Performance Curve – S4M/S4MX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.
## Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 320 TD

TDH: 54



HYDROMATIC'

## Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

October © 2014 Pentair Ltd.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 175 TDH: 25

PENTAIR

HYDROMATIC'



AMX434-178/4D/C



xylem Let's Solve Water







AMX434-178/4D/C





## **Series SGVF**

Performance Curve 2HP, 3450RPM, 60Hz, High-Flow

#### Submersible Grinder Pumps



Testing is performed with water, specific gravity 1.0 @ 68° F @ (20°C), other fluids may vary performance



SECTION 3B PAGE 3 DATE 7/09

USA: (937) 778-8947 • Canada: (905) 457-6223 • International: (937) 615-3598

**PUMPS & SYSTEMS** 

LIFT STATION 215





# Performance Curve RTU 216

AMX434-184/4D/C





z



AMX444-230/20P/C





AMX434-206/7,5T/C



## Performance Curve – S4M/S4MX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 400

TDH: 60 🕺



HYDROMATIC'







Series 4SE-L

Performance Curve 2.8, 3.7 & 5.0HP, 1750RPM, 60Hz



#### 4" Horizontal Discharge - Submersible Non-Clog Pumps



A Crane Co. Company

10 1/05

DATE



AMX434-184/4D/C

RTU 223







AMX434-178/4D/C













# 

#### 3888 WS Cast Iron Impeller



**Performance Data** 

Sewage Pumps MODEL : WS1512D4U



Printed from data file 2011-11-02





AMX434-184/4D/C





AMX434-155/4D/C





AMX646-300/9,8P/C





AMX434-155/4D/C





232 6-D

AMX434-235/10,4T/C



#### Company: Manatee County Utilities Name: 3-D RTU 233 Date: 4/9/2012

#### Pump Data Sheet - HYDROMATIC

## HYDROMATIC®



US gpm	rpm	ft	%	hp	ft
925	1750	30.6	56	12.7	
771	1750	41.4	63	12.7	
617	1750	49.6	67	11.6	
463	1750	56.6	65	10.1	
308	1750	62.7	55	8.69	



AMX434-155/4D/C





AMX434-193/5,5T/C





AMX434-228/10,4T/C





"x

0



AMX434-193/5,5T

33-A (238)







AMX434-235/13P/C







The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

A UNIT OF GENERAL SIGNAL

Conditions of Service: GPM: 88 TDH: 31.2

**HYDROMATIC**[™] PUMPS

Section SOLIDS HANDLING Page 116

## Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1150 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

TDH: 16.6



HYDROMATIC'

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 125

October © 2014 Pentair Ltd.

## Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 80

TDH: 23.5 PENTAIR


# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 124

TDH: 50.8



HYDROMATIC'

# Performance Curve – S3HRC/S3HVX RPM: 3450 DISCHARGE: 3" SOLIDS: 2"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



#### Pump Performance





Pump performance is based on clear water (1.0 specific gravity @ 68°F) and pump fluid end (hydraulic) efficiency. Motor data based on 40°C ambient temperature.

Available	Motor Electrical Data												
							Service		Service			NEC	
	Explosion				Start	Run	Factor	Run	Factor	Start	Run	Code	Service
Standard	Proof	HP	Volta	Phase	Amps	Amps_	Amps	KW	KW_	_KVA_	KVA	Letter	Factor
6VC150M4-03	6VCX150M4-03	15	200	3	215	50.6	61	15.0	18.6	74.5	17.5	E	1.2
6VC150M4-23	6VCX150M4-23	15	230	3	187	44	53	15 0	18.6	74 5	17.5	E	1.2
6VC150M4-43	6VCX150M4-43	15	460	3	93.5	22	26.5	15.0	18.6	74.5	17.5	E	1.2
6VC150M4-53	6VCX150M4-53	15	575	3	74.8	17.6	21.2	15.0	18.6	74.5	17.5	Ē	1.2
6VC200M4-23	6VCX200M4-23	20	230	3	290	60	72	21.2	26.1	115.5	23.9	G	1.2
6VC200M4-43	6VCX200M4-43	20	460	3	145	30	36	21.2	26.1	115.5	23.9	G	1.2
6VC200M4-53	6VCX200M4-53	20	575	3	116	24	28.8	21.2	26.1	115.5	23,9	G	1.2
6VC250M4-23	6VCX250M4-23	25	230	3	366	76	92	26.9	33.3	145.8	30.3	Ĝ	1.2
6VC250M4-43	6VCX250M4-43	25	460	3	183	38	46	26.9	33.3	145.8	30 3	G	1.2
6VC250M4-53	6VCX250M4-53	25	575	3	146	30.4	36.8	26.9	33.3	145.8	30.3	G	1.2
6VC300M4-23	6VCX300M4-23	30	230	3	452	94	114	33.3	41.3	180.1	37.4	G	1.2
6VC300M4-43	6VCX300M4-43	30	460	3	226	47	57	33 3	41.3	160.1	37.4	G	1.2
6VC300M4-53	6VCX300M4-53	30	575	3	181	37.6	_45.6	33.3	41.3	180.1	37.4	G	1.2
6VC400M4-23	6VCX400M4-23	40	230	3	580	122	148	43.2	53.0	231.1	48.6	G	1.2
6VC400M4-43	6VCX400M4-43	40	460	3	290	61	74	43.2	53.0	231.1	48.6	G	1.2
6VC400M4-53	6VCX400M4-53	40	575	3	232	48.8	59 2	43.2	53.0	231.1	48.6	G	1.2
6VC500M4-23	6VCX500M4-23	50	230	3	580	134	158	46.9	54.6	231.1	53.4	E	1.2
6VC500M4-43	6VCX500M4-43	50	460	3	290	67	79	46.9	54.6	231.1	53.4	E	1.2
6VC500M4-53	6VCX500M4-53_	50	575	3	232	54	63	46.9	54.6	231.1	53.4	E	1.2
6VC600M4-23	6VCX600M4-23	60	230	3	560	158	158	52.8	52.8	231.1	62 9	C	1.0
6VC600M4-43	6VCX600M4-43	60	460	3	290	79	79	52.8	52.8	231.1	62.9	С	1.0
6VC600M4-53	6VCX600M4-53	60	575	3	232	63	63	52.8	52.8	231.1	62.9	C	1.0

		Mot	or Effic	iencie	s and	Power Fa	ctor		
		Motor Eff	iciency	1%			Power F	actor	%
HP	Phase	Service Factor Load	100% Load	75% Load	50% Load	Service Factor Load	100% Load	75% Load	50% Load
15	3	85	84	79	69	86	86	78	68
20	3	88	67.5	81	72.5	91	89	79	69
25	3	87	86	61	73	91	89	60	70
30	3	87	86	83	79	91	89	82	73
40	3	86	86	88	87.5	90	89	86	80
50	3	87	86	86 5	68	87	68	88.5	84
60	3	87	87	86	88	84	64	69	86

**MAYCELS**[®] F. E. Myers, 1101 Myers Parkway, Ashland, Ohio 44805-1969 419/289-1144 • FAX: 419/289-6658 • TLX: 98-7443 Company: Manatee County Utilities Name: 4M - RTU 249 Date: 4/9/2012

#### Pump:

Size: S4N/S4NX Type: NCLOG-4 Synch speed: 1800 rpm Curve: PAGE 109

Specific Speeds:

Dimensions:

#### Pump Limits:

Temperature: 140 °F Pressure: 125 psi g Sphere size: 3 in



Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 6.5 in Impeller: Ns: ----Nss: ----Suction: --- in

Power: --- hp Eye area: --- in²

Head - ft

NPSHr - ft

Power - hp

Performance Evaluation:

10

5 0

100





#### Search Criteria:

Flow: --- US gpm

Head: --- ft

Temperature: 60 °F

Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a

Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

65

600

600

600

700

700

700

#### Fluid:

Water Density: 62.37 lb/ft3 Viscosity: 1.105 cP NPSHa: --- ft

#### Motor:

Consult HYDROMATIC to select a motor for this pump.

<b>Flow</b> US gpm	<b>Speed</b> rpm	Head ft	Efficiency %	<b>Power</b> hp	NPSHr ft
445	1750	18.8	64	3.3	
371	1750	21.8	64	3.21	
297	1750	25.3	60	3.16	
223	1750	28.7	54	3.01	
148	1750	32.5	43	2.83	

200

300

400

US gpm

500

H2Optimize Hydromatic 9







The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

HYDROMATIC.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH: __

# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

TDH: 29

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 122

PENTAIR

HYDROMATIC'



Operating point must fall within curve.



Conditions of Service:

TDH: 22

GPM: 35

**HYDROMATIC**[™] PUMPS

# Performance Data and Dimensions

## LIFT STATION 258



Available Models		Motor Electrical Data										
Standard	HP	Volts	Phase	Hertz	Start Amps	Run Amps	Run kW	Start KVA	Run KVA	NEC Code Letter	Service Factor	
WGL20-01	2	200	1	60	50.0	15.0	2.8	10.0	3.0	F	1.25	
WGL20-01(LD)	2	200	1 1	60	50.0	15.0	2.8	10.0	3.0	E F	1.25	
WGL20-03	2	200	3	60	30.0	9.7	2.9	10.4	3.3	F	1.25	
WGL20-21	2	230	1	60	44.0	12.0	2.8	10.1	2.8	F	1.25	
WGL20-21(LD)	2	230	1	60	44.0	12.0	2.8	10.1	2.8	F	1.25	
WGL20-23	2	230	3	60	27.5	8.4	2.9	11.0	3.3	F	1.25	
WGL20-23(LD)	2	230	3	60	27.5	8.4	2.9	11.0	3.3	F	1.25	
WGL20-43	2	460	3	60	13.8	4.2	2.9	11.0	3.3	F	1.25	
WGL20-43(LD)	2	460	3	60	13.8	4.2	2.9	11.0	3.3		1.25	
WGL20-53	2	575	3	60	11.0	3.4	2.9	11.0	3.3	F	1.25	
WGL20H-01	2	200	1	60	50.0	15.0	2.8	10.0	3.0	F	1.25	
WGL20H-03	2	200	3	60	30	9,7	2.9	10.4	3.3	F	1.25	
WGL20H-21	2	230	1	60	44.0	12.0	2.8	10.1	2.8	F	1.25	
WGL20H-01(LD)	2	200	1	60	50.0	15.0	2.8	10.0	3.0	F	1.25	
WGL20H-21(LD)	2	230	1	60	44.0	12.0	2.8	10.1	2.8	F	1.25	
WGL20H-23	2	230	3	60	30.0	8.4	2.9	10.4	3.3	F.	1.25	
WGL20H-23(LD)	2	230	3	60	30.0	8.4	2.9	10.4	3.3	F	1.25	
WGL20H-43	2	460	3	60	13.8	4.2	2.9	11.0	3,3	F	1.25	
WGL20H-43(LD)	2	460	3	60	13.8	4.2	2.9	11.0	3,3	F	1.25	
WGL20F-01	2	200	1	60	50.0	15.0	2.8	10.0	3.0	E E	1.25	
WGL20F-03	2	200	3	60	30	9.7	2.9	10.4	3.3	(= 1) F(= 1)	1.25	
WGL20F-21	2	230	1	60	44.0	12.0	2.8	10.1	2.8	Real (	1.25	
WGL20F-01(LD)	2	200	1	60	50.0	15.0	2.8	10.0	3.0	in the second	1.25	
WGL20F-21(LD)	2	230	1	60	44.0	12.0	2.8	10.1	2.8	F	1.25	
WGL20F-23	2	230	3	60	30.0	8.4	2.9	10.4	3.3	E	1.25	
WGL20F-23(LD)	2	230	3	60	30.0	8.4	2.9	10.4	3.3	F	1.25	
WGL20F-43	2	460	3	60	13.8	4.2	2.9	11.0	3.3	F	1.25	
WGL20F-43(LD)	2	460	3	60	13.8	4.2	2.9	11.0	3.3	F	1.25	

NOTE: Minimum impeller diameter available is 3-3/4".



740 EAST 9TH STREET. ASHLAND, OHIO 44805 WWW, FEMYERS COM

269 TRILLIUM DRIVE, KITCHENER, ONTARIO, CANADA N2G 4W5 WWW FEMYERS COM

Because we are continuously improving our products and services. Pentair reserves the right to change specifications without prior notice. K3140 1/15/13 © 2013 Pentair Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

26-D RTU 259

**EBARA Submersible Grinder Pumps** 

DGUII/DGFU

Selection chart

Single Phase







The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 705 TDH: 60'



**EBARA Submersible Grinder Pumps** 

### **Performance Curves**

Project: Lift Station 261

GPM: 50 TDH: 43 EFF: Chk'd: Date:

1¹/₄ inch Discharge

HP:

32DGUII61.5S (2HP) Synchronous Speed: 3600 RPM



32DGF61.5S 32DGFU61.5 (2HP) Synchronous Speed: 3600 RPM 11/4 inch Discharge





# Performance Curve – HPGF(X)/HPGFH(X)

RPM: 1725 DISCHARGE: 2"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F and 1280 feet site elevation.



Conditions of Service:

GPM: 20 TDH: 91.5

Company: Manatee County Utilities Name: Wisteria Park - RTU 263 Date: 4/9/2012

#### Pump:

Size: S4N/S4NX Type: NCLOG-4 Synch speed: 1800 rpm Curve: PAGE 109

Specific Speeds:

Dimensions:

#### Pump Limits:

Temperature: 140 °F Pressure: 125 psi g Sphere size: 3 in



Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 5.8125 in Impeller: Ns: ----Nss: ---Suction: --- in Discharge: 4 in



#### Search Criteria:

Flow: --- US gpm

Head: --- ft

Temperature: 60 °F

Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a

Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

HYDROMATIC®

#### Fluid:

Water Density: 62.37 lb/ft3 Viscosity: 1.105 cP NPSHa: --- ft

#### Motor:

Consult HYDROMATIC to select a motor for this pump.



<b>Flow</b> US gpm	<b>Speed</b> rpm	Head ft	Efficiency %	<b>Power</b> hp	NPSHr ft
346	1750	14.5	53	2.34	
288	1750	17.1	50	2.35	
230	1750	19.8	47	2.37	
173	1750	22.4	42	2.34	
115	1750	25.3	33	2.25	

#### Pump Data Sheet - HYDROMATIC

Performance Evaluation:

Company: Manatee County Utilities Name: Wisteria Park - RTU 263 Date: 4/9/2012

#### Pump:

Size: S4N/S4NX Type: NCLOG-4 Synch speed: 1800 rpm Curve: PAGE 109

Specific Speeds:

Dimensions:

#### Pump Limits:

Temperature: 140 °F Pressure: 125 psi g Sphere size: 3 in



Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 5.8125 in Impeller: Ns: ----Nss: ---Suction: --- in Discharge: 4 in



#### Search Criteria:

Flow: --- US gpm

Head: --- ft

Temperature: 60 °F

Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a

Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

HYDROMATIC®

#### Fluid:

Water Density: 62.37 lb/ft3 Viscosity: 1.105 cP NPSHa: --- ft

#### Motor:

Consult HYDROMATIC to select a motor for this pump.



<b>Flow</b> US gpm	<b>Speed</b> rpm	Head ft	Efficiency %	<b>Power</b> hp	NPSHr ft
346	1750	14.5	53	2.34	
288	1750	17.1	50	2.35	
230	1750	19.8	47	2.37	
173	1750	22.4	42	2.34	
115	1750	25.3	33	2.25	

#### Pump Data Sheet - HYDROMATIC

Performance Evaluation:

# Performance Curve – HPGF(X)/HPGFH(X)

RPM: 1725 DISCHARGE: 2"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F and 1280 feet site elevation.

GPM: 264



HYDROMATIC'

Conditions of Service:

TDH: 57.5

# Performance Curve – HPG200 RPM: 3450 DISCHARGE: 1-1/4"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



Conditions of Service:

GPM: 30 TDH: 58



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH: _____





The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH: ____



298 Centre Park



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 205 TDH: 63.8'



298 Centre Park



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 205 TDH: 63.8'



# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

TDH: 16

PENTAIR

HYDROMATIC'

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 250



(

(





CP 3102 MT 1~ 434 VFD Analysis



FLYGT

	Transmission and an	The second secon	the resource of the second sec	1 manual and a second
Project	Project ID	GPM: 310 TDH: 30	Created on 2015-07-07	Last update





RTH 308

AMX644-280/29P/C





AMX434-178/4D/C





AMX434-178/4D/C





IMPELMEX, S.A. DE C.V.

LD-100-xxx-yyy-W

Diámetro de Descarga: 100 mm (4")

Paso de Esfera: 76 mm (3")

# Bombas Sumergibles para Agua Residual

→ Serie

	(	CÓDIGO	DE	E MO	DELO			
L	L D 100		xx	ж.	ууу	W		
Serie	Impulsor cerrado inatascable de dos álabes	Diámetro descarga en mm	HP	motor	No. de polos	Diámetro impulsor en mm	Motor enfriado en aceite	
	E	SPECI	FIC	ACIO	DNES	6		
	MODE	-0		LI	D-100-	-xxx-yy	y-W	
	HP mot	tor		10	)	15	20	
Diá	m. Std. Imp	ulsor (mn	n)	19	5	215	235	
	No. polos/	r.p.m		1	4,	/1750		
	Servic	io			Co	ntinuo		
Tem	np. max. liq.	bombead	lo	11.	40°C	(104°F	)	
	Tipo de n	notor		Inducción jaula de ardilla				
	Moto	r		Inundado en aceite				
1	Conexión de	e motor		Estrella				
	Voltaje	(V)		220/440				
	No. Fas	es		3				
	Frecuenci	a (Hz)		60				
An	nperaje máx	imo 220 \ 440 \	I. I.	30 43 65 15 22 33			65 33	
Am	ps. a rotor b	oloq. 220 440	V. V.	17 85	0	170 85	242 121	
	Aislamiento	clase	_			F		
	Diseño N	EMA		1		В		
	Códig	0		Н		H	G	
	Longitud de	el cable				8 m		
	Impulsor	tipo		Cerra	do inat	ascable, á	2 álabes	
	Brida de de	scarga		1	00 mr	n (4") 12	25#	
Lu	ubricación d	e baleros		Aceite dieléctrico				
	Sensor	es		Humedad/Temperatura				

GPM: 133 TDH: 21





www.impel.com.mx

(55) 5536-0326 (55) 5536-3318

ventas@impel.mx



AMX434-184/3.5D

Stone Creek (320)



l



AMX434-193/5,5T/C



STONE CREEK

2011-11-06

2



AMX434-184/3.5D

Palms Center (322)







AMX434-184/4,3T/C



Company: Manatee County Utilities Name: 32AA - RTU 334 Date: 4/9/2012

#### Pump:

Size: S4N/S4NX Type: NCLOG-4 Synch speed: 1800 rpm Curve: PAGE 109

Specific Speeds:

Dimensions:

#### Pump Limits:

Temperature: 140 °F Pressure: 125 psi g Sphere size: 3 in



Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 7 in Impeller: Ns: ----Nss: ---Suction: --- in

#### Pump Data Sheet - HYDROMATIC

Search Criteria:

Fluid:

Water

Flow: --- US gpm



Head: --- ft

Temperature: 60 °F

#### Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Density: 62.37 lb/ft3 Viscosity: 1.105 cP Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a NPSHa: --- ft Motor: Discharge: 4 in Consult HYDROMATIC to select a motor for this pump. Power: --- hp Eye area: --- in² 8 in 70 45 60 55 65 7 in 50 70 70 Head - ft 65 40 66 30 5.5 in 20 55 45 10 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 1.5 NPSHr - ft 1 0.5 0 200 700 100 300 400 500 600 15 Power - hp 10 5 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 US gpm

<b>Flow</b> US gpm	<b>Speed</b> rpm	Head ft	Efficiency %	<b>Power</b> hp	<b>NPSHr</b> ft
522	1750	23.8	64	4.91	
435	1750	27.8	66	4.61	
348	1750	31.7	65	4.3	
261	1750	35.6	59	3.94	
174	1750	39.8	47	3.68	

#### H2Optimize Hydromatic 9

Performance Evaluation:



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH:

**€**-{




**Performance Curve** 

AMX444-280/29P/C





## RTU 339



- -



## **Performance Curve**

AMX434-184/4D/C





**Performance Curve** 

AMX434-218/10,4T/C







## Performance Curve – S3HRC/S3HVX DISCHARGE: 3" SOLIDS: 2" RPM: 3450



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

October © 2014 Pentair Ltd.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 125 TDH: 30.6 PENTAIR

HYDROMATIC'



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



Conditions of Service:

GPM: 220 TDH: 45

## **HYDROMATIC**[™] PUMPS

Tropical Thores 2 RTN 396

**EBARA Submersible Grinder Pumps** 

DGUII/DGFU

Selection chart

**Single Phase** 





Series 4SE-L Performance Curve

2.8, 3.7 & 5.0HP, 1750RPM, 60Hz

**ARN** www.cranepumps.com

4" Horizontal Discharge - Submersible Non-Clog Pumps



A Crane Co. Company

PAGE

DATE

10 1/05

ABS PUMPS



Series 4SE-L Performance Curve 2.8, 3.7 & 5.0HP, 1750RPM, 60Hz



4" Horizontal Discharge - Submersible Non-Clog Pumps



A Crane Co. Company

PAGE

DATE

10 1/05

# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.





## Performance Curve

AMX434-193/5,5T

18-A (406)



#### 401 **Red Lobster**

#### Selection list: ~~~

Se h Criteria: w: 150 US gpm Head: 25 ft Tolerance: --- % of head

#### Fluid: Water Temperature: 60 °F

SG: 1 Viscosity: 1.105 cP Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

## NPSHa: --- ft

## Advanced Criteria:

Preferred Operating Area: ---Secondary Operating Point: ---Max temperature: --- °F Max suction pressure: --- psi g Max sphere size: --- in Max power: --- bhp Max suction specific speed: --- (Nss) Min trim: --- % of max diameter Min head rise: --- % to shutoff

Curve Corrections: none

Eff: 41%

NPSHr: --- ft

## PUMP DATA SHEET

### Crane/Barnes

Catalog: Crane Barnes 60Hz vers 8 Pump: 4SE28*4L Type: 1D4NONCLOG Synch speed: 1800 rpm Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 6 in Curve no .:

## **Specific Speeds**

Ns: ----

Dimensions: Suction: --- in

Discharge: 4 in

Nss: ----

Pump Limits: Temperature: --- °F Pressure: --- psi g Sphere size: 3 in Power: --- bhp

Motor: Consult vendor





# 13-A RTU 408



FLYPS3.1.6.3 (20060531)

## Pescara Lakes 409

### Selection list: ----

Search Criteria: w: 200 US gpm , ead: 20 ft Tolerance: --- % of head

#### Fluid: Water Temperature: 60 °F SG: 1 Viscosity: 1.105 cP Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

### NPSHa: --- ft

#### Advanced Criteria:

Preferred Operating Area: ---Secondary Operating Point: ---Max temperature: --- °F Max suction pressure: --- psi g Max sphere size: --- in Max power: --- bhp Max suction specific speed: --- (Nss) Min trim: --- % of max diameter Min head rise: --- % to shutoff

Curve Corrections: none

### PUMP DATA SHEET

#### Crane/Barnes

Catalog: Crane Barnes 60Hz vers 8 Pump: 4SE28*4L Type: 1D4NONCLOG Synch speed: 1800 rpm Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 6 in Curve no.: Specific Speeds

Ns: ---

p----

Dimensions: Suction: --- in

Discharge: 4 in

Nss: ---

Pump Limits: Temperature: --- °F Pressure: --- psi g Sphere size: 3 in Power: --- bhp

Motor: Consult vendor





Mentor vers 7.1a



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



1

Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH: _____

## **HYDROMATIC[™] PUMPS**

23-AA (411) GUH50 W/9" Imp.

**Pump Performance** 



Pump performance is based on clear water (1.0 specific gravity @ 68°F) and pump fluid end (hydraulic) efficiency. Motor data based on 40°C ambient temperature.

Available	Motor Electrical Data												
Standard	Explosion	НР	Volts	Phase	Start Amps	Run Amps	Service Factor Amps	Run KW	Service Factor KW	Start KVA	Run KVA	NEC Code Letter	Service Factor
6VH30M6-03	6VHX30M6-03	3	200	3	77	15.9	19	3.3	4.3	26.7	5.5	ĸ	1.2
6VH30M6-23	6VHX30M6-23	3	230	3	67	13.8	16.6	3.3	4.3	26.7	5.5	ĸ	1.2
6VH30M6-43	6VHX30M6-43	3	460	3	33	7	8.3	3.3	4,3	26.7	5.5	К	1.2
6VH30M6-53	6VHX30M6-53	3	575	3	27	5.5	6.6	3.3	4.3	26.7	5.5	K	1.2
6VH50M6-03	6VHX50M6-03	5	200	3	115	24	29	5.4	6.9	39.8	8.3	J	1.2
6VH50M6-23	6VHX50M6-23	5	230	3	100	21	25.2	5.4	6.9	39.8	8.3	JJ	1.2
6VH50M6-43	6VHX50M6-43	5	460	3	50	10.5	12.6	5.4	6.9	39.8	8.3	J	1.2
6VH50M6-53	6VHX50M6-53	5	575	3	40	8.3	10	5.4	6.9	39.8	8.3	J	1.2

Motor Efficiencies and Power Factor											
Motor Efficiency % Power Factor %											
НР	Phase	Service Factor Load	100% Load	75% Load	50% Load	Service Factor Load	100% Load	75% Load	50% Load		
3	3	73	72	68	58.5	66	61	53.5	44		
5	3	74	73	69.5	64	68.5	65	59	48.5		

K3618 3/95

# Series: PF4NC-SS

## 4" Discharge, Submersible Non-Clog Pumps 3" Spherical Solids, Single Seal



RTU 412



Page 4 Rev. 2/19/08



Series 4SE-L Performance Curve 2.8, 3.7 & 5.0HP, 1750RPM, 60Hz



## 4" Horizontal Discharge - Submersible Non-Clog Pumps



A Crane Co. Company

1/05

DATE

## Casa Loma 4/5

Selection list: ---

## Search Criteria:

v: 150 US gpm ...ad: 29 ft Tolerance: --- % of head

## Fluid: Water

Temperature: 60 °F SG: 1 Viscosity: 1.105 cP Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

## NPSHa: --- ft

#### Advanced Criteria:

Preferred Operating Area: ---Secondary Operating Point: ---Max temperature: --- °F Max suction pressure: --- psi g Max sphere size: --- in Max power: --- bhp Max suction specific speed: --- (Nss) Min trim: --- % of max diameter Min head rise: --- % to shutoff

Curve Corrections: none

---- Data Point ----

### PUMP DATA SHEET

#### Crane/Barnes

Catalog: Crane Barnes 60Hz vers 8 Pump: 4SE37*4L Type: 1D4NONCLOG Synch speed: 1800 rpm Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 6.5 in Curve no.: Specific Speeds

```
Ns: ---
Dimensions:
```

Discharge: 4 in

Nss: ---

Pump Limits: Temperature: --- °F

Suction: --- in

Pressure: --- psi g Sphere size: 3 in Power: --- bhp

Motor: Consult vendor



Max Pwr: 5.16 bhp @ 100 US gpm







Í

## Performance Curve

AMX434-155/3.5D

Heather Hills (416)



Series 4SE-L Performance Curve

2.8, 3.7 & 5.0HP, 1750RPM, 60Hz



## 4" Horizontal Discharge - Submersible Non-Clog Pumps



A Crane Co. Company USA: (9)

10 1/05

DATE

Pollutic Equipment Company P.O. Box 1668 Orlando, Florida 32801





## **Series 4SE-L**

Performance Curve 0.9, 1.4 & 1.9HP, 1750RPM, 60Hz

4" Horizontal Discharge - Submersible Non-Clog Pumps



Testing is performed with water, specific gravity 1.0 @ 68° F @ (20°C), other fluids may vary performance



**PUMPS & SYSTEMS** 

SECTION 1D PAGE 3 DATE 1/05

USA: (937) 778-8947 • Canada: (905) 457-6223 • International: (937) 615-3598





## Lift Station 420B



#### 472 Cortez Plaza 4

Selection list: ---

h Criteria: ЗF w: 100 US gpm Head: 27 ft Tolerance: --- % of head

Fluid: Water Temperature: 60 °F SG: 1 Viscosity: 1.105 cP Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

NPSHa: --- ft

Advanced Criteria: Preferred Operating Area: ---Secondary Operating Point: ---Max temperature: --- °F Max suction pressure: --- psi g Max sphere size: --- in Max power: --- bhp Max suction specific speed: --- (Nss) Min trim: --- % of max diameter Min head rise: --- % to shutoff

Curve Corrections: none

Eff: 33%

NPSHr: --- ft

## Crane/Barnes

ł

Catalog: Crane Barnes 60Hz vers 8 Pump: 4SE28*4L Type: 1D4NONCLOG Synch speed: 1800 rpm Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 6 in Curve no .: **Specific Speeds** 

Ns: ----

Dimensions: Suction: --- in

Discharge: 4 in

Nss: ----

Pump Limits: Temperature: --- °F Pressure: --- psi g Sphere size: 3 in Power: --- bhp

Motor: Consult vendor





# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 150 TDH: 17



HYDROMATIC'

Company: Manatee County Utilities Name: 25A - RTU 424 Date: 4/9/2012

#### Pump Data Sheet - HYDROMATIC





<b>Flow</b> US gpm	<b>Speed</b> rpm	<b>Head</b> ft	Efficiency %	<b>Power</b> hp	<b>NPSHr</b> ft
917	1750	30.1	56	12.3	
764	1750	40.5	63	12.3	
611	1750	48.6	66	11.3	
458	1750	55.5	65	9.88	
306	1750	61.6	56	8.46	

# Pump Data Sheet - HYDROMATIC

EL RANCHO VILLAGE

Company: Barney's Pumps, Inc. Name: Date: 10/8/2011

# HYDROMATIC®

Pump:							Search C	riteria:					
Size: S4N/S4N	IX						Flow: 25	0 US gpm		Head: 46	6 ft		
Type: NCLOG-4 Synch speed: 1800 rpm			Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 7 5625 in			Fluid:							
Curve: PAGE 109		Imp	Impeller:			Water Density:	62.37 lb/ft ³		Tempera Vapor pro	Temperature: 60 °F Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a			
Specific Speeds:		Ns:	Ns:			Viscosity	: 1.105 cP		Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a				
Dimensions:		Suction:			NPSHa:								
Dimensions.		Dis	charge	e: 4 in			Motor:						
Pump Limits:							Consult H	HYDROMATIC t	o select a n	notor for this	pump.		
Temperature: 1 Pressure: 125 p Sphere size: 3	40 °F osi g in	Pov Eye	wer: e area:	- 									
Da	ata Point												
Flow:	250 US gpm		70									-90	
Head:	46 ft												
Eff:	59%			7.5625	i in							-80	
Power:	4.81 hp		60										
NPSHr:					$\overline{}$							-70	
Des	ign Curve		50				$\nearrow$					00	
Shutoff head:	61.7 ft					$\succ$						<u>ک</u>	
Shutoff dP:	26.7 psi	- Ħ	40					68.3				ien i	
Min flow:	45 US gpm	ad	40						_				
NOL power:	2 437 US gpm	н										<u>Ш</u> 40 Ч	
6.9 hp	@ 610 US gpm		30							$\overline{}$		⁺° %	
Ma	ax Curve	1.										- 30	
Max power:			20										
9.61 hp	o @ 677 US gpm			/								-20	
			10										
												-10	
			0									0	
			7.5		100	200	300	400	500	600	700	0	
		٥	5			-							
		ء '	0.5	-									
		wer	2.5										
		Б С	0		100	200	300	400 <b>US apm</b>	500	600	700		
Do	formance Evaluation							3P					
Fei	IUIIIanue Evaluation												

<b>Flow</b> US gpm	<b>Speed</b> rpm	Head ft	Efficiency %	<b>Power</b> hp	<b>NPSHr</b> ft
300	1750	43.5	63	5.17	
250	1750	46	59	4.81	
200	1750	48.4	55	4.44	
150	1750	51.1	45	4.34	
100	1750	54.9	32	4.14	



H2Optimize Hydromatic 9

RTU 426



## Performance Curve

AMX434-228/10,4T/C




AMX434-178/4D/C





AMX646-310/15,3P/C





AMX646-300/9.8P

20-A (433,



(

ĺ



43

AMX644-260/20P



RTU 434



Noods of Whiftield 435

#### Selection list: ----

Ser **`h Criteria:**  *N*: 175 US gpm Head: 25 ft Tolerance: --- % of head

### Fluid: Water Temperature: 60 °F SG: 1 Viscosity: 1.105 cP

Vapor pressure: 0.2563 psi a Atm pressure: 14.7 psi a

### NPSHa: --- ft

### Advanced Criteria:

Preferred Operating Area: ---Secondary Operating Point: ---Max temperature: --- °F Max suction pressure: --- psi g Max sphere size: --- in Max power: --- bhp Max suction specific speed: --- (Nss) Min trim: --- % of max diameter Min head rise: --- % to shutoff

Curve Corrections: none

#### PUMP DATA SHEET

#### Crane/Barnes

### Catalog: Crane Barnes 60Hz vers 8 Pump: 4SE37*4L Type: 1D4NONCLOG Synch speed: 1800 rpm Speed: 1750 rpm Dia: 6.25 in Curve no.: Specific Speeds Ns: --- Nss: ---

Dimensions: Suction: --- in

Discharge: 4 in

Pump Limits: Temperature: --- °F Pressure: --- psi g Sphere size: 3 in Power: --- bhp

Motor: Consult vendor







The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



-

-1000-01-

Conditions of Service: **GPM**: _____ **TDH**: _____

**HYDROMATIC[™] PUMPS** 



AMX434-184/4D/C





# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 180

TDH: 25



HYDROMATIC'

# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"

Lift Station 438 28-90 80 24-(45%) 70 8" DIA. 20-(55%) 65% 60 7.5" DIA. HEAD (METERS) HEAD (FEET) 05 70% 70% T"DIA. 65% 12-40 6.50 DIA 6.25 6 DIA 30 8-5.5" DIA 7.5 BHP 20 5 BHP 4 3 2 10 BHP BHP 0 U.S. GPM 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 M 3/HR 30 60 90 120 150 180

The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

October © 2014 Pentair Ltd.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 120

TDH: 19









The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



Conditions of Service:

**HYDROMATIC[™] PUMPS** 



AMX434-155/4D/C









AMX434-178/4D/C







The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

G AURORA PUMP

i.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: _____ TDH: _____

HYDROMATIC[™] PUMPS



AMX434-142/2.5D

Cortez Plaza 2-A (460)





AMX434-178/4D/C



# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 175 TDH: 21

PENTAIR

HYDROMATIC'



480

### AMX434-178/4D/C



# Performance Curve – S4N/S4NX RPM: 1750 DISCHARGE: 4" SOLIDS: 3"



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

TDH: 21

Conditions of Service:

GPM: 175



ITEM #2







The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.

Conditions of Service:

÷(

: L

GPM: _____ TDH: ____





Operating point must fall within curve.



Conditions of Service:

GPM: 10 TDH: 12

**HYDROMATIC**[™] PUMPS



The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



Conditions of Service:





The curves reflect maximum performance characteristics without exceeding full load (Nameplate) horsepower. All pumps have a service factor of 1.2. Operation is recommended in the bounded area with operational point within the curve limit. Performance curves are based on actual tests with clear water at 70° F. and 1280 feet site elevation.



Conditions of Service:

~ ~ • •

### 

Southwest County Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Update

# **APPENDIX D – WET WELL EVALUATION FORMS**



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

2102



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:

2103



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:

104



1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D


- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:

211



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:

21



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:

<u>'\/</u> TU)



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments: _____

)140



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:

<u>22</u>R



1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D


- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

**

### 2219

#### LIFT STATION FLOW CALCULATION WORKSHEET (Lift Stations with RTU)



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

# LIFT STATION FLOW CALCULATION WORKSHEET (Lift Stations with RTU)



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:	STATION	RUNS ON	TRANSPUCCK	
	<u></u>			
	<u></u>			



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:	STATION ON TRANSPUCEA	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

221



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:	STATION	Rupos	ON	A	TRANSDUCAR
					·····



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:	STATION RUN ON A TRANSPACER.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:	STATION RYNS ON TRANSDUCER	



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

### LIFT STATION FLOW CALCULATION WORKSHEET (Lift Stations with RTU)



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:

<u>3</u>6

# 2239

## LIFT STATION FLOW CALCULATION WORKSHEET (Lift Stations with RTU)



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

### LIFT STATION FLOW CALCULATION WORKSHEET (Lift Stations with RTU)



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

### LIFT STATION FLOW CALCULATION WORKSHEET (Lift Stations with RTU)



1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D


1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:	1909 sel		
	$\mathcal{D} \sim \mathcal{V} \mathcal{D}$		



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:	(NO	Radio)	Not Listed	IN #85



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D
- 3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

Comments:	(no	Rarchio	NOT Ableto HESS
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE		3/11/15	LOCAT		Contra PARK	298
WETWELL DIAMETER	6		WETWELL		VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *					740
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	166				D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	20'	C1 C2	D	2	0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)				3		1
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)						
P.S.I. #1 PUMP						
P.S.I. #2 PUMP						
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS						
P.S.I. NO PUMPS						
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP				<u>_</u>		
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP						

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

comments:				
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2				2. P

LIFT STATION FLOW CALCULATION WORKSHEET (Lift Stations with RTU)					
				23	318
DATE		3 18 05	LOCATIO	N: MANASOTA TAS. A.	318
WETWELL DIAMETER	<u>L</u>	WETWE	ELL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	166			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	20'	D1 D2	د م	0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		01 02	5		
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		740			
P.S.I. #2 PUMP					
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		740.2426	.416		
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP				Ŭ.	
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP					

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

comments:				
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2		and a second and a second s		

2076

DATE	,	3/18/15	LOCATION	1: MAMATEG WOURS 319
WETWELL DIAMETER	6	_ WETWE	ELL	VALVEBOX
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *			
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	13'6"			D4
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	<u> </u>		2	0
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)			03	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)				
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		Q40		
P.S.I. #2 PUMP		770		
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		939.9906	56	
P.S.I. NO PUMPS				
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP				
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		-		

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

iments:	~~~.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2				

DATE		3/19/15	LOCATION	N: Stonecheak	320
WETWELL DIAMETER	6	WETW	/ELL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	9'6"			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	12'6"	D1 D2		0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		DT DZ	03		
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		1.34			
P.S.I. #2 PUMP					
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		634.4936	928		
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP					
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP					

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

ments:	<u></u>			
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	1 13 8 18 18 18 8. 
PUMP#2				



2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

LIFT STATION FLOW CALCULATION WORKSHEET (Lift Stations with RTU)					
			2333		
DATE		3 ILOCATIO	DN: 30EE 333		
WETWELL DIAMETER	8	WETWELL	VALVEBOX		
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	164		D4		
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	20'		O		
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		01 02 03			
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		1311-			
P.S.I. #2 PUMP	·	1316	-		
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		1315.986918			
P.S.I. NO PUMPS			_		
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP					
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP					

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

		 		_
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	
PUMP#2			1.*	



- 1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.
- 2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D

DATE	,	31815	LOCATION:	5.2 338
WETWELL DIAMETER	8'	_ WETWE	ELL	VALVEBOX
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *			
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	21'	-		D4
OFF LEVEL DEP <b>T</b> H (D2)	24'			0
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2	D3 ==	== ==   \  == X =======
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)				
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		1128		
P.S.I. #2 PUMP		1100		
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		1127.988	787	
P.S.I. NO PUMPS				
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP		-	]	
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		-		

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

nents: _		 		
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2				5 1 1 C

					344
LIFT STAT	ION FLOW	CALCULATION WO	<b>RKSHEET</b> (Lif	t Stations with RTU	)
					2339
DATE	,	3/18/15	LOCATION:	5-23 2	,39
WETWELL DIAMETER	8	_ WETW	/ELL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	I INCHES *				
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	<u>/9 '</u>	-		D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	21'			0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)			03 -		
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)		4			
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		752			
P.S.I. #2 PUMP		- 100			
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		751,99	25248		
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP			J		
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		_			

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

DATE		3/18/15	LOCATION:	5-4	2(340)
WETWELL DIAMETER	<u> </u>	WETWE	ELL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	15'			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	<u>n'6</u> "	D4 D2		0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2	D3 ==	== ==   \  == X =====	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		529			,
P.S.I. #2 PUMP		5007			
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		528.74474	14		
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP					
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP	. <u></u>				

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

nents:				 
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2				 

2842

DATE		3/19/15	LOCATION:	5-6	342
WETWELL DIAMETER	8'	. WETWE	LL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	NCHES *				
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	19			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	22'6	D4 D0		0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2	D3 ==	====   \  == X ===	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		1316			
P.S.I. #2 PUMP		107-			
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		1315,986918	5		
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP			l		
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP					

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

iments:				
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2			a second and a second	\$4 <u>3</u> 7

LIFT STAT	ION FLOW	CALCULATION WORK	SHEET (Li	ft Stations with RTU)
				2343
DATE	1	3/18/15		5-7 343
WETWELL DIAMETER	6	_ WETWEL	L	VALVEBOX
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *			
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	19'	-		D4
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	21'			0
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)			03 -	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)				
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		42.3		
P.S.I. #2 PUMP				
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		422.9957952	-	
P.S.I. NO PUMPS				
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP		_		
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		-		

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

omments:		 		
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	2
PUMP # 2				, P

2361

DATE		3/19/15	LOCATION:	SUGARZINGE	361
WETWELL DIAMETER	6	_ WETY	WELL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	13	4		D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	15'			0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2	D3 =	== ==   \  == X ====	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)		-			
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		423			
P.S.I. #2 PUMP	• <del>•••••</del>	120			
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		422. <b>9</b> 95	-7952		
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP					
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		_			

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

			·····		 	
PUMP #1	38.	MO#	Let but in	HP	 IMP	
PUMP#2			the last the second			

DATE		3 19 15	LOCATION	N: TROPICA STORDS #/ 393
WETWELL DIAMETER	6	WETWE	ELL	VALVEBOX
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *			
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	146			D4
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	176	D1 D2		0
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)			D3	=== == \\ == X =======
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)				
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		211		
P.S.I. #2 PUMP	<u> </u>			
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		211.49789	<i>Ж</i>	
P.S.I. NO PUMPS		CCTT -		
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP				
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP				

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

iments:	<u></u>		 		 		
PUMP #1	Μ	IO#		HP	IMP	- <u>1</u>	
PUMP#2	Ex P						

2396

DATE		3/19/15	LOCATIO	N: TROPICA SoloArs #2 396
WETWELL DIAMETER	4'	_ WETWE	ELL	VALVEBOX
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *			
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	11'6"	_		D4
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	14'			0
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)			D3	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)		_		
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		- 725		
P.S.I. #2 PUMP				
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		234.997664	(	
P.S.I. NO PUMPS				
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP				]
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		_		

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

mments:				 		
PUMP #1	MO#	a constant	HP	MP		
PUMP # 2	- 10 - 1			2 2 2	,F.	14, 5,47) 11

DATE		3/19/15	LOCATIO	N: RESCARA LAKES 409
WETWELL DIAMETER	4'	_ WETW	/ELL	VALVEBOX
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *			
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	14'	_		D4
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	15'		50	0
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2	D3	===  ==   \  == X ========
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)		-		
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		94		
P.S.I. #2 PUMP				
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		93.999	0656	
P.S.I. NO PUMPS				
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP				1
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP	- <u></u>	_		

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

DATE	1	3/19/15	LOCATION:	22A 413	2133
WETWELL DIAMETER		WETWE	ELL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	16'			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	21'	D1 D2	D3 =	O	======
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)					
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		1057			
P.S.I. #2 PUMP	<u> </u>	1007			
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		1057.489488			
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP					
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP					

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

DATE		3/19/15	LOCATION	N: PAIM LAKOS	414
WETWELL DIAMETER	4	WETWE	LL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	6			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	8	D4 D0		0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2	D3	=== ==   \  == X ===	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		158			
P.S.I. #2 PUMP		100			
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		187,99813	R		
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP	<u></u>				
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP					

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

Comme	nts: _						
•	PUMP #1	MO#		HP	IMP	29 - 6 - 7	
2	PUMP # 2	الم ^{ناس} ر بر عليه أ	1987 - 1998 1997 - 1998				

DATE		3/18/15	LOCATION	1: 25A 424
WETWELL DIAMETER		WETW	ELL	VALVEBOX
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *			
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	_15			D4
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	166			O
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)			03	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)	<u> </u>			
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		317		
P.S.I. #2 PUMP				
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		317.246	8464	
P.S.I. NO PUMPS			<u></u>	
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP				
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP				

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

nents:			· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2				

DATE		3/18/15	LOCATION:	EL RANGER VILLAGE	425
WETWELL DIAMETER	6	_ WETWE	ELL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	11'6'			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	15'		De	0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2	D3 ==	== ==   \  == X ======	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)		-			
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		740			
P.S.I. #2 PUMP					
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		740.2426	416		
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP		-			
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		-			

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

PUMP #1	in a second	MO#		HP	 IMP		a.c
PUMP # 2				1. 3 4.14		9	

LIFT STAT	TION FLOW	CALCULATION WORKSHEET (Lif	t Stations with RTU)
			2084
DATE		<u>3118/15</u> LOCATION:	24A 426
WETWELL DIAMETER	6	_ WETWELL	VALVEBOX
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	I INCHES *		
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	(3'	-	D4
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	15		O
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)			
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)			
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		423	
P.S.I. #2 PUMP			
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		422.9957952	
P.S.I. NO PUMPS			
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP		]	
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		_	

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

Comments:		<u>.</u>	 		
PUMP #1	MO#		 HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2	and the second sec	- alvia († 113 - alvia († 113		13 (1995) 7 in	
\FORMS\FLOWWKS					
	707	57476			

DATE		3/11/15	LOCATION:	42A .	431
WETWELL DIAMETER	<u></u>	_ WET	WELL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				1057
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	15'	-		D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	20'			0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)			D3 =	~ ~ ~ ~- ~ ~	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP					
P.S.I. #2 PUMP					
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS					
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP					
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		-			

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

nents:	- <u>-</u>					
PUMP #1	3	MO#	lle des des	HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2	i. Fialf					

DATE	1	3/11/15	_	LOCATION	1: 21A	432
WETWELL DIAMETER	8	-	WETWEL	.L	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *					1316
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	19'				D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	22'6			52	0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)	·			D3	=== < `\ == X ====	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)	<u></u>					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP						
P.S.I. #2 PUMP		₩				
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS						
P.S.I. NO PUMPS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP			<u></u>			
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		_				

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

Comments:		 		 
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2				

és

DATE		3/11/15	LOCAT	ION:	204	433
WETWELL DIAMETER	8'		WETWELL		VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *					1128
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	21'				D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	24'				0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2	D	3 ===	== \ == X ===== 	====
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)						
P.S.I. #1 PUMP						
P.S.I. #2 PUMP						
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS						
P.S.I. NO PUMPS			<del></del>			
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP	. <u> </u>	<i>_</i>	<u></u>			
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		-				

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2				

LIFT STATION FLOW CALCULATION WORKSHEET (Lift Station	ns with RTU) 🧷 🧷

DATE	2	59/15	_ LC	OCATION	WOOD	5 0 F Wel 15	Fizla	435
WETWELL DIAMETER	4'		WETWELL		VA	LVEBOX		
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *						30	29
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	11/611					D4		
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	15'	D1 D2				0		
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)				D3 -		·/^//		
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)								
P.S.I. #1 PUMP								
P.S.I. #2 PUMP								
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS								
P.S.I. NO PUMPS								
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP	. <u></u>							
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP								

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

DATE		3/11/15	LOCATION	WHITHED HD.PS	:#/ 457
WETWELL DIAMETER	5'	<u> </u>	/ETWELL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				440
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	8'			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	<u>_1ı'</u>	D4 D0		0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2	D3	==== X ==  /   == === 	====
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP					
P.S.I. #2 PUMP					
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS					
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP		<u>  </u>			
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		-			

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

nents:					 
PUMP #1	MO#		HP	IMP	 
PUMP # 2		and the second sec		and a second	

DATE		3/11/15	LOCATION:	Wittfield Into.PK	:#2 458
WETWELL DIAMETER	6	WE ⁻	TWELL	VALVEBOX	~
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN INCHES *					423
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	15'			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	17'			0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2	D3 ==	= ==   \  == X =====	:===
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)	••••				
P.S.I. #1 PUMP					
P.S.I. #2 PUMP					
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS					
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP					
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP					

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

PUMP #1 MO# HP IMP							
PUMP # 2							
DATE		3/9/15	ļ	LOCATION	Aing	BRTIND.PK	. 469
-----------------------	----------	--------	--------	----------	--------	-----------	-------
WETWELL DIAMETER	<u> </u>	,	WETWEL	L	١	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *	]					569
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	21'					D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	2.2'6"			5.6		0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2		D3	=== ==	\	=====
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)							
P.S.I. #1 PUMP							
P.S.I. #2 PUMP							
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS							
P.S.I. NO PUMPS							
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP							
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP							

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

DATE		3/19/15	LOCATION:	MEDOR SUB. DIV.	471
WETWELL DIAMETER	<u> </u>	WETWE	LL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	9'			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	11'6'	01 02	D3	O	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)			03		
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP		529			
P.S.I. #2 PUMP					
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS		528.744744			
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP					
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP					

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

nments:					
PUMP #1	MO#		HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2		2. W. K	and the fact of a		

DATE		2/9/15	LOCAT	FION:	9AA	488
WETWELL DIAMETER	8'	_	WETWELL		VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *					1503
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	_18'	-			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	22				0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)			D	3 ===	==   \  == X ====	=====   
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)						
P.S.I. #1 PUMP						
P.S.I. #2 PUMP						
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS						
P.S.I. NO PUMPS						
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP						
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		_				

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

DATE		3915	LOCATION	AIRPORT PARK of Co	MM- 491
WETWELL DIAMETER	6	W	ETWELL	VALVEBOX	
* ALL MEASUREMENTS IN	INCHES *				845
ON-LEVEL DEPTH (D1)	18'			D4	
OFF LEVEL DEPTH (D2)	22'			0	
WETWELL DEPTH (D3)		D1 D2	D3	===  ==   (  == × ======	
GAUGE-V.B.TOP (D4)					
P.S.I. #1 PUMP					
P.S.I. #2 PUMP					
P.S.I. BOTH PUMPS					
P.S.I. NO PUMPS					
DEAD HEAD #1 PUMP					
DEAD HEAD #2 PUMP		_			

1. Measure the wet well diameter or rectangular size in inches.

2. When the pump turns on measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D1).

3. When the pump turns off measure the distance from the top of the wet well to the sewage level (D2).

4. Measure the pressure for each pump while running, no pumps running, and both pumps running.

5. Measure the "Dead Head" pressure for each pump with the pump gate valve closed.

6. Measure the distance from top of the valve vault to the center of the pressure gauge (D4).

7. Measure to total depth of the wet well (D3).

nments:				
PUMP #1	MO#	HP	IMP	
PUMP # 2				Č,

# **APPENDIX D – LIFT STATION SPREADSHEET**

						DIDE	DIDE	WETWELL	BOTTOM				FORCE	FORCE	FORCE
LIFT STATION NAME/NO. RT	U # WWT	P STATION	STREET ADDRESS	GPM	TDH	SIZE	TYPE	SIZE	ELEV.	TOP ELEV.	ELEV.	SIZE TYPE	MAIN SIZE	MAIN TYPE	
COQUINA BEACH 1	51 SW	#1	N.RESTROOMS	27	65.0	2	PVC	4.0				4 PVC	2	PVC	60 COQ.#3 F.M.,60'W.OF L.S.
COQUINA BEACH 2	52 SW	#1	C.RESTROOMS	25	46.0	2	PVC	4.0				4 PVC	2	PVC	60 COQ.#3 F.M.,60'E.OF L.S.
COQUINA BEACH 3	53 SW	#1	2650 GULF DR.	37	20.0	1.5	PVC	4.0				4 PVC	2	PVC	4100 M.H.,13ST.S.,180'E.OF GULF DR.
	54 SW	1-M	111 6TH ST. S.	300	55.0	4	HDPE	6.0				8 VCP	6	CIP	2650 #5 F.M.,N.SIDE OF CORTEZ RD. BRIDGE
	55 SW	1-C	611 GULF DR.N.	280	20.5	3	C000	4.0	12 00	4.50	6 90		4		
2-0	57 SW	1-IVI 1-M	2301 AV C	200	52.0	4		5.0 7.5	-12.00	4.50	-0.00	8 CIP	4		424 #5 F.M.,GOLF DR.& 951.,W.SIDE
3-C	58 SW	#5	2819 AV.C.	225	22.0	4	HDPE	7.5	-13.00	0.00	-9.00	8 CIP	6	CIP	1800 M.H. 6AV.& GULF DR., N.W. CORNER
#6	59 SW	#5	5901 MARINA DR.	275	35.0	4	HDPE	6.0					8	CIP	1150 #11 F.M.,56ST.& HOLMES BLVD.
#9	60 SW	#7	636 KEY ROYALE DR.	250	39.0	4	HDPE	8.0					6	CIP	2450 M.H.,KEY ROYALE DR.,150'W.OF BRIDGE
KEY ROYALE & IVANHOE	61 SW	#9	708 KEY ROYALE DR.	50	25.0	4	PVC	6.0	6.00	5.00	3.00	8 PVC	4	PVC	1200 M.H.,686 KEY ROYALE DR., IN MEDIAN
#7	62 SW	#5	6900 HOLMES BLVD.	800	35.0	6	HDPE	12.0					10	CIP	350 #11 F.M.,68ST.& HOLMES BLVD.
#8	63 SW	#7	7205 MARINA DR.	200	23.2	4	HDPE	6.0				6 VCP	6	CIP	1350 M.H.,CLARKS DR.& MARINA DR.
#11	64 SW	#5	8501 GULF DR.	1000	60.0	8	HDPE	12.0				CIP	10X12X16	CIP	7920 M.H.@ 127 52ST.
#12	65 SW	#11	501 MAGNOLIA AV.	600	32.0	6	HDPE	8.0				CIP	8	CIP	1650 M.H.,N.SHORE DR.& PALM AV.
#15	66 SW	#12		250	27.0	4		13.0					4		
#13	68 SW	#12	690 JACARANDA ROAD	320	40.0	4		8.0					6	CIP	2750 M H PALM DR & N SHORE DR
	69 SW	#12	522 PINE AV	80	15.0	4	HDPF	4.0				6 VCP	4	PVC	SERVICE 254' FROM DOWN N.H., ACROSS FROM ROSER CHURCH ON PINE ST.
GULF DRIVE & 68TH.STREET	70 SW	#7	6709 GULF DRIVE	130	8.0	3	PVC	4.0				8 VCP	4	PVC	MH. 205 68ST.
#5 MASTER	71 SW	1-M	4150 GULF DR.	1830	92.5	12X18	DIP	8X29				30 CIP	18X20	DIP	19212 M.H.,CORTEZ RD.@ WATER BOOSTER STATION
COQUINA BEACH 4	72 SW	#1	BAYSIDE BOAT RAMP	18	6.0	1.5	PVC	4.0	-2.50	6.50	2.00	4 PVC	2	PVC	COQ.#3 F.M., APROX. 70' E. OF C.B.#3 L.S.
TORTUGA INN	73 SW	2-C	1325 GULF DR.	100	15.0	3	PVC	4.0	-3.32	6.68	0.78	8 C900	3	PVC	10 LAT., 2-C, WEST OF WETWELL
SUNBOW BAY	74 SW	#5	3803 EAST BAY DR.	40	20.0	3	PVC	4.0	-2.22	6.45	-0.22	6 PVC	4	PVC	720 M.H. 6TH. AVE.& 36ST.
MARINE RESCUE	75 SW	#1	2651 GULF DR. S.	15	105.0	2	PVC	4.0	0.25	8.00	4.17	6 PVC	1.5	PVC	719 COQUINA BEACH 4 F.M.
BAYSHORE YACHT BASIN	101 SW	SWWWTP	2301 S. RADCLIFFE PL.	950	71.0	8	HDPE	12.0	-10.50	6.50	0.11	24 DIP	10	PVC	500 12-A F.M., FLORIDA BLVD. & 26ST.W., N.E. CORNER
BAY DRIVE	102 SW	BAYSHORE Y.B.	2906 BAY DRIVE	100	15.0	3	PVC	4.0	-9.50	9.20	-3.41	6 VCP	3	PVC	40 M.H., 43 FT. N.OF LIFT STATION
EL CONQUISTADOR 2	103 SW	EL CONQUISTADOR	5900 47ST.W.	108	10.0	4	C900	5.0				10 VCP	4	PVC	MH, 5901 LA VISTA LANE, 23 FT.W. OF SW CORN. OF GARAGE
EL CONQUISTADOR 1	104 SW	SWWWTP	3790 EL. CONQ. PKWY	800	110.0	10	HDPE	10X12	-14.60	10.90	-6.90	24 DIP	10	C900	12-A F.M., BAYSHORE GDNS.PKWY.& 34ST.W., S.E.CORN.
	105 SW		6461 WILD OAK BAY BLVD.	125	45.0	4	PVC	6.0				10 PVC	4	PVC	MH.@ 3802 SUN EAGLE LANE
	106 SW	SWWWTP	6015 34TH ST. W.	80	71.0	4		6.0	2.44	22.20	7.54	0.01/0	4		50 12-A F.M., 34ST.W., W.OF L.S.
	107 SW	SWWWIP	5900 34ST.	/5 50	78.0	4		6.0	3.11	23.26	7.54 11.70		4	PVC	50 12-A,F.M.3451.W.@ ENTRANCE
GLENN LAKES 2	100 SW	GLENN LAKES 1	5016 524V W	105	31.0	4		6.0	0.25	19.70	3.60	8 PVC	4	PVC	3000 MH 5146 44ST W
	110 SW	SWWWTP	5400 34TH ST_W	125	76.0	4		6.0	6.00	24.50	12.06	8 CIP	4	PVC	5000 12-A F M 34ST W @ FNTR
	111 SW	27-A	3421 51ST. AVE. DR. W.	150	20.0	3	PVC	4.0	8.87	16.37	11.78	8 VCP	4	PVC	MH. NW CORN. 3208 51AV.TERR.W.
VICTORIA SQUARE WEST	112 SW	VICTORIA SQUARE I	5035 34TH ST. W.	150	20.0	3	PVC	4.0	6.34	18.34	9.34	8 VCP	4	PVC	MH, REAR OF 3277/3275 51 AV.DR.W.
COLLEGE PLAZA	113 SW	30-AA	4480 34TH ST. W.	100	15.0	4	CIP	6.0	8.39	19.06	12.06	8 VCP	4	PVC	292 WILDWOOD SPGS.1 F.M., 34ST.W., EAST OF L.S.
WILDWOOD SPRINGS 1	114 SW	30-AA	4490 WILDWOOD SPRING PK	325	62.5	4	HDPE	6.0				8 CIP	8	PVC	MH, 46AV. & 34ST.W.
WILDWOOD SPRINGS 2	115 SW	30-A	3985 OAKVIEW DR.	190	42.0	4	PVC	6.0				10 VCP	6	PVC	MH, 4910 31ST.W.
18-M	116 SW	SWWWTP	6020 45AV.DR.W.	190	54.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-2.90	19.20	5.10	10 CIP	6	CIP	2935 1-M F.M.,66ST.,S.OF CORTEZ RD.
M.C.U.O.D.	117 SW	SWWWTP	4508 66th.ST.W.	140	23.0	3	PVC	4.0				6 CIP	4	PVC	DORAYE VILLAS F.M.,N.OF LAB
DORAYE VILLAS	118 SW	SWWWTP	6701 44AV.W.	150	61.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-0.38	14.04	4.62/10.04	8&4 PVC	4	PVC	875 1-M F.M.,N.E.OF METER OFFICE @ 66ST.W.
	119 SW	SWWWTP	5005 47ST.W.	300	81.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-2.83	24.25	4.18	10 PVC	6	C900	2200 27A FM, 53AV.W. & 43ST.W.
	120 500			300	30.0	4		6.0					6	ACP	1800 M.H., CORTEZ RD., N.OF CORAL SHORES ENTR. (IN DITCH)
CORAL SHORES EAST 4	122 510/	1-M		100	20.0	4	PVC	0.0	-11 00	Q Q 0	-6 00		4	PVC	
10-M	122 SW	1-M	10300 46AV W	100	25.0	4		6.0	-11.99	5.00	-0.99	6 CIP	4	CIP	325 M H 102ST W & 46AV W
1-MA	124 SW	1-M	12011 45TH AVE W	275	25.0	4	HDPF	6.0	-16.60	3.50	-9.60	8 CIP	6	CIP	950 M H. CORTEZ RD. F. OF WATER BOOSTER STATION
30-A	125 SW	27-A	2921 47TH AVE. W.	392	23.0	4	HDPE	8.0	0.30	20.00	6.20	12 CIP	8	CIP	2375 M.H.,5102 30ST.W., S.SIDE
31-A	126 SW	27-A	1710 47TH AVE. DR. W.	1542	44.0	6	S.S.	12.0	-5.40	20.00	2.40	24 CIP	14	CIP	2800 34-A F.M.,48AV.W.& 26ST.W.,S.E.CORNER
LINCOLN ARMS	127 SW	31-A	5030 14ST.W.	255	28.0	3	PVC	6X10				6 VCP	3	PVC	SERVICE 73' S.OF MH @ 18ST.W. & 51AV.W.
TRINITY BAPTIST CHURCH	128 SW	27-A	5116 26ST.W.	128	7.0	4	PVC	4.0				4 PVC	4	PVC	SERVICE, 116' FROM MH#A4707, 2611 52AV.DR.W.
29-A	129 SW	27-A	1801 55AV.W.	455	28.5	6	HDPE	12.0	-4.20	20.20	3.30	18 CIP	12	CIP	530 M.H.,1911 55AV.W.
28-A	130 SW	27-A	2314 58AV.DR.W.	125	27.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-0.15	17.20	4.85	8 CIP	6	CIP	1525 M.H.,24ST.W.,125'N.OF 57AV.W.
58TH.AV.DR.W.	131 SW	FLAMINGO BLVD.	1800 58AV.DR.W.	137	6.0	3	PVC	4.0				6 VCP	4	DIP	238 M.H., 5852 WELCOME RD., UNIT 2, BAYSHORE CONDOS
FLAMINGO BLVD.	132 SW	BAYSHORE Y.B.	1902 FLAMINGO BLVD.	350	27.0	4	PVC	6.0	2.65	18.00	7.65	8 VCP	6	CIP	MH, 6020 HOPKINS DR.N.
ELMER'S AUTOMOTIVE	133 SW	12-A	1600 INDIANA AVE.	125	15.0	3	PVC	4.0				4 DIP	4	C900	MH BEHIND 1603 MINNESOTA, TRAILER ESTATES
SARABAY APARTMENTS	134 SW	12-A	1710 691H AVE. W.	100	18.0	4	PVC	7.0	-9.00	4.80	-4.00	8 VCP	6		9/6/M.H. ON BAY DR., /5FT. N. OF L.S.
1-A	135 SW	12-A	7300 SHEPARD ST.	637	44.0	6		10.0	-18.80	7.00	-11.00		10		
о-А 7 А	130 500	1-A	1010 WEST MUKELAND DR.	453	33.0	4		8.0	-11.78	6.00	-4.28		8		
27-A MASTER	138 6141		0440 UPLANDO BLVD.	280	51.0	4		0.0	-4.98	9.00	2.05		6		1001WI.T., S.END OF LONGDAT BLVD., S. OF EAGLES NEST LN.
	100 000	SVVVVVIF		2900	50.0	14	ווש	0729	-2.00	19.00	3.93	30 011	20		

.W.VA£8 &.W.T84€,.M.3,A-S1	3124	DIP	50	CIP	30	3.95	09 [.] 61	99.2-	8X29	14 DIP	0.88	2950	2484 53RD AVE. W.	<b>ATWWWS</b>	MS 861	AJTSAM A-72
M.H.,S.END OF LONGBAY BLVD., S. OF EAGLES NEST LN.	002	CIP	9	CIP	8	2 <u>3.</u> 1	00.6	-4.98	0.8	4 HDEE	0.1E	280	8448 UPLANDS BLVD.	<b>∀-</b> 9	MS 2EL	Α-Υ
M.H., BROUGHTON AV., 450'N.OF GREENWOOD AV.	1850	СІР	8	CIP	91	-4.28	00.8	87.11-	0.8	t HDbE	33.0	423	7678 WEST MORELAND DR.	A-۲	130 SW	A-3
.W .3VA HT60 0f1f,.H.M	4430	СІР	01	CIP	81	00.11-	00.7	08.81-	0.01	6 HDFE	44.0	<b>ZE</b> 9	7300 SHEPARD ST.	A-2↑	132 SW	A-F
M.H. ON BAY DR., 75FT. N. OF L.S.	926	ΡVC	9	ΛСЬ	8	-4.00	4.80	00.6-	0.7	t b∧C	0.81	100	1710 69TH AVE. W.	A-21	134 SW	STNAMTAAAA YAAAAAA
MH BEHIND 1603 MINNESOTA, TRAILER ESTATES	1	0060	4	DIP	7				0.4	3 PVC	15.0	152	1600 INDIANA AVE.	A-21	133 SW	<b>ELMER'S AUTOMOTUA S'AEMJE</b>
WH' 6020 HOPKINS DR.N.	1	СІР	9	AСЬ	8	99 [.] 7	00.81	2 ^{.65}	0.9	t b∧C	0.72	320		BAYSHORE Y.B.	132 SW	FLAMINGO BLVD.
	- 1827		4	ACP	9				0.4	3 640	0.8	131	.W.AG.VA86.0081	FLAMINGO BLVD.	MSIEL	.W.ЯЦ.VA.H188
	19791	CIP	9	CIP	8	98.4	02.71	9L'0-	0.9		0.72	971	2314 SAV.YU.YA82	∀-/Z	130.5W	A-82
.W.VAdd rrer,.H.M	029	CIP	۔ ۲	CIP	81	3.30	20.20	-4.20	0.21	9 HDFE	9.82	997	.W.VA66 f08f	∀-/Z	MS 6ZL	∀-62
SERVICE, 116 FROM MH#A4/07, 2611 52AV.DR.W.		.0\d	4 7	С/ч	۰. ۲				0.4		0.1	87.L		∀-/Z	MS 871	
SERVICE /3 S.OF MH @ 1881.W. & 518V.W.	,	D/4	5	400	9				01X8	3 PVC	0.82	997	.W.1241 000	A-15	MS /7L	
34-A F.M.,488V.W.& 2651.W.,5.E.CURNER	0087	40	7L	10	5 77	07.2	00.02	04.6-	0.21	92.5.	0.44	7401	1/10 4/1H AVE. DK. W.	¥-17	MS 971	A-re
			0	210	70	07.0	00.02	06.0	0.0		0.62	769		V 20 ∀-17	MS 507	A-06
			0		0	00.0-	00.0	00.01-	0.0		0.02	CUC	.W. 3VA. HIGA 11021	V 20		AW-1
MI.11.,10251.W. & 40AV.W.			5	CIF	0	03.0	00.0	61.6-	0.0		0.02	320	.W.VA0+ 00001 W. JV( HT31, 11001	IVI F	M3 /01	010 F
M.1., OOK TEZ KD: @ 1-M E.S.	1900/1	CIP	<i>v</i>	CIP CIP	9	FF 9-	00.0	66.11-	0.0		0.00	001		VV- F IVI- I	MS 221	
	10921	J//d	<i>v</i>		01	00 9-	888	00 11-	0.9		36.0	001			MS CCF	
		J//d	<i>v</i>		9		_		0.9		50.0	001		COPAL SHORES WE	MS 161	
M H COBLES BD N OF COBAL SHORES ENTR (IN DITCH)	10081	ACP 0000	9	DVG	61	0	0711 7	00.7	09		30.0	300	4808 COBAL BLVD	N-1	150 SW	COBAL SHORES WEST
DIA EM 53AV W & 43ST	2200	0060	9	PVC.	10	817	96.46	-5.83	09	7 HDBE	81.0	300	M 1824 2009	gtwww.s	MS 611	GIENNI AKES 1
1-WEWNEOUELCE @ 665TW	978	PVC	7	PVC.	884	70.01/29	14.044	86.0-	0.9	4 HDBE	0.13	120	W VA44 1078	SWWWTP	MS 811	DORAYE VILLAS
DOBAYE VILLA E M. N OF LAB		PVC	7	CIP	9				40	3 BAC	53.0	140	W TS 4508 66th	SWWWTP	MS ZII	UCHOD
1-M F.M66STS.OF CORTEZ RD.	5632	CIP	9	CIP	01	5.10	02.01	-5 [.] 90	0.9	4 HDFE	9.43	06l	6020 45AV.DR.W.	SWWWTP	MS 911	M-81
.W.T216 315T.W.	1	ΡVC	9	ΛСЬ	01				0.9	4 PVC	45.0	061	3985 OAKVIEW DR.	A-0£	WSBII	WILDWOOD SPRINGS 2
.W.T245 & 345T.W.	I	ΡVC	8	CIP	8				0'9	4 HDbE	62.5ð	325	4490 MILDWOOD SPRING PK	AA-0£	MS †11	WILDWOOD SPRINGS 1
WILDWOOD SPGS.1 F.M., 34ST.W., EAST OF L.S.	792 /	ΡVC	4	ΛСЬ	8	12.06	90.01	65.8	0.8	4 CIP	19.0	100	4480 34TH ST. W.	AA-0£	MS EII	COLLEGE PLAZA
	I	ΡVC	4	ΛСЬ	8	9.34	18.34	6.34	4.0	3 PVC	20.0	120	6035 34TH ST. W.	VICTORIA SQUARE E	MS 211	VICTORIA SQUARE WEST
MH, NW CORN. 3208 51AV.TERR.W.	I	ΡVC	4	ΛСЬ	8	87.11	7E.81	78.8	4.0	3 PVC	20.0	120	3421 51ST. AVE. DR. W.	A-72	MS III	VICTORIA SQUARE EAST
12-A F.M.;34ST.W.@ ENTR.	200	ΡVC	4	CIP	8	12.06	24.50	00.9	0.8	4 CIP	0.97	152	5400 34TH ST. W.	SWWWTP	MS 011	ΜΟΒΤΟΝ ΛΙΓΓΑΘΕ
.W, 5146 44ST.W.	3000	Ь∧С	4	ЪΛС	8	3.60	02 [.] 61	0.25	0'9	4 HDbE	31.0	102	.W.VAS3 8103	GLENN LAKES 1	MS 601	GLENN LAKES 2
12-A F.M.; 34ST.W.@ ENTR.	320	PVC	4	ΛСЬ	8	07.11	21.20	02.T	0.9	4 PVC	9.88	90	5700 34ST.W.	SWWWTP	WS 801	ГАКЕ ВКІDGE
12-A,F.M.34ST.W.@ ENTRANCE	· 09	PVC	4	Ρ٧С	8	48.T	23.26	11.6	0.9	4 HDFE	0.87	92	5900 34ST.	<b>ATWWWS</b>	MS 201	MIRROR LAKE
12-A F.M., 34ST.W., W.OF L.S.	· 09	CIP	4						0.8	t b∧C	0.17	08	6015 34TH ST. W.	SWWWTP	WS 901	ΑΙΛΙΕΝDΑ
MH.@ 3802 SUN EAGLE LANE	I	ΡVC	4	ЬΛС	01				0.8	t b∧C	42.0	152	6461 WILD OAK BAY BLVD.	EL CONQUISTADOR	MS 901	MILD OAK BAY
12-A F.M., BAYSHORE GDNS. PKWY. & 34ST.W., S.E.CORN.		006C	01	DIP	54	06.9-	06 [.] 01	-14'60	21X01	10 HDEE	0.011	008	3790 EL. CONQ. PKWY	SWWWTP	MS 701	EL CONQUISTADOR 1
MH, 5901 LA VISTA LANE, 23 FT.W. OF SW CORN. OF GARAGE	I	Ь∧С	4	ΛСЬ	01				0.8	4 C000	0.01	801	.W.TS74 0068	EL CONQUISTADOR	103 SW	EL CONQUISTADOR 2
M.H., 43 FT. N.OF LIFT STATION	07	PVC	3	ΛСЬ	9	-3.41	9.20	09.6-	4.0	3 b∧C	19.0	100	2906 BAY DRIVE	BAYSHORE Y.B.	105 SW	ΒΑΥ DRIVE
12-A F.M., FLORIDA BLVD. & 26ST.W., N.E. CORNER	200	PVC	01	DIP	54	11.0	05.9	-10.50	12.0	8 HDFE	0.17	096	2301 S. RADCLIFFE PL.	SWWWTP	MS 101	BAYSHORE YACHT BASIN
COQUINA BEACH 4 F.M.	0612	ΡVC	<u>۶</u> .۱	ЬΛС	9	71.4	00.8	0.25	4.0	5 PVC	105.0	9L	2651 GULF DR. S.	۱#	MS 92	MARINE RESCUE
.T236 &.EVA .HT3 .H.M	1027	ΡVC	4	ЬΛС	9	-0.22	9.45	-2.22	0.4.0	3 PVC	20.0	40	3803 EAST BAY DR.	S#	MS 72	SUNBOW BAY
LAT., 2-C, WEST OF WETWELL	101	ΡVC	5	0060	8	87.0	89.9	26.6-	4.0	3 bAC	0.81	001	1325 GULF DR.	2-C	MS EL	ΤΟΚΤŪϾΑ ΙΝΝ
COQ.#3 F.M., APROX. 70 E. OF C.B.#3 L.S.	)	bΛC	2	bΛC	7	2.00	09.9	-2.50	0.4	1.5 PVC	0.8	81	AMAA TAOB EDISYAB	 ل#	MS ZZ	
M.H., CORTEZ RD.@ WETER BOOSTER STATION	171261		02X81	CIP	30				67X8		9.26	1830	4190 GULF DR.	M-L	MSIZ	##UNARY #
	1	D/4	Þ.	400	8				0.4	3 PVC	0.8	130		/#	MS 0/	
		20d	<b>†</b>	400	9				0.4		0.61	08		ZI,#	MS 69	
	109/7		9	407	- ZL				0.8		0.84	075		11#	MC 80	+1#
	19791		9	402	8				0.0		0.0+	097		71#	MG /0	C1#
			5 *	404	0 0		+	1	0.61		0.12	040		71#	MS 23	
			v Q	۸۵ <u>۵</u>	5				0.8		0.20	000		۰ <i>۲</i> #	MG 00	۵ <i>۲</i> #
	10391								0.21		0.00	009		۲۷# ۲۷	MS 39	C1#
		CIE	31701701	LIP	0				0.0		7.02	0001		#2	///S //9	11#
	13201	CIE	9	a:DV	9		_		0.9		0.00	300		Z#	MS 89	8#
	320	CIP	U1	<u> </u>	0	00.0	00:0	00:0	0.0		35.0	008		<u>9</u> #	///5/29	
	10061	PVC	v o	PVC	8	00 8	00 2	00.9	0.9		0.20	05		6#	MS 19	
W.H.,KEY ROYALE DR.,150,W.OF BRIDGE	5450	CIP	9						0.8		39.0	520	636 KEY ROYALE DR		MS 09	6#
#11 F.M.,56ST.& HOLMES BLVD	F 0911	CIP	8		<u> </u>	0.017	0.015	0.016	0.8		32.0	575	5901 MARINA DR.	S#	MS 69	9#
M.H.,6AV.& GULF DR., N.W. CORNER	10081	CIP	9	CIP	8	00'6-	0.00	-13.00	9 [.] Z	4 HDbE	22.0	525	2819 AV.C.	G#	MS 89	3-C
#5 F.M.,AV.C.& 23ST.	≠ O L	CIP	9	CIP	8	00.6-	0.00	-12.00	9.7 	4 HDbE	52.0	400	2301 AV.C.	M-r	MS 29	5-C
#5 F.M.,GULF DR.& 9ST.,W.SIDE	424	CIP	4	CIP	8	08.9-	4'20	-12.80	8.8	4 C000	3.9.5	200	.N.TS9 3111	M-r	MS 99	1-C
WH' E' OL 1-C L'S'	1	DIP	4	ΛСЬ	8				4.0	3 PVC	0.8	280	611 GULF DR.N.	1-C	MS 99	IMPERIAL HOUSE
#2 F.M., N.SIDE OF CORTEZ RD. BRIDGE	\$92	CIP	9	ΛСЬ	8				0.9	4 HDEE	9.83	300	111 6TH ST. S.	M-r	MS 79	۱#
M.H.,13ST.S.,180'E.OF GULF DR.	4100	PVC	5	Ъ∧С	4				4.0	1.5 PVC	20.0	28	2650 GULF DR.	۱#	MS 83	COQUINA BEACH 3
COO'#3 E'W''00,E'OE F'?	09	ΡVC	5	ЪΛС	4				4.0	2 PVC	46.0	52	C.RESTROOMS	۱#	22 SM	COQUINA BEACH 2
COO"#3 E "M" ' 00,M OE F ' 2"	09	P√C	5	Б∨С	4				4.0	2 PVC	0.28	22	N.RESTROOMS	<b>۱</b> #	MS 19	COQUINA BEACH 1
FORCE MAIN TERMINATION LOCATION	<b>LENGTH</b>	<b>ΞϤΥΤ ΝΙΑΜ</b>	ATIS NIAM 30	ЧΥΤ	JZIS	ELEV.	TOP ELEV.	ELEV.	JZIS	SIZE TYPE	HOT	СРМ	SZARET ADDRESS	NOITATS	ATWW # UTA	LIFT STATION NAME/NO.
	NIAM	FORCE	е ковсе	ІИЕ. LIN	ІИЕ. LINE	NF. LINE	11	BOTTOM	WET WELL	PIPE PIPE				DOWNSTREAM LIFT		
	FORCE								1							

																FORCE	
			DOWNSTREAM LIFT			PIPE	PIPE	WET WELL	BOTTOM		NF. LINE	INF. LINE	INF. LINE	FORCE	FORCE	MAIN	
LIFT STATION NAME/NO.	RIU				GPM IDH	SIZE		SIZE	45.05	TOP ELEV.	ELEV.	SIZE				LENGIH	
FIVE LAKES	12	10 SW	27-A	2007 DAT DR. 5130 34TH ST. W	150 15.0	12		6.0	-15.95	25 50	-9.34 12.60	24		20724730	PVC	25044	Z1-A F.W.,54UI.51.& 55U.AV.W. M H 3312 51AV TERR W
VIZCAYA	14	11 SW	SWWWTP	6271 34TH ST. W.	48 76.0	4	PVC	6.0	-5.30	12.71	0.52	8	DIP	4	CIP	50	12-A F.M.,34ST.W.,W.OF L.S.
CORTEZ COMMERCIAL CENT	14	12 SW	30-AA	4010 43rd.AV.DR.W.	150 25.0	4	PVC	6.0	9.60	22.30	13.60	8	VCP	4	PVC	313	W.W.SPGS #1 F.M.,44AV. & 39ST.W., SW CORNER
BRADEN LAKES	14	43 SW	27-A	5001 30th.ST.W.	180 18.0	4	PVC	6.0	2.93	20.09	9.05	8	PVC	4	PVC	60	M.H.,30ST.W. IN FRONT OF LS.
PARK & REC. MAINTENANCE	14	44 SW	SWWWTP	5161 65th.ST.W.	50 25.0	3	PVC	3.0				4	PVC	3	PVC	3000	S.W.R.T.P.
PALM COURT	14	45 SW	EL CONQUISTADOR	4808 EL CONQ. PKY	700 43.7	4	DIP	8.0	-10.50	8.70	-5.63	8	PVC	6	PVC	405	M.H.,EAST OF L.S. IN EL.CONQ.PKWY. MEDIAN
WEST GLENN	14	46 SW	SWWWTP	3912 52AV.DR.W.	126 81.0	4	C900	6.0	6.20	24.00	9.42	8	PVC	6	PVC	300	27-A FM, 53AV. S. OF L.S.
THE LOOP	14	47 SW	12-D	9400 17AV.N.W.	210 41.0	4	C900	6.0	-13.69	9.59	-7.80	10	PVC	6	5 PVC	3653	M.H. 83ST. & 17AV.N.W.
	14	18 SW	16-D	5024 32AV.DR.W.	175 20.0	4	C-900	5.5		22.66	15.77	8	PVC	4	PVC	1100	M.H. @ 2815 51ST.W., W.SIDE
	14	19 SW	6-A	8374 N. TAMIAMI TRAIL SARA	35 28.0	2	2 PVC	4.0	0.21	10.21	7.40	6	S PVC	2	PVC	445	7-A FM @ ESTATE ENTRANCE RD., 276' E. OF TRAFFIC CIRCLE
	15		SWWWIP	5420 341H S1. W.	330 70.0	4		8.0	1.80	26.16	12 20	8		6	BVC	120	12-A FM, E. OF LS ON 34S1.W.
	10	50 SW	27-1		120 07.1	4		6.0	7.20	20.50	12.20	10	PVC	4	PVC	30U 736	
BRYN MAWR	16	31 SW	BAYSHORE Y B	3154 BRYN MAWR IS	31 29.0	2	PVC	4.0	1 08	9 19	2 89	8	PVC	4	C900	680	M H BRYNMAWR & ELORIDA BLVD
ELEFT SERVICES	16	52 SW	SWWWTP	4700 66TH_ST_W	25 41.0	2	PVC		1.00	0.10	2.00	0		2	HDPF	234	F.M. @ FLEET SERVCIES ENTRANCE
WASTEWATER LAB	16	53 SW	SWWWTP	4751 66ST.W.	38 65.0	2	PVC	4.0	10.62	20.12	15.28	8	PVC	2	HDPE	201	36" FM EAST OF LAB
PALMA VISTA	20	01 SW	1-M	4100 PALMA SOLA BLVD.	100 33.1	4	C900	6.0	-8.00	3.90	-3.00	8	PVC	4	PVC	30	F.M.,19-D @ SAND LAKES L.S.
ROYALE PALM DRIVE	20	02 SW	SAN REMO	4211 ROYAL PALM DR.	200 23.0	3	3 C900	6.0				8	VCP	4	C900	550	M.H., E. OF SAN REMO L.S. IN MEDIAN
1-M MASTER	20	03 SW	SWWWTP	8720 44TH AVE. W.	3393 183.0	14X20	DIP	8X29	-16.52	5.00	-9.91	30	CIP	24X30	DIP	11526	S.W.R.T.P.
SAN REMO	20	04 SW	1-M	4316 101ST ST. W.	240 22.0	4	HDPE	6.0				10	VCP	4	PVC	300	M.H.,CORTEZ RD.& 101ST.W.,N.SIDE
2-M	20	05 SW	1-M	4326 124ST.CT.W.	275 25.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-13.33	4.50	-8.33	8	CIP	6	CIP	75	#5 F.M.,CORTEZ RD.& 124ST.W.
3-M	20	06 SW	2-M	4255 127TH. ST. W.	180 20.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-14.63	4.50	-9.63	8	CIP	6	CIP	920	M.H.,127ST.W.,N.SIDE OF CORTEZ RD.
PERICO ISLAND	20	07 SW	15-D	407 107th.Ct.W.	165 48.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-13.00	7.50	-8.72	8	DIP	6	PVC	2750	FLAMINGO CAY F.M.,MAN.AV.& 102ST.W.
FLAMINGO CAY	20	08 SW	15-D	10301 MAN.AV.W.	320 54.0	4	HDPE	10.0	-8.90	7.90	-4.90	10	DIP	6	5 PVC	6400	M.H.,PALMA SOLA BLVD.& MAN.AV.,S.E.CORN.
PALMA SOLA CAUSEWAY	20	09 SW	FLAMINGO CAY	9000 MAN.AV.W.	108 12.0	3	PVC	4.0				4	CIP	4	PVC		M.H., 15 FT. EAST OF FLAMINGO CAY L.S.
35-A	21	10 SW	34-A	4307 32ND. ST. W.	175 25.0	4		6.0	4.80	19.00	10.80	10		6	CIP	1850	M.H.,2915 39AV.W.
	21	II SW		4629 26AV.W.	200 25.0	4		8.0	0.20	19.20	6.60	10		6		600	M.H.,4807 26AV.
	21	12 500			100 26.1	4		6.0	8.31	23.50	12.31	8		4	PVC	700	MH, REAR OF 6003 12AV.W., SPANISH PARK
	21	14 SW	19-D	2002 75TH ST W	20 76.0	4		0.0				6		2	PVC	700	MH 2015 75ST W
PALMA SOLA WOODS 2	21	15 SW	PALMA SOLA WDS	11824 76TH ST_W	26 17.5	2	PVC	4.0	3 90	16.50	7 40	8		2	PVC	760	MH, 2010 7301.W. MH, 75ST W & 19AV DR W
SABAL PALMS	21	16 SW	19-D	7225 28TH AVE, W.	200 33.0	4		6.0	5.30	18.27	9.30	8		6	PVC	1430	M.H.,75ST.W.,135'N.OF 27AV.W.
19-D	21	17 SW	1-M	2314 PALMA SOLA BLVD.	207 71.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-22.20	4.50	-12.90	12	CIP	6	CIP	C 900	M.H.,PALMA SOLA BLVD.& 43AV.W.
15-D	21	18 SW	1-D	1001 PALMA SOLA BLVD.	600 60.0	4	HDPE	8.0	-13.00	6.00	-3.90	15	CIP	12X16X20	C 900	11591	M.H.,59ST.W.,25'S.OF MAN.AV.
14-D	21	19 SW	1-D	8091 2ND AVE. W	250 48.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-10.60	10.00	-3.90	12	CIP	12	CIP	18	15-D F.M.,2AV.& 81ST.W.
10-D	22	20 SW	1-D	7800 9AV.N.W.	400 60.0	8	HDPE	10X12	-16.42	6.00	-9.00	16	DIP	8	PVC	3742	15-D F.M.,1AV.N.W.& 75ST.N.W.
12-D	22	21 SW	9-D	7830 DESOTO MEM.DR.	500 40.0	6	HDPE	8.0	-8.60	8.00	-2.69	10	CIP	8x10x12	2 C 900	6450	M.H. 9AV.N.W., E. OF 68ST.CT.N.W.
DESOTO MEMORIAL PARK	22	22 SW	12-D	N.END 75ST.N.W.	100 15.0	4	PVC	6.0				4	PVC	4	PVC		M.H., 79ST.N.W. & DESOTO PKWY.
RIVERVIEW LANDINGS	22	23 SW	12-D	2403 84ST.CR.N.W.	275 15.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-7.44	10.00	-2.74	8	VCP	4	PVC	670	F.M., DESOTO PKWY. & LANDINGS CIR.N.W.
22-D	22	24 SW	8-D	1719 72ND. ST. N. W.	80 30.0	4	HDPE	6.0		11.00	-1.49	6	CIP	6	DIP	1281	12-D FM ACROSS FROM 7111 17TH.AV.N.W.
8-D	22	25 SW	9-D	6700 9AV.N.W.	750 60.0	6	HDPE	8.0	-14.00	5.20	-5.48	15	CIP	8	DIP	3600	12D F.M., 9AV.N.W. & 72 ST.N.W.
9-D	22	26 SW	1-D	6504 5TH. AVE. N.W	1000 45.0	8	B C900	11.5	-4.70	12.20	3.40	18		12	C 900	1640	15-D F.M.,67ST.N.W.& 1AV.N.W.
	22		9-D		105 22.0	4		5 X 10	0.50	10.60	4.50	8		4	PVC	970	M.H., 6AV.N.W. & 62 ST.N.W.
	22		6-D 7-D	5025 PIVEPVIEW BLVD	90 31.4 100 22.9	4		5.0	0.59	19.60	4.09	10		4		1250	
7-D	22	30 SW	9-D	5820 RIVERVIEW BLVD	465 42.0	6		8.0	-11 70	4 50	-3.03	15		4		3725	MH 41962STNW (DEAD END)
4-D	23	31 SW	7-D	5600 RIVERVIEW BLVD	120 20.0	4		6.0	-10.00	4.50	-3.90	10		4	DIP	865	WETWELL 7-D LIET STATION
6-D	23	32 SW	9-D	5116 HARBOR DR. N.W.	128 69.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-7.00	7.00	-2.10	8		4	DIP	2250	7-D F.M. 59ST.N.W.& HARBOR RD.
3-D	23	33 SW	1-D	3939 RIVERVIEW BLVD.	170 55.4	4	HDPE	8.0	-9.20	5.70	-3.20	12	CIP	6	DIP	4412	M.H.,45ST.W.,150'S.OF MAN.AV.
20-D	23	34 SW	3-D	3711 RIVERVIEW BLVD.	134 18.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-6.20	7.10	0.68	8	CIP	4	PVC	380	MH, RIVERVIEW BLVD.& 3AV.N.W.
5-D	23	35 SW	1-D	300 50ST.CT.N.W.	184 46.0	4	HDPE	6.0	-7.00	5.00	0.10	10	CIP	6	DIP	2237	M.H.,51ST.W.,100'S.OF MAN.AV.
2-D	23	36 SW	1-D	3820 9AV.W.	500 45.0	6	8 PVC	8.0	-0.40	22.10	7.20	10	VCP	8	PVC	1736	M.H.,9AV.W. & 44TH.ST.W.
1-D MASTER	23	37 SW	SWWWTP	1806 51ST. ST. W	2609 126.0	12X20	DIP	8X29	-0.25	24.51	6.35	30	CIP	20X24	CIP	12040	1-M F.M.,66ST.& CORTEZ RD.,N.SIDE
33-A	23	38 SW	34-A	3250 26TH ST. W.	400 33.9	4	HDPE	8.0	-0.20	23.30	7.30	10	CIP	8	CIP	1450	M.H.,3633 26ST.W.
34-A	23	39 SW	27-A	4006 24TH ST. W.	963 49.0	6	S.S.	10.0	-0.80	22.50	6.70	16	CIP	16X18	DIP	7071	M.H.,26ST.W.& 53AV.W.
BAYSHORE ON THE LAKE	24	40 SW	27-A	3910 LAKE BAYSHORE DR.	250 64.0	4	HDPE	6.0				8	PVC	6	PVC	55	36-A FM, LAKE BAYSHORE DR., S. OF L.S.
36-A	24	11 SW	27-A	1602 38TH AVE. W	1719 60.0	6		10.0	0.00	19.00	7.60	20		14	CIP	2790	34-A F.M.,24ST.W.,220'S.OF 34A L.S.
	24	12 SW	12-D	2622 881H ST. CT. N. W.	88 31.2	4	HPVC	6.0	-4.00	12.30	3.50	8		4	PVC	1780	M.H.,21AV.N.W.& 85ST.N.W.
SUNSET ESTATES	24	+3 SW	10-D	1410 8351.N.W.	125 16.6	4		6.0	0.32	17.70	4.30	8		4		50	IVI. T., 1408 8351.W.
	24	16 211/	10-D	1001 04AV.W.	124 50.0	4		6.0	0 70	17.00	1 07	10		4X6		1550	ич.п. 4210 / ЭШ.ЭТ.W. М.Н. ОТН А.V. N.W. & 93 ST N.W.
	24	+0 SVV 17 S\//	10-D	8305 4th Δ\/ NI \//	70 22 0	4		0.0	-3.70	7 00	1.97	10		4	PVC	1000	$\Delta 7\Delta I F \Delta PK FM R S S T R S \Delta V N W$
20 0	24	1, 0,0	10-0		10 30.0	4		0.0	-14.20	1.00	-3.10	10		4			

				1									1		
2350 25-A F.M. @ #9C CORTEZ LANE IN EL RANCHO VILLAGE	ЬΛС	<u>лсь е</u>	01	18.50	28.00	14.50	0.8	t b∧C	36.6	152		A-E1	MS	452	ΕΓ ΒΥΝCHΟ ΛΙΓΓΑΘΕ
52500/W.H.,W. OF 830.0F 301 BLVD.	CIP	CIb 4	8	2`38	00.91	61.0	0.9		<del>1</del> 3'0	520	108 44TH. AVE. E	A-61	MS	424	SG-A
	PVC		<u>8</u> 0				0.4	3 6/10	0.72	001		A-92	MS	277	
1086 MH 4501 GKUVELAND ST.	PVC		<u> </u>	08.21	05.81	09.1	0.4	3 B//C	0.11	007	4500 9fb 21.W.	A-92	MS	127	
WH, 4502 GROVELAND ST.	b//C	АСЬ <del>1</del>	8	80.7 80.7	85.71	002	0.9	3 bAC	23.0	550	1201 CORTEZ RD.W.	¥-92	MS	450	CORTEZ PLAZA 2
30 CORTEZ PLAZA M.H. 30' N. OF LS	CIP	ACP 4	01				0.8	≮ b∧C	19.0	021	.W.T2.A141 7044	CORTEZ PLAZA 2	MS	614	CORTEZ PLAZA 1
1250 NA SIDE OF 14ST.W.& ORLANDO AV.	CIP	CIP 10	91	01.1	00.91	-2'9	0.8	4 HDbE	30.9	082	800 ORLANDO AVE.	A-15	MS	418	A-92
843 M.M., @ 26-A LIFT STATION	bΛC	ACb t	8	01.0	02.71	2.00	0.8		1.82	150	4802 PARK CIR.(6ST.W.)	56-A	MS	217	PARK ACRES
W 1812 55T W	E//C	CIP 6	8	12.20		02.11	4 0	3 BAC	51.0	200	W 80 VA64 601	A-95	MS	917	HEATHER HILLS
EIRST M.H. ERST OF 4ST.& 53AV.E.	ΒΛC	ACE 4	9				0.9	3 BAC	29.0	120	-J.VA13 004	A-E1	MS	917	CASA LOMA
1000 M.M. 2015 & 9 ST.E.	PVC	9			0.0101	0.017	4.0	3 PVC	32.2	911	-12 A.82 TOL. 3.VA£3 808	A-E1	MS	414	PALM LAKES
W.T2E & W.VAZZH.M 009	CIP	CID 9	12	3.60	06.91	-5.00	0.9	4 HDEE	9.84	982	W.VAga 101	A-E1	MS	413	22-A
W.VAE2 & TZ7.HM	ΡVC	ACP 4	21				4.0	3 PVC	30.2	102	601-A CRETE CT.	A-62	MS	415	
1215 M.H. 5149 14ST.W.	CIP	CIP 6	01	09.0	09.91	-4`60	0.8	9 HDFE	7.62	520	1203 51ST. AVE. DR. W.	A-62	MS	114	AA-62
1628 N.W.VAZB, W.M.	CIP	PVC 6	01	2.20	08.81	-4.80	0.8	6 HDFE	43.0	320	1312 53 AVE.W.	∀-62	MS	410	23-A
3450 MH.@ E.ENTRANCE OF SWAN LAKE MHP & 57AV.	ЬΛС	АСЬ 9	21				0.8	3 PVC	20.0	200	.W.AG.VA88 008	A-61	MS	406	PESCARA LAKES
.W.VA08 &.W.T245, M.H.A.S1 [51621	DIP	DIP 24	98	72.1	21.00	00.6-	8X29	12 DIP	143.0	3319	112 63AV.E.	ATWWWS	MS	804	A3TER MA-E1
1500 M.H., N.END OF TODD & LEISURE, S.W.CORNER	PVC	ΛСЬ 9	8				0.8	4 PVC	26.0	120	.W.T241 8178	FLAMINGO BLVD.	MS	207	RED LOBSTER
.W.VA03 603, H.M 3711	CIP	CIP 6	8	3.30	02.71	-5.80	0.9	4 HDbE	38.0	520	.W.VA03 316	A-81	MS	907	A-81
MH, REAR OF 6204 14ST.W.	СІЬ	DIb 🕈	01	29.6	14.01	78.8	0'9	4 HDbE	9.62	184	1395 BAYSHORE GARDENS F	ватунове т.в.	MS	402	MINDWILL VILLAGE
2500 M.H.12S1.W.,OOF 65V.W.	DIP	ЬЛС 9	8	4.10	00.81	-5.40	0.8	4 PVC	45.0	96 L	.W.VA£3 318	A-91	MS	404	۸-۲۲
165 M.H., 6415 1ST.W.	PVC	АСЬ 3	8	13.60	07.82	09.01	4.0	3 PVC	0.81	122	105 MOLOKAI DR.	A-31	MS	403	APWAIIAN VILLAGE
738 M.H., 511 68V.DR.W.	CIP	CIP 6	01	29.6-	29.9	78.81-	0.8	4 HDbE	32.0	520	6817 3RD ST. CT. W	A-91	MS	405	A-21
M, 6516 12ST.W.	ЬΛС	ΛСЬ 9	9				0.8	4 PVC	2.7.5	255	6552 9TH ST. W.	A-91	MS	401	<b>ΒΙΝΕΜΟΟ</b> ΔΙΓΓΥΘΕ
400 M.H.@ 3022 12VAS1 C.	ЬΛС	PVC 2	8	-4.30	6.43	-6'30	4.0	5 PVC	33.8	32	3114 12AV.E.	TROPICAL SHORES	MS	366	TROPICAL SHORES 2
6966 M.H., N. SIDE OF S-6	PVC	PVC 6	8	<u> 55.8-</u>	05.9	-13.30	0.8	4 C000	d.84	520	3207 11VA1E.	9-S	MS	363	TROPICAL SHORES 1
1560 M.H.@ 2923 34AV.DR.E.	ЬΛС	PVC 4	01	2.40	16.91	09 [.] 1-	0.9	4 HDbE	30.6	152	3107 37TH TER. E.	STONE CREEK	MS	198	SUGAR RIDGE
1100 M.H., 9ST.E. & 44 AV.E.	ΡVC	PVC 4	01	92.6	30.50	00 [.] Z	0.9	¢ C000	0.94	32	1403 44th.AV.E.	A-Et	MS	343	
2750 M.H., 30VA05, .H.M 0272	ΡVC	PVC 6	01	92.9-	10.00	09.6-	0.8	6 HDFE	0.75	58	2800 27th.ST.E.	1 TESOMAS	MS	342	9-5
1370 M.H., 308V.E. & 23ST.CT.E.	bΛC	bAC 8	91	90.4-	10.01	02.7-	0.8	8 HDFE	0.04	420	2206 26th AV.E.	1 TESOMAS	MS	341	9-S
	D/G		01	09.0	02.91	09.2-	0.8	0060 t	0.85	001		9-5	MS	340	
	D/C	9 OV4	01	92.8	06.87	06.6	0.8		0.68	097	10.12.47.47.4	A-Er 7.6	MS	336	£-S
	2/4	9 204	91.	C ^{4.8}	07.25	07.6	0.8	0062 987	0.56	745	2312 80.51.E.	A-EF 4.61	M0 MS	338	7-9
	D/4		0 F	08.4	08.11	05.0	0.8	0000 JAd Z	0.02	C/		AA-25 4.91	M0 M2	9000	0 UH-25
ZEG MH 2000 260 WI ED BK	0060		71	00.6-	00.02	77.0-	0.0		0.66	007	. W. VASS EUC	¥-79	////S	+000	AA-20 01.00
	0000		0	CO.01	00.46	00.21	0.0		0.04	00C	20211111 21. E.	A-CI 0.05	///.3 ///S	000	33 VV
	2/4		o 0	99 01 67.22	17.16	17.0	0.0		0.24	901	2024 3/11 AVE. E.	A-CI 0.51	///3	770	
200 SMATT, 3204 2101, C.			0	70.0	70.01	12 0	0.0	0000	0.00	007	1337 374 MVE CO02		///3	070	
			0	50 9 50 9	0.31	90.0	0.0		7.07	306	380E 311/1 DB E	1 T320MA2	///3	000	
2000 M H 370/ DD E 8 0 ST E	J//d	8 J/\d	01	60:01	30.11	100.11	0.0		0.02	133	3600 3ST E		///5	310	
	5//d	9 3//3		03.31	33 20	0911	09	0060 7	0.52	300	12 H181 H291	1 TESOMAS	MS	318	
	5//d		81	12.28	33 00	85 2	0.0	8 HDBE	0.03	0911	1801 3797 E	4-51	///S	308	SAMOSET 1
	5//d		01		_		09		50.0	300	303 301 BI ND M	₹7-£	///S	302	DESOTO MALL
	E//C	5/C T	8		0.011	0.110	0.8	4 HDBE	30.0	310	W UV IN FOR & TRA XONIA	A-75	MS	307	SALIN OTO 3A
1375 M.H., 14ST.W., 132'S.OF C/L 35AV., W.SIDE	CIP	CIB 10	12	02'0-	14.90	02'9-	0.01	4 HDEE	30.7	525	3011 14ST W.	A-85	MS	303	A-SE
1805 M.H.14ST.W.& 38AV.WN.CORNER	CIP	CIP 8	01	08.1	14.00	02'9-	0.8	4 HDEE	22.0	480	.W.VA6£ 008	A-9£	MS	302	¥-28
M.H., S.W. CORNER 1207 40 AV.W.	ΡVC	АСЬ 9	8				0.8	3 BAC	0.91	520	4101 14TH ST. W.	A-9£	MS	301	BOOKS-A-MILLION
1995 N.H., 21ST.E. & LIMBUS AVE.	C900	PVC 4	8	12.10	28.50	09 ⁻ 2	0.9	4 PVC	63.3	205	7240 22 ST.E.	A-41	MS	862	CENTRE PARK INDUSTRIAL C
KOBINSON PRESERVE 1 F.M.	HDbE	PVC 2	4				0.4	2 PVC				THE LOOP	MS	569	ROBINSON PRESERVE 3
ROBINSON PRESERVE 1 F.M.	HDPE	PVC 2	4				4.0	2 PVC				THE LOOP	MS	268	ROBINSON PRESERVE 2
18T. M.H. EAST OF 995T.U.W. O. W.N. T210	НДРЕ	PVC 2	4				4.0	2 PVC				THE LOOP	MS	292	ROBINSON PRESERVE 1
770 F.M. @ 9TH.AVE.N.N. & 87TH.ST.CT.N.W.	HDPE	PVC 2	8	4.00	00.11	08.0-	4.0	5 PVC	58.0	30	608 87TH.ST.CT.N.W.	10-D	MS	592	.W.N.TO.TZ.HT78
550 F.M. @ ENTRANCE TO SUB-DIVISION	ЬΛС	PVC 2	8	26.4	18.50	91.1	0.9	5 b∧C	5.78	30	.W.N.JS.A106 8051	12-D	MS	764	THE NURSERY
733 RIVER HARBOR WEST FM @ 21AVE. & 88TH ST. CT. N. W.	C900	PVC 4	8	3.44	21.91	-5.45	0.9	4 PVC	55.0	811	.W.N.VA.T212 8009	12-D	MS	563	WISTERIA PARK
70 THE LOOP F.M., N. OF L.S.	C900	PVC 2	8	8.40	20.42	71.4	9.0	2 PVC	9.16	50	.W.N.J.VA.ATT 7088	12-D	MS	262	COLONIAL WOODS
2300 PARK & REC. MAINTENANCE BLDG.	ЬΛС	3						5 b∧C			6415 53AV. W.	SWWWTP	MS	192	MANATEE COUNTY GOLF CO
2600 12-A FM, @ 53rd.YA. & .VA.bit @ ,MA A-S1	ЬΛС	PVC 12	15	1.42	18.00	-2.35	0.01	8 PVC	7.97	90Z	.W.TS.A174 1688	97WWWS	MS	560	ВОГГЕТТІЕŘІ РАЯК
327 M.H., BEHIND 208 50ST.N.W., E.SIDE	РОЦҮ	ЛСЬ 5	8		12.60	٥.61	4.0	2 PVC	29.0	8	4902 2ND AVE. DR. N.W.	2-D	MS	5692	50-D
.W.N.AVAT & .W.N.T217.H.M	ЬΛС	PVC 2	9				4.0	2 PVC	1.11	8	635 71st.ST.N.W.	0-D	MS	228	52-D
.W.T267 8004 @.H.M	Ь∧С	PVC 2	9				4.0	2 PVC	22.0	32	4000 80ST.W.	M-r	MS	267	РАLMA SOLA BALL PARK
825 M.H., FIDDLER'S GREEN, 9110 16AV.CIR.N.W.	Ь∧С	PVC 4	8	-2.92	8.50	09.8-	0.9	4 C000	29.0	152	1202 92nd.T2.bn26 2021	FIDDLER'S GREEN	MS	521	АМТНОВИ РАКК
1271 M.H.@ 1711 91ST.U.W., MANGO PARK	Ь∧С	ЬЛС 3	8	84.0	09.11	-4.20	0.4	SS Z	0.87	97I	1312 91st.CT.N.W.	THE LOOP	MS	520	FIDDLER'S GREEN
M.H., 115ST.W. & CORTEZ RD., N.W. CORNER	bΛC	DIb e	21	-12.98	8.30	-18.42	0.8	9 HDbE	23.0	500	.W.VA.H104 03711	M-r	MS	549	M-4
.W.T246 & .VA69 ,MA A-72	ЬΛС	CIP 12	81	3.80	20.50	-6.22	10X12	10 HDEE	0.06	1000	4602 34ST.W.	SWWWTP	MS	548	AA-05
LENGTH FORCE MAIN TERMINATION LOCATION	1 39YT NIAM	ATYPE MAIN SIZE	JZIS	ELEV.	TOP ELEV.	ELEV.	JZIS	SIZE TYPE	НДТ	СРМ	STREET ADDRESS	NOITATS	чтим	# UTS	LIFT STATION NAME/NO.
NIAM	FORCE	ІИЕ. LINE FORCE	INF. LINE	NF. LINE	11	BOTTOM	MET WELL	PIPE PIPE				DOWNSTREAM LIFT			
FORCE														1	
		1		1	1			1							

														FORCE	
		DOWNSTREAM LIFT			PIPE PIPE	WET WELL	. BOTTOM		INF. LINE IN	F. LINE	INF. LINE	FORCE	FORCE	MAIN	
LIFT STATION NAME/NO.	RTU # WWTF	STATION	STREET ADDRESS	GPM TE	H SIZE TYP	SIZE	ELEV. TOP	PELEV.	ELEV.	SIZE	TYPE	MAIN SIZE	MAIN TYPE	LENGTH	FORCE MAIN TERMINATION LOCATION
24-A	426 SW	13-A	1600 51AV.E.	525 43	.0 4 HDP	6.0	10.00	26.50	16.20	10	CIP	6 C	IP	2300	M.H.,1116 51AV.E.
42-A	431 SW	20-A	1560 60 AV.DR.E.	200 26	.0 4 HDP	6.0	-6.70	13.80	-1.20	10	CIP	6 C	IP	756	M.H., 6024 15ST.E., FRONT OF #6
21-A	432 SW	13-A	5503 12ST.E.	550 41	.0 6 HDP	10.0	-3.70	20.50	1.30	16	DIP	8 C	IP	2810	M.H.,57AV.E.& 8ST.CT.E.
20-A	433 SW	13-A	5932 12TH ST. E.	651 33	.0 6 HDP	10.0	-6.30	18.75	-0.30	16	DIP	8 C	IP	1651	M.H.,6204 12ST.E.
14-A	434 SW	13-A	902 WHITFIELD AVE.	1400 47	.0 10 HDP	12.0	-11.50	15.00	-5.50	18	DIP	12 D	IP	4044	M.H.,9ST.E.& 63AV.E.
WOODS OF WHITFIELD	435 SW	14-A	7325 9ST.E.	175 25	.0 4 PVC	4.0						4 P	VC		MH, 850 SOUTHERN PINE LANE
9-A	436 SW	14-A	1160 ROME AV.	225 33	.0 4 HDP	6.0	4.10	24.00	9.30	12	CIP	6 C	IP	3190	M.H., 7230 15ST.E.
MACARTHUR & MEADOWBRO	437 SW	2-A	506 MACARTHUR	180 25	.0 4 PVC	6.0				12	CIP	4 P	VC	150	M.H. 7222 MEADOWBROOK DR.
5-A	438 SW	6-A	420 SUWANEE AVE.	120 19	.0 4 HDP	6.0	-15.75	6.50	-9.14	8	CIP	4 C	IP	375	M.H.,W.OF US41 ON SUWANNEE AV.
2-A	439 SW	12-A	350 MAGELLAN DR.	1433 47	.0 6 HDP	12.0	-15.90	11.50	-8.90	20	CIP	12 C	IP	2037	1-A F.M.,S.E.CORNER OF U.S.41 & MEGELLAN
16-A	440 SW	12-A	1009 69AV.W.	345 26	.0 4 HDP	8.0	-11.25	11.50	-3.75	18	CIP	10 C	IP	725	1-A F.M.,69AV.& U.S.41
WHITFIELD INDUSTRIAL PAR	457 SW	14-A	8519 WHIT.IND.PK.LP.	110 24	.8 4 CIP	6.0						4 C	IP	1200	M.H. WEST OF R.R.TRACK @ 1711A 67AV.E.
WHITFIELD INDUSTRIAL PAR	458 SW	WHITFIELD IND.PAR	8600 WHIT.IND.PK.LP.	66 33	.0 4 HDP	6.0	12.24	30.50	16.24	8	VCP	4 P	VC		MH, 2120 WHITFIELD PARK LOOP
CORTEZ PLAZA 2A	460 SW	CORTEZ PLAZA 2	1131 CORTEZ RD.W.	75 16	.0 4 HDP	5.0	7.07	17.50	11.07	8	DIP	4 P	VC	900	M.H.@ CORTEZ PLAZA #2 L.S.
AIRPORT INDUSTRIAL PARK	469 SW	9-A	1165 TALLEVAST RD.	150 25	.0 4 DIP	8.0	-3.16	21.50	0.84	12	PVC	6 P	VC	1900	M.H. ACROSS FROM 7667 15ST.E.
MEDOR SUB-DIVISION	471 SW	13-A	6100 8ST.E.	115 8	.0 4 DIP	6.0	6.10	18.50	9.60	8	PVC	4 P	VC	85	M.H., 85' W.OF L.S., E.END OF 59AV.E., E. OF 5 ST.E.
BRADENTON/SARASOTA AIR	480 SW	7-A	GEN.SPATZ & GEN.KENNY A	130 28	.2 4 HDP	6.0	8.00	24.00	11.76	8	DIP	4 D	IP		M.H. IN GRASS, S.END ROW A-9, PARKING AREA
18-AA	484 SW	12-A	6322 14th.ST.W.	175 21	.0 4 HDP	8.0	0.60	18.30	7.49	8	DIP	4 P	VC	400	M.H., 1305 HARVARD AVE.
18-AB	485 SW	18-AA	6326 14th.ST.W.	40 60	.0 2 PVC	6.0	7.80	21.50	13.30	8	DIP	2 P	VC	350	M.H. @ 37 BUNION LANE WILHELM'S M.H.P.
18-AC	486 SW	12-A	6510 14th.ST.W.	10 12	.0 2 PVC	6.0	11.00	21.00	15.02	8	DIP	2 P	VC	45	M.H. 75' W. OF L.S., REAR OF 1604 TENNESSEE ST. (TRAILER ESTATES)
9-AA	488 SW	9-A	1703 W. UNIVERSITY PKWY	260 40	.0 8 DIP	8.0	-7.50	17.00	-0.42	10	DIP	8 P	VC	8200	M.H., TELLEVAST RD. & 15ST.E., SE CORNER
AIRPORT COMMERCIAL PARI	491 SW	9-A	8231 LINDBERG CT.	230 55	.0 4 PVC	6.0	1.00	25.50	10.00	8	PVC	6 C	-900	825	9-AA FM @ 15ST.E. & LINDBERG CT.

**APPENDIX D – COLLECTION SYSTEM FLOW CHART** 



# **APPENDIX E – MODEL FLEX TABLES**

#### Pump Flex Table

ID	Label	Pump Definition	Elevation (On) (ft)	Elevation (Off) (ft)	Elevation (Invert) (ft)	Elevation (Ground) (ft)	Notes	Status (Initial)
5863	PMP-054-1	054_HYDROMATIC_S4M1000_8.25"_10hp	-12.5	-14.5	-14.5	1.15		On
5862	PMP-054-2	054_HYDROMATIC_S4M1000_8.25"_10hp	-11.5	-14.5	-14.5	1.15		Off
5954	PMP-055-1	055_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_5.54-in_1bhp	-6.8	-11.49	-14.5	3.44		On
5955	PMP-055-2	055_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_5.54-in_1bhp	-5.8	-11.49	-14.5	3.44		Off
5854	PMP-056-1	056_HYDROMATIC_S4N750_7.35"_7.5hp	-9.5	-11.5	-11.8	3.78		On
5856	PMP-056-2	056_HYDROMATIC_S4N750_7.35"_7.5hp	-8.5	-11.5	-11.8	3.78		Off
5848	PMP-057-1	057_HYDROMATIC_S4M1000_8.25"_10hp	-16.5	-18	-18	2.76		On
5850	PMP-057-2	057_HYDROMATIC_S4M1000_8.25"_10hp	-15.5	-18	-18	2.76		Off
6619	PMP-058-1	058_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6.29-in_2bhp	-13	-14.5	-14.5	1.92		On
6620	PMP-058-2	058_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6.29-in_2bhp	-12	-14.5	-14.5	1.92		Off
8364	PMP-059-1	059_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	-9.5	-12	-12	1.82		On
8365	PMP-059-2	059_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	-8.5	-12	-12	1.82		Off
6430	PMP-060-1	060_HYDROMATIC_SM41750_7.88"_10hp	-11.5	-13.5	-13.5	1.85		On
6428	PMP-060-2	060_HYDROMATIC_SM41750_7.88"_10hp	-10.5	-13.5	-13.5	1.85		Off
6433	PMP-061-1	061_HOMA_Am434-160/4.3Nin_3bhp	-9.6	-11.6	-11.6	2.3		On
6434	PMP-061-2	061_HOMA_Am434-160/4.3Nin_3bhp	-8.6	-11.6	-11.6	2.3		Off
8358	PMP-062-1	062_HOMA_AMX646-310_12 5/16"_15hp	-14	-17.99	-17.99	2.39		On
8357	PMP-062-2	062_HOMA_AMX646-310_12 5/16"_15hp	-13	-17.99	-17.99	2.39		Off
6365	PMP-063-1	063_HOMA_AM434-170_nn"_5.5hp	-14	-14.25	-14.25	1.42		On
6364	PMP-063-2	063_HOMA_AM434-170_nn"_5.5hp	-13	-14.25	-14.25	1.42		On
8350	PMP-064-1	064_HOMA_AMX646-370_14 9/16"_21hp	-9.133	-15.26	-15.26	2.04		On
8351	PMP-064-2	064_HOMA_AMX646-370_14 9/16"_21hp	-8.133	-15.26	-15.26	2.04		Off
8375	PMP-065-1	065_HOMA_AMX434-218_8 9/16"_10hp	-7.8	-16.29	-16.29	3.09		On
8371	PMP-065-2	065_HOMA_AMX434-218_8 9/16"_10hp	-6.8	-16.29	-16.29	3.09		Off
8470	PMP-066-1	066_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	-8	-10.5	-10.5	1.85		On
8471	PMP-066-2	066_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	-7.5	-10.5	-10.5	1.85		Off
8648	PMP-067-1	067_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	-14.5	-16.1	-16.1	2.1		On
8649	PMP-067-2	067_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	-13.5	-16.1	-16.1	2.1		Off
8379	PMP-068-1	068_HOMA_AMX434-206_8 1/8"_7hp	-14	-15.63	-15.63	1.91		On
8376	PMP-068-2	068_HOMA_AMX434-206_8 1/8"_7hp	-13	-15.63	-15.63	1.91		Off
5844	PMP-071-1	071_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM_Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	-12.35	-12.75	-14.75	5.56	MLS	On

5843	PMP-071-2	071_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM_Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	-11.85	-12.75	-14.75	5.56	MLS	Off
22601	PMP-071-3	071_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM_Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	-11.35	-12.75	-14.75	5.56	MLS	Off
6670	PMP-074-1	074_HYDROMATIC_S4N_5.5"_2hp	-2.5	-3.5	-11.8	1.9		On
6669	PMP-074-2	074_HYDROMATIC_S4N_5.5"_2hp	-1.5	-3.5	-11.8	1.9		On
7991	PMP-101-1	101_HOMA_AMX644-350_13 11/16"_56hp	-8.167	-9.25	-9.5	3.79		On
7990	PMP-101-2	101_HOMA_AMX644-350_13 11/16"_56hp	-7.167	-9.25	-9.5	3.79		Off
2059	PMP-102-1	102_BARNES_4SE282-4L_5"_2hp	0.783	-0.55	-8.5	3.64		On
2058	PMP-102-2	102_BARNES_4SE282-4L_5"_2hp	1.783	-0.55	-8.5	3.64		Off
1516	PMP-103-1	103_HOMA_AMX434-142_5 9/16"_2.5hp	6.083	4	2	14.28		On
1517	PMP-103-2	103_HOMA_AMX434-142_5 9/16"_2.5hp	7.083	4	2	14.28		Off
8003	PMP-104-1	104_HOMA_AMX644-330_13 3/16"_53hp	-7.35	-9.1	-13.6	9.35		On
8004	PMP-104-2	104_HOMA_AMX644-330_13 3/16"_53hp	-6.35	-9.1	-13.6	9.35		On
1526	PMP-105-1	105_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	0.67	-3.9	-3.9	5.47		On
1527	PMP-105-2	105_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	1.67	-3.9	-3.9	5.47		Off
7984	PMP-106-1	106_FLYGT_C-3126in_7.5hp	11.68	9.77	9.39	18.34		On
7985	PMP-106-2	106_FLYGT_C-3126in_7.5hp	12.68	9.77	9.39	18.34		Off
7929	PMP-107-1	107#1_GODWIN_CD103M_10 7/16"_41hp	6.177	4.343	4.11	21.12		On
7928	PMP-107-2	107#2_HOMA_AMX434-250_9 13/16"_13hp	7.177	4.343	4.11	21.12		Off
7924	PMP-108-1	108_HYDROMATIC_S4HRC750_4.75"_7.5hp	8.45	7.283	7.283	23.5		On
7923	PMP-108-2	108_HYDROMATIC_S4HRC750_4.75"_7.5hp	9.45	7.283	7.283	23.5		Off
7761	PMP-109-1	109_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	4.033	2.867	1.25	15.64		On
7757	PMP-109-2	109_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	5.033	2.867	1.25	15.64		Off
7939	PMP-110-1	110_HYDROMATIC_H4H/H4HX_9-in_10hp	9.75	9	7	23.62		On
7942	PMP-110-2	110_HYDROMATIC_H4H/H4HX_9-in_10hp	10.75	9	7	23.62		Off
4451	PMP-111-1	111_BARNES_4SE28-4L_5.75"_3hp	9.953	8.87	8.87	18.93		On
4454	PMP-111-2	111_BARNES_4SE28-4L_5.75"_3hp	10.953	8.87	8.87	18.93		Off
7949	PMP-112-1	112_HYDROMATIC_S4N75_6.25"_1hp	8.673	7.173	7.173	20.02		On
7948	PMP-112-2	112_HYDROMATIC_S4N75_6.25"_1hp	9.673	7.173	7.173	20.02		Off
5206	PMP-113-1	113_HOMA_AMX434-142_5 9/16"_2.5hp	9.393	7.643	7.643	14.24		On
5205	PMP-113-2	113_HOMA_AMX434-142_5 9/16"_2.5hp	10.393	7.643	7.643	14.24		Off
5221	PMP-114-1	114_HOMA_AMX434-250_9 13/16"_13hp	7.39	5.44	5.44	19.02		On
5216	PMP-114-2	114_HOMA_AMX434-250_9 13/16"_13hp	8.39	5.44	5.44	19.02		Off
5133	PMP-115-1	115_HYDROMATIC_S4P1750_7.93"_nnhp	12.033	10.36	10.36	24.2		On
5134	PMP-115-2	115_HYDROMATIC_S4P1750_7.93"_nnhp	13.033	10.36	10.36	24.2		Off
7902	PMP-116-1	116_HYDROMATIC_S4M/S4MX_8.5-in_10hp	4.2	-2.895	-2.8	18.04		On
7899	PMP-116-2	116_HYDROMATIC_S4M/S4MX_8.5-in_10hp	5.2	-2.895	-2.8	18.04		On

7868	PMP-117-1	117_HYDROMATIC_S4N1750_7.20"_7.5hp	7	5.5	3	14.24	On
7870	PMP-117-2	117_HYDROMATIC_S4N1750_7.20"_7.5hp	8	5.5	3	14.24	On
7874	PMP-118-1	118_HOMA_AV432-178_7"_13hp	7.483	6.9	0	14.02	On
7873	PMP-118-2	118_HOMA_AV432-178_7"_13hp	8.483	6.9	0	14.02	On
7853	PMP-119-1	119_HOMA_AMX444-260_10 3/8"_20hp	2.667	0.667	-1.83	19.77	On
7852	PMP-119-2	119_HOMA_AMX444-260_10 3/8"_20hp	3.667	0.667	-1.83	19.77	Off
5672	PMP-120-1	120_FLYGTin_hp	-7.5	-9	-9	8.06	On
5671	PMP-120-2	120_FLYGTin_hp	-6.5	-9	-9	8.06	Off
7891	PMP-122-1	122_Alternative_BARNES_6.5-in_3.7bhp	-18.49	-20.99	-20.99	0.9	On
7894	PMP-122-2	122_Alternative_BARNES_6.5-in_3.7bhp	-17.49	-20.99	-20.99	0.9	Off
5728	PMP-123-1	123_HYDROMATIC_5.75-in_2bhp	-7	-8	-8.79	0.56	On
5725	PMP-123-2	123_HYDROMATIC_5.75-in_2bhp	-6	-8	-8.79	0.56	Off
5791	PMP-124-1	124_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	-13.5	-15.5	-15.6	2.35	On
5789	PMP-124-2	124_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	-12.5	-15.5	-15.6	2.35	Off
4467	PMP-125-1	125_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_3.5hp	4.5	3.167	1.3	17.59	On
4466	PMP-125-2	125_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_3.5hp	5.5	3.167	1.3	17.59	Off
3973	PMP-126-1	126_HYDROMATIC_S6A3000_9.25"_30hp	-1	-2.5	-4.4	20.23	On
3976	PMP-126-2	126_HYDROMATIC_S6A3000_9.25"_30hp	0	-2.5	-4.4	20.23	On
5045	PMP-127-1	127_HOMA_AM434-170/5.5Nin_4bhp	6.66	5.743	3	14.41	On
5046	PMP-127-2	127_HOMA_AM434-170/5.5Nin_4bhp	7.66	5.743	3	14.41	Off
4508	PMP-128-1	128_BARNES_4.75-in_0.5hp	13.25	11.167	3	20.07	On
4506	PMP-128-2	128_BARNES_4.75-in_0.5hp	14.25	11.167	3	20.07	Off
4512	PMP-129-1	129_PEC_FA125-628in_10bhp	0.033	-3.217	-3.217	17.6	On
4515	PMP-129-2	129_PEC_FA125-628in_10bhp	1.033	-3.217	-3.217	17.6	Off
4639	PMP-130-1	130_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	1.7	0.533	0.533	17.91	On
4637	PMP-130-2	130_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	2.7	0.533	0.533	17.91	Off
2364	PMP-132-1	132#1_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	6	3.75	3.65	14.56	On
2365	PMP-132-2	132#2_EBARA_100DLF63.7_172mm_5hp	7	3.75	3.65	14.56	On
1745	PMP-133-1	133_HYDROMATIC_S4N_5.75"_2.88hp	5.15	3.65	-1	10.43	On
1748	PMP-133-2	133_HYDROMATIC_S4N_5.75"_2.88hp	6.15	3.65	-1	10.43	Off
22747	PMP-134-1	134_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	-5.7	-7.7	-8	3.08	On
22756	PMP-134-2	134_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	-4.7	-7.7	-8	3.08	Off
2605	PMP-135-1	135_HOMA_AMX646-330_13"_15hp	-13.333	-15.917	-17.8	8.37	On
2602	PMP-135-2	135_HOMA_AMX646-330_13"_15hp	-12.333	-15.917	-17.8	8.37	On
2698	PMP-136-1	136_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	-8.667	-11.167	-11.167	1.94	On
2699	PMP-136-2	136_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	-7.667	-11.167	-11.167	1.94	Off

2725	PMP-137-1	137_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	-4.167	-6	-6	9.08		On
2723	PMP-137-2	137_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	-3.167	-6	-6	9.08		On
7959	PMP-138-1	138_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	4	3.44	-1.66	18.79	MLS	On
7960	PMP-138-2	138_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	4.02	3.44	-1.66	18.79	MLS	On
22614	PMP-138-3	138_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	4.04	3.44	-1.66	18.79	MLS	Off
7997	PMP-139-1	139_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	-12.45	-12.75	-14.95	3.07	MLS	On
7996	PMP-139-2	139_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	-11.45	-12.75	-14.95	3.07	MLS	On
22620	PMP-139-3	139_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	-10.45	-12.75	-14.95	3.07	MLS	On
4457	PMP-140-1	140_BARNES_4SE28-4L_5.25"_3hp	12.25	11	9.2	23.97		On
4459	PMP-140-2	140_BARNES_4SE28-4L_5.25"_3hp	13.25	11	9.2	23.97		Off
8013	PMP-141-1	141_HYDROMATIC_S4HRC/S4HVX_5.5-in_7.5bhp	-1.373	-2.79	-4.3	9.31		On
8010	PMP-141-2	141_HYDROMATIC_S4HRC/S4HVX_5.5-in_7.5bhp	-0.373	-2.79	-4.3	9.31		Off
5211	PMP-142-1	142_BARNES_4SE28-4L_6"_3hp	12.633	11.133	10.6	19.14		On
5210	PMP-142-2	142_BARNES_4SE28-4L_6"_3hp	13.633	11.133	10.6	19.14		Off
4472	PMP-143-1	143_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	6.84	4.34	3.93	14.64		On
4474	PMP-143-2	143_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	7.84	4.34	3.93	14.64		Off
1492	PMP-145-1	145_HYDROMATIC_S4M1750_8.75"_15hp	-7.633	-8.8	-9.5	3.74		On
1490	PMP-145-2	145_HYDROMATIC_S4M1750_8.75"_15hp	-6.633	-8.8	-9.5	3.74		On
7970	PMP-146-1	146_HOMA_AMX434-250_9 13/16"_13hp	8.083	6.583	6.583	23.32		On
7972	PMP-146-2	146_HOMA_AMX434-250_9 13/16"_13hp	9.083	6.583	6.583	23.32		Off
8848	PMP-147-1	147_HYDROMATIC_S4M1750_7.56"_7.5hp	-9.41	-11.41	-12.69	7.06		On
8849	PMP-147-2	147_HYDROMATIC_S4M1750_7.56"_7.5hp	-8.41	-11.41	-12.69	7.06		Off
6791	PMP-148-1	148_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_7.65-in_2bhp	14.16	12.66	11	20.53		On
6790	PMP-148-2	148_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_7.65-in_2bhp	15.16	12.66	11	20.53		Off
2731	PMP-149-1	149_BARNES_VERS-4-14_3.625-in_0.5hp	1.96	0.627	0.627	9.5		On
2729	PMP-149-2	149_BARNES_VERS-4-14_3.625-in_0.5hp	2.96	0.627	0.627	9.5		Off
7934	PMP-150-1	150_ABS_AFP1049_8.82"_10hp	4.843	2.51	2.51	24.01		On
7935	PMP-150-2	150_ABS_AFP1049_8.82"_10hp	5.843	2.51	2.51	24.01		Off
7916	PMP-159-1	159_HYDROMATIC_S4P/S4PX_9.25-in_10bhp	11.167	10.167	8.2	23.93		On
7918	PMP-159-2	159_HYDROMATIC_S4P/S4PX_9.25-in_10bhp	12.167	10.167	8.2	23.93		On
4478	PMP-160-1	160_HYDROMATIC_SP50in_bhp	9.27	7.3	7.3	16.51		On
4463	PMP-160-2	160_HYDROMATIC_SP50in_bhp	10.27	7.3	7.3	16.51		Off
22780	PMP-161-1	161_EBARA_5.63-in_2hp	3.107	1.773	1.773	7.35		On
22784	PMP-161-2	161_EBARA_5.63-in_2hp	4.107	1.773	1.773	7.35		On
5534	PMP-201-1	201_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6.5-in_3bhp	-5.1	-6.1	-7.1	3.19		On
5535	PMP-201-2	201_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6.5-in_3bhp	-4.1	-6.1	-7.1	3.19		Off

5721	PMP-202-1	202_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6-in_2bhp	-15.67	-17.67	-17.67	0.33		On
5722	PMP-202-2	202_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6-in_2bhp	-14.67	-17.67	-17.67	0.33		On
7887	PMP-203-1	203_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	-12.02	-12.42	-11.75	3.88	MLS	On
7886	PMP-203-2	203_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	-11.52	-12.42	-11.75	3.88	MLS	On
22593	PMP-203-3	203_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	-11.02	-12.42	-11.75	3.88	MLS	On
5713	PMP-204-1	204_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	-8.8	-10.3	-10.3	0.75		On
5714	PMP-204-2	204_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	-7.8	-10.3	-10.3	0.75		Off
5838	PMP-205-1	205_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	-11.5	-12.5	-12.5	3.69		On
5837	PMP-205-2	205_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	-10.5	-12.5	-12.5	3.69		Off
5880	PMP-206-1	206_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	-13	-15	-15	2.16		On
5881	PMP-206-2	206_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	-12	-15	-15	2.16		Off
8955	PMP-207-1	207_HYDROMATIC_S4M/S4MX_7.56-in_7.5bhp	-9.5	-11	-12	6.32		On
8956	PMP-207-2	207_HYDROMATIC_S4M/S4MX_7.56-in_7.5bhp	-8.5	-11	-12	6.32		Off
8944	PMP-208-1	208_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_8.25-in_7.5bhp	-6.1	-7.1	-7.9	3.19		On
8946	PMP-208-2	208_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_8.25-in_7.5bhp	-5.1	-7.1	-7.9	3.19		Off
8965	PMP-209-1	209_BARNES_SE51-SPin_0.5hp	0	-1	-7	4.8		On
8960	PMP-209-2	209_BARNES_SE51-SPin_0.5hp	1	-1	-7	4.8		Off
4091	PMP-210-1	210_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6-in_2bhp	6.75	6	5.8	20.11		On
4089	PMP-210-2	210_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6-in_2bhp	7.75	6	5.8	20.11		On
6786	PMP-211-1	211_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	4.2	2.2	1.2	18.49		On
6785	PMP-211-2	211_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	5.2	2.2	1.2	18.49		Off
6994	PMP-212-1	212_FLYGT_CP 3085 MT 3in_3hp	13.5	11.5	9.31	20.9		On
6990	PMP-212-2	212_FLYGT_CP 3085 MT 3in_3hp	14.5	11.5	9.31	20.9		Off
6966	PMP-213-1	213_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	12	10.53	10.53	24.16		On
6965	PMP-213-2	213_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	13	10.53	10.53	24.16		On
5585	PMP-214-1	214_BARNES_SGVF_4.5-in_2hp	9.5	8	7.93	14.86		On
5588	PMP-214-2	214_BARNES_SGVF_4.5-in_2hp	10.5	8	7.93	14.86		Off
5598	PMP-215-1	215_PIR10-60_UNK	7.5	5.5	4.9	13.75		On
5600	PMP-215-2	215_PIR10-60_UNK	8.5	5.5	4.9	13.75		Off
5573	PMP-216-1	216_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	6.27	4.77	4.77	18.85		On
5575	PMP-216-2	216_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	7.27	4.77	4.77	18.85		Off
5540	PMP-217-1	217_HOMA_AM434-230/13Pin_12bhp	-17.5	-19.5	-21.2	6.49		On
5539	PMP-217-2	217_HOMA_AM434-230/13Pin_12bhp	-16.5	-19.5	-21.2	6.49		On
9168	PMP-218-1	218_HOMA_AMX444-230_9 3/8"_20hp	-7.5	-11	-12	4.75		On
9167	PMP-218-2	218_HOMA_AMX444-230_9 3/8"_20hp	-6.5	-11	-12	4.75		Off
9155	PMP-219-1	219_HOMA_AMX434-206_8 1/8"_7hp	-7	-9	-9.6	8.65		On

9156	PMP-219-2	219_HOMA_AMX434-206_8 1/8"_7hp	-6	-9	-9.6	8.65		Off
9183	PMP-220-1	220_HYDROMATIC_S4M_9"_10HP	-12.42	-13.42	-15.42	5.03		On
9181	PMP-220-2	220_HYDROMATIC_S4M_9"_10HP	-11.42	-13.42	-15.42	5.03		On
8324	PMP-221-1	221_HOMA_AMX434-228_9"_10hp	-3.183	-6.6	-7.6	8.9		On
8325	PMP-221-2	221_HOMA_AMX434-228_9"_10hp	-2.183	-6.6	-7.6	8.9		Off
8169	PMP-222-1	222_BARNES_4SE-L_4.75_1.5bhp	-2.1	-3.1	-3.1	2.9		On
8168	PMP-222-2	222_BARNES_4SE-L_4.75_1.5bhp	-1.1	-3.1	-3.1	2.9		Off
8166	PMP-223-1	223_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	-3	-5.5	-6.44	5.14		On
8164	PMP-223-2	223_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	-2	-5.5	-6.44	5.14		Off
8334	PMP-224-1	224_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	-3	-5	-6.29	8.69		On
8329	PMP-224-2	224_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	-2	-5	-6.29	8.69		Off
8338	PMP-225-1	225_HOMA_AMX444-260_10 1/4"_20hp	-9.5	-12	-13	4.05		On
8336	PMP-225-2	225_HOMA_AMX444-260_10 1/4"_20hp	-8.5	-12	-13	4.05		Off
9175	PMP-226-1	226_BARNES_6SE360-4HL_8.12"_20hp	1.8	-1.7	-3.7	10.39		On
9174	PMP-226-2	226_BARNES_6SE360-4HL_8.12"_20hp	2.8	-1.7	-3.7	10.39		On
7464	PMP-227-1	227_GOULDS_WS1512D4U_nn"_nnhp	0.8	-0.7	-3.3	9.33		On
7463	PMP-227-2	227_GOULDS_WS1512D4U_nn"_nnhp	1.8	-0.7	-3.3	9.33		Off
7557	PMP-228-1	228_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	4.1	2.6	1.59	18.79		On
7555	PMP-228-2	228_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	5.1	2.6	1.59	18.79		Off
7585	PMP-229-1	229_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	-7	-9	-9	0.18		On
7583	PMP-229-2	229_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	-6	-9	-9	0.18		Off
7411	PMP-230-1	230_HOMA_AMX646-300_11 3/4"_9hp	-12.5	-14	-14	8.25		On
7409	PMP-230-2	230_HOMA_AMX646-300_11 3/4"_9hp	-11.5	-14	-14	8.25		Off
7417	PMP-231-1	231_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	-9.5	-11	-11	3.07		On
7416	PMP-231-2	231_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	-8.5	-11	-11	3.07		Off
7402	PMP-232-1	232_HOMA_AMX434-235_9 1/16"_10hp	-4.5	-6.5	-6.5	6.03		On
7404	PMP-232-2	232_HOMA_AMX434-235_9 1/16"_10hp	-3.5	-6.5	-6.5	6.03		Off
6960	PMP-233-1	233_HYDOMATIC_S4M1750_8.5625"_13hp	-6.8	-8.3	-8.3	5.33		On
6958	PMP-233-2	233_HYDOMATIC_S4M1750_8.5625"_13hp	-5.8	-8.3	-8.3	5.33		Off
7625	PMP-234-1	234_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	-8.4	-9.9	-9.9	5.87		On
7627	PMP-234-2	234_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	-7.4	-9.9	-9.9	5.87		Off
7730	PMP-235-1	235_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	-2	-5	-6	1.82		On
7729	PMP-235-2	235_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	-1	-5	-6	1.82		Off
6952	PMP-236-1	236_HOMA_AMX434-228_9"_10hp	5.1	2.1	0.6	20.15		On
6953	PMP-236-2	236_HOMA_AMX434-228_9"_10hp	6.1	2.1	0.6	20.15		Off
7880	PMP-237-1	237_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	5	4.45	0.75	22.1	MLS	On

7879	PMP-237-2	237_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	5.075	4.45	0.75	22.1	MLS	On
22609	PMP-237-3	237_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	5.15	4.45	0.75	22.1	MLS	Off
4002	PMP-238-1	238_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	3.967	3.05	0.8	21.85		On
4001	PMP-238-2	238_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	4.967	3.05	0.8	21.85		On
3954	PMP-239-1	239_BARNES_6SE300-4HL_8.12"_30hp	3.667	0.917	0.2	23.73		On
3955	PMP-239-2	239_BARNES_6SE300-4HL_8.12"_30hp	4.667	0.917	0.2	23.73		On
3966	PMP-240-1	240_HOMA_AMX434-235_9 1/4"_13hp	5.167	1.5	1.5	18.26		On
3965	PMP-240-2	240_HOMA_AMX434-235_9 1/4"_13hp	6.167	1.5	1.5	18.26		Off
3958	PMP-241-1	241_BARNES_6SE480-4HL_10"_48hp	5.083	1.583	1	18.96		On
3960	PMP-241-2	241_BARNES_6SE480-4HL_10"_48hp	6.083	1.583	1	18.96		On
8206	PMP-242-1	242_HYDROMATIC_S_6-in_2bhp	-0.2	-2.2	-3	11.9		On
8205	PMP-242-2	242_HYDROMATIC_S_6-in_2bhp	0.8	-2.2	-3	11.9		Off
9	PMP-243-1	243_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6.8-in_2bhp	2.7	0.7	0.7	17.28		On
8	PMP-243-2	243_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6.8-in_2bhp	3.7	0.7	0.7	17.28		Off
5496	PMP-245-1	245_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_5.5-in_2bhp	-8.89	-9.89	-9.89	5.97		On
5497	PMP-245-2	245_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_5.5-in_2bhp	-7.89	-9.89	-9.89	5.97		Off
206	PMP-246-1	246_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_7.5-in_5bhp	-0.07	-1.57	-2.7	15.48		On
204	PMP-246-2	246_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_7.5-in_5bhp	0.93	-1.57	-2.7	15.48		Off
217	PMP-247-1	247_HYDROMATIC_S3HRC/S3HVX_4.1-in_3bhp	-10.5	-12	-13.2	1.64		On
220	PMP-247-2	247_HYDROMATIC_S3HRC/S3HVX_4.1-in_3bhp	-9.5	-12	-13.2	1.64		Off
7951	PMP-248-1	248_MYERS_6VC300_10.25-in_30hp	4.833	2.167	-5.22	19.06		On
7954	PMP-248-2	248_MYERS_6VC300_10.25-in_30hp	5.833	2.167	-5.22	19.06		Off
5748	PMP-249-1	249_HYDROMATIC_S4N_6.5"_3.3hp	-17	-19.5	-19.5	3.71		On
5744	PMP-249-2	249_HYDROMATIC_S4N_6.5"_3.3hp	-16	-19.5	-19.5	3.71		Off
8897	PMP-250-1	250_HYDOMATIC_HPGF750_10.5"_7.5hp	-1.5	-3.5	-3.5	9.65		On
8899	PMP-250-2	250_HYDOMATIC_HPGF750_10.5"_7.5hp	-0.5	-3.5	-3.5	9.65		Off
8857	PMP-251-1	251_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6.25-in_3bhp	-5	-7	-7.5	6.99		On
8856	PMP-251-2	251_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_6.25-in_3bhp	-4	-7	-7.5	6.99		Off
5511	PMP-257-1	257_HYDROMATIC_SPGL200_3.75-in_hp	1.6	-0.4	-0.51	8.22		On
5510	PMP-257-2	257_HYDROMATIC_SPGL200_3.75-in_hp	2.6	-0.4	-0.51	8.22		On
8348	PMP-258-1	258_Design Point	0.73	-4.72	-4.72	6.77		On
8346	PMP-258-2	258_Design Point	1.73	-4.72	-4.72	6.77		Off
7012	PMP-259-1	259_EBARA_32DGUII61.5S_nn"_2hp	3.6	1.6	1.6	9.46		On
7011	PMP-259-2	259_EBARA_32DGUII61.5S_nn"_2hp	4.6	1.6	1.6	9.46		Off
7977	PMP-260-1	260_HYDROMATIC_S4B_9.25-in_20bhp	-1.533	-4.783	-4.783	15.35		On
7979	PMP-260-2	260_HYDROMATIC_S4B_9.25-in_20bhp	-0.533	-4.783	-4.783	15.35		Off

22696	PMP-262-1	262_HYDROMATIC_HPGF/HPGFH 500_10-in_hp	7.42	5.92	5.17	18.26	On
22695	PMP-262-2	262_HYDROMATIC_HPGF/HPGFH 500_10-in_hp	8.42	5.92	5.17	18.26	Off
22718	PMP-263-1	263_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_5.81-in_3bhp	2.12	0.62	-1.45	14.72	On
22717	PMP-263-2	263_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_5.81-in_3bhp	3.12	0.62	-1.45	14.72	Off
22705	PMP-264-1	264_HYDROMATIC_HPGF/HPGFH 500_8.5-in_hp	3.66	2.16	1	16.51	On
22706	PMP-264-2	264_HYDROMATIC_HPGF/HPGFH 500_8.5-in_hp	4.66	2.16	2.16	16.51	Off
191	PMP-265-1	265_HYDROMATIC_HPG200_4.5-in_hp	-3	-5	-5	8.05	On
194	PMP-265-2	265_HYDROMATIC_HPG200_4.5-in_hp	-2	-5	-5	8.05	Off
22727	PMP-298-1	298_HYDROMATIC_S4P_8.3-in_3bhp	12	8.5	8.5	27.2	On
22726	PMP-298-2	298_HYDROMATIC_S4P_8.3-in_3bhp	13	8.5	8.5	27.2	Off
4689	PMP-301-1	301_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_5.5-in_2bhp	10.117	8.7	4.2	19.09	On
4691	PMP-301-2	301_HYDROMATIC_S4N/S4NX_5.5-in_2bhp	11.117	8.7	4.2	19.09	Off
4685	PMP-302-1	302_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	-1.833	-3.333	-4.7	9.39	On
4682	PMP-302-2	302_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	-0.833	-3.333	-4.7	9.39	On
4807	PMP-303-1	303_FLYGT_63-438-00-3704_202mm_10hp	-4.683	-5.433	-5.7	15.07	On
4806	PMP-303-2	303_FLYGT_63-438-00-3704_202mm_10hp	-3.683	-5.433	-5.7	15.07	On
4720	PMP-304-1	304_FLYGT_CP 3102 MT 1_6.81-in_hp	8.77	4.7	4.7	17.7	On
4719	PMP-304-2	304_FLYGT_CP 3102 MT 1_6.81-in_hp	9.77	4.7	4.7	17.7	Off
4702	PMP-305-1	305_HYDROSTAL_D4D-Lin_2hp	5.16	2.66	2.66	15.14	On
4704	PMP-305-2	305_HYDROSTAL_D4D-Lin_2hp	6.16	2.66	2.66	14.14	Off
3779	PMP-308-1	308_HOMA_AMX644-280_11"_29hp	10.13	6.38	6.38	31.94	On
3778	PMP-308-2	308_HOMA_AMX644-280_11"_29hp	10.13	6.38	6.38	31.94	On
3206	PMP-318-1	318_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	17.17	13.67	13.67	33.67	On
3208	PMP-318-2	318_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	18.17	13.67	13.67	33.67	Off
3188	PMP-319-1	319_Design Point	18.6	16.1	10.7	29.94	On
3190	PMP-319-2	319_Design Point	19.6	16.1	10.7	29.94	Off
3197	PMP-320-1	320#1_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 5/16"_3.5hp	6.32	3.32	3.06	14.8	On
3196	PMP-320-2	320#2_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	7.32	3.32	3.06	14.8	Off
3774	PMP-322-1	322_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_3.5hp	21.21	17.21	9.71	29.04	On
3776	PMP-322-2	322_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_3.5hp	22.21	17.21	9.71	29.04	Off
569	PMP-333-1	333_HYDROMATIC_S4M1750_7.13"_nnhp	17.5	14	13.8	32.32	On
570	PMP-333-2	333_HYDROMATIC_S4M1750_7.13"_nnhp	18.5	14	13.8	32.32	Off
4946	PMP-334-1	334_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	-2	-3	-5.22	18.37	On
4949	PMP-334-2	334_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	-1	-3	-5.22	18.37	Off
4954	PMP-336-1	336_HYDROMATIC_HPGH300_4.75"_3hp	3.883	1.8	1.3	16.23	On
4952	PMP-336-2	336_HYDROMATIC_HPGH300_4.75"_3hp	4.883	1.8	1.3	16.23	Off

3792	PMP-338-1	338_HOMA_AMX444-280_11 7/16"_29hp	11.7	8.7	6.7	31.91		On
3790	PMP-338-2	338_HOMA_AMX444-280_11 7/16"_29hp	12.7	8.7	6.7	31.91		On
3767	PMP-339-1	339_ABS_AFP1049_9.96"_10.8hp	9.9	7.9	6.5	27.5		On
3770	PMP-339-2	339_ABS_AFP1049_9.96"_10.8hp	10.9	7.9	6.5	27.5		Off
22735	PMP-340-1	340_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	1.2	-1.3	-1.5	14.31		On
22738	PMP-340-2	340_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	2.2	-1.3	-1.5	14.31		Off
3572	PMP-341-1	341_HOMA_AMX434-218_8 9/16"_10hp	9.6	8.3	-6.2	13.55		On
3575	PMP-341-2	341_HOMA_AMX434-218_8 9/16"_10hp	-4.92	-7	-6.2	13.55		On
3202	PMP-342-1	342_HYDROMATIC_S4M1750_8.5"_12hp?	-4	-7.5	-8.5	13.45		On
3203	PMP-342-2	342_HYDROMATIC_S4M1750_8.5"_12hp?	-3	-7.5	-8.5	13.45		Off
553	PMP-343-1	343_Hydromatic_S3HRC_4.19"_UNK	11.5	9.5	8	29.76		On
556	PMP-343-2	343_Hydromatic_S3HRC_4.19"_UNK	12.5	9.5	8	29.76		Off
3653	PMP-361-1	361_HYDROMATIC_S4N_6.25_UNK	2.9	0.9	-0.6	12.49		On
3652	PMP-361-2	361_HYDROMATIC_S4N_6.25_UNK	3.9	0.9	-0.6	12.49		Off
3475	PMP-393-1	393_HYDROMATIC_S4P_7.5"_UNK	-12.42	-13.42	-13.42	4.08		On
3473	PMP-393-2	393_HYDROMATIC_S4P_7.5"_UNK	-11.42	-13.42	-13.42	4.08		Off
3424	PMP-396-1	396_EBARA_32DGUII61.5S_nn"_2hp	-6.71	-9.21	-9.21	4.79		On
3425	PMP-396-2	396_EBARA_32DGUII61.5S_nn"_2hp	-5.71	-9.21	-9.21	4.79		Off
2414	PMP-401-1	401_BARNES_4SE-L_7"_UNK	11.667	10.833	7	18.16		On
2417	PMP-401-2	401_BARNES_4SE-L_7"_UNK	12.667	10.833	7	18.16		On
2502	PMP-402-1	402_ABS_AFP1041_7.64"_3.85hp	-14.913	-16.997	-16.997	8.58		On
2501	PMP-402-2	402_ABS_AFP1041_7.64"_3.85hp	-13.913	-16.997	-16.997	8.58		Off
2506	PMP-403-1	403_BARNES_5.5"_5HP	-0.677	-2.01	-2.01	13.49		On
2508	PMP-403-2	403_BARNES_5.5"_5HP	0.323	-2.01	-2.01	13.49		On
2434	PMP-404-1	404_HYDROMATIC_S4N_7"_UNK	0.167	-1	-1.4	19.02		On
2433	PMP-404-2	404_HYDROMATIC_S4N_7"_UNK	1.167	-1	-1.4	19.02		On
1736	PMP-405-1	405_HOMA_AMX434-228_9"_10hp	10.833	9.583	10	19.01		On
1735	PMP-405-2	405_HOMA_AMX434-228_9"_10hp	11.833	9.583	10	19.01		Off
688	PMP-406-1	406_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	-0.883	-2.383	-2.383	15.56		On
687	PMP-406-2	406_HOMA_AMX434-193_7 5/8"_5hp	0.117	-2.383	-2.383	15.56		Off
8842	PMP-407-1	407_BARNES_4SE28-4L_6"_3hp	12.833	12.333	2	18.33		On
8846	PMP-407-2	407_BARNES_4SE28-4L_6"_3hp	13.833	12.333	2	18.33		On
8018	PMP-408-1	408_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	0.1	-1.3	-8	15.7	MLS	On
8017	PMP-408-2	408_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	1.1	-1.3	-8	15.7	MLS	On
22617	PMP-408-3	408_WET_Cal-Range_SWMM-Solver_VFD-Flow-Depth	2.1	-1.3	-8	15.7	MLS	Off
8835	PMP-409-1	409_BARNES_4SE28-4L_6"_3hp	11	10	6	19.02		On

8836	PMP-409-2	409_BARNES_4SE28-4L_6"_3hp	12	10	6	19.02	On
8802	PMP-410-1	410_HYDROMATIC_S4P1500_9.5"_15hp	-1.95	-3.95	-3.95	16.17	On
8803	PMP-410-2	410_HYDROMATIC_S4P1500_9.5"_15hp	-0.95	-3.95	-3.95	16.17	Off
8731	PMP-411-1	411_MYERS_6VH50_9"_5hp	-3.617	-5.867	-5.867	15.05	On
8730	PMP-411-2	411_MYERS_6VH50_9"_5hp	-2.617	-5.867	-5.867	15.05	Off
337	PMP-412-1	412_POWER-FLO_PF4NC-SS_6.5-in_2.8bhp	9.35	8.35	2	19.02	On
339	PMP-412-2	412_POWER-FLO_PF4NC-SS_6.5-in_2.8bhp	10.35	8.35	2	19.02	On
411	PMP-413-1	413_UNK MODEL	0.9	-4.1	-4.1	18.73	On
409	PMP-413-2	413_UNK MODEL	1.9	-4.1	-4.1	18.73	Off
453	PMP-414-1	414_BARNES_FSE-L6.5"_UNK	14	12	2	18.96	On
454	PMP-414-2	414_BARNES_FSE-L6.5"_UNK	15	12	2	18.96	On
447	PMP-415-1	415_BARNES_4SE37-4L_6.5"_3.6hp	10.833	9.417	2	16.78	On
448	PMP-415-2	415_BARNES_4SE37-4L_6.5"_3.6hp	11.833	9.417	2	16.78	Off
4278	PMP-416-1	416_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_3.5hp	7.4	6.15	6.15	14.66	On
4280	PMP-416-2	416_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_3.5hp	8.4	6.15	6.15	14.66	On
4171	PMP-417-1	417_BARNES_4SE-L_6.25"_UNK	9.2	6.95	6	17.89	On
4169	PMP-417-2	417_BARNES_4SE-L_6.25"_UNK	10.2	6.95	6	17.89	Off
4163	PMP-418-1	418_PEC_FA100-420in_5bhp	-0.75	-2.583	-4.6	17.26	On
4166	PMP-418-2	418_PEC_FA100-420in_5bhp	0.25	-2.583	-4.6	17.26	On
4206	PMP-419-1	419_BARNES_4SE-L_UNK_UNK	5.117	4.2	-2.2	13.95	On
4204	PMP-419-2	419_BARNES_4SE-L_UNK_UNK	6.117	4.2	-2.2	13.95	Off
4176	PMP-420-1	420A_MYERS_4V15M6-43A_UNK_UNK	4.713	3.463	-2	13.62	On
4179	PMP-420-2	420A_MYERS_4V15M6-43A_UNK_UNK	5.713	3.463	-2	13.62	Off
4195	PMP-421-1	421_ABS_CB24_2HP	9.967	7.8	7.8	16.71	On
4198	PMP-421-2	421_ABS_CB24_2HP	10.967	7.8	7.8	16.71	Off
4267	PMP-422-1	422_BARNES_4SE28-4L_6"_3hp	11.633	9.967	-1.2	19.13	On
4265	PMP-422-2	422_BARNES_4SE28-4L_6"_3hp	12.633	9.967	-1.2	19.13	Off
4260	PMP-423-1	423_HYDROMATIC_S4N_5.88"_UNK	5.09	4.59	4.59	13.84	On
4261	PMP-423-2	423_HYDROMATIC_S4N_5.88"_UNK	6.09	4.59	4.59	13.84	Off
511	PMP-424-1	424_HYDROMATIC_S4M1750_8.5"_12.6hp	1	-0.5	-0.5	17.13	On
512	PMP-424-2	424_HYDROMATIC_S4M1750_8.5"_12.6hp	2	-0.5	-0.5	17.13	On
547	PMP-425-1	425_HYDROMATIC_S4N1750_7.5625"_6.9hp	17.5	15.5	15.5	25.36	On
549	PMP-425-2	425_HYDROMATIC_S4N1750_7.5625"_6.9hp	18.5	15.5	15.5	25.36	Off
606	PMP-426-1	426_HOMA_AMX434-228_9"_10hp	13.5	11.5	11	24.31	On
609	PMP-426-2	426_HOMA_AMX434-228_9"_10hp	14.5	11.5	11	24.31	On
1368	PMP-431-1	431_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	-1.2	-6.2	-6.2	9.17	On

1365	PMP-431-2	431_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	-0.2	-6.2	-6.2	9.17	Off
230	PMP-432-1	432_HOMA_AMX646-310_12 5/16"_15hp	1.5	-2	-2.7	18.99	On
228	PMP-432-2	432_HOMA_AMX646-310_12 5/16"_15hp	2.5	-2	-2.7	18.99	Off
733	PMP-433-1	433_HOMA_AMX646-300_11 3/16"_9hp	-2.25	-5.25	-5.3	18.89	On
731	PMP-433-2	433_HOMA_AMX646-300_11 3/16"_9hp	-1.25	-5.25	-5.3	18.89	Off
725	PMP-434-1	434#1_HOMA_AMX644-260_10 1/4"_20hp	4.71	-10.45	-10.5	14.16	On
726	PMP-434-2	434#2_ITT_NP3171.181_244mm_25hp	-1.87	-10.45	-10.5	14.16	On
2983	PMP-435-1	435_BARNES_4SE37-4L_6.25"_3.24hp	10.3	6.8	6.8	19.58	On
2984	PMP-435-2	435_BARNES_4SE37-4L_6.25"_3.24hp	11.3	6.8	6.8	19.58	Off
9189	PMP-436-1	436#1_HYDROMATIC_S4M1500_9"_15hp	11.63	9.97	5.1	25.2	On
9192	PMP-436-2	436#2_HOMA_AMX434-184_7 1/4"_4hp	9.43	8.77	5.1	25.2	On
2977	PMP-437-1	437_HYDROMATIC_S4N_UNK_UNK	-0.18	-3.43	-3.5	13.66	On
2978	PMP-437-2	437_HYDROMATIC_S4N_UNK_UNK	1.18	-3.43	-3.5	13.66	Off
2706	PMP-438-1	438_HOMA_AM434-150/2.9Nin_2bhp	3.51	2.26	-14.75	21.01	On
2704	PMP-438-2	438_HOMA_AM434-150/2.9Nin_2bhp	4.51	2.26	-14.75	21.01	Off
2610	PMP-439-1	439_BARNES_6SE360-4HL_8.75"_nnhp	-10.333	-13.167	-14.9	11.82	On
2611	PMP-439-2	439_BARNES_6SE360-4HL_8.75"_nnhp	-9.333	-13.167	-14.9	11.82	On
2614	PMP-440-1	440_HYDROMATIC_S4N1750_9"_10hp?	-8.75	-10.333	-10.333	10.67	On
2616	PMP-440-2	440_HYDROMATIC_S4N1750_9"_10hp?	-7.75	-10.333	-10.333	10.67	On
1085	PMP-457-1	457#1_HOMA_AMX434-155_6 1/8"_4hp	20.1	17.83	17.83	27.22	On
1086	PMP-457-2	457#2_BARNES_4SE204-204_6.62"_nnhp	21.1	17.83	17.83	27.22	Off
1113	PMP-458-1	458#1_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	15.5	13.5	13.24	29.46	On
1115	PMP-458-2	458#2_HYDROMATIC_5.88"_2.5hp	16.5	13.5	13.24	29.46	Off
4183	PMP-460-1	460_HOMA_AMX434-142_5 9/16"_2.5hp	9.167	6.75	6.75	14.08	On
4182	PMP-460-2	460_HOMA_AMX434-142_5 9/16"_2.5hp	10.167	6.75	6.75	14.08	Off
9283	PMP-469-1	469_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	0.5	-1	-2.16	19.31	On
9285	PMP-469-2	469_HOMA_AMX434-178_7"_4hp	1.5	-1	-2.16	19.31	Off
322	PMP-471-1	471_HYDROMATIC_S4N_UNK_UNK	9.5	7	7	18.52	On
321	PMP-471-2	471_HYDROMATIC_S4N_UNK_UNK	10.5	7	7	18.52	Off
1707	PMP-484-1	484_HOMA_AM434-150/2.9Nin_2bhp	3.633	1.383	1.383	17.61	On
1706	PMP-484-2	484_HOMA_AM434-150/2.9Nin_2bhp	4.633	1.383	1.383	17.61	Off
1713	PMP-485-1	485_HYDROMATIC_HPGH300_5.25"_3hp	10	8.833	8.8	19.08	On
1714	PMP-485-2	485_HYDROMATIC_HPGH300_5.25"_3hp	11	8.833	8.8	19.08	Off
1753	PMP-486-1	486_HYDROMATIC_SPG_UNK_UNK	13.25	12.167	12	18.57	On
1751	PMP-486-2	486_HYDROMATIC_SPG_UNK_UNK	14.25	12.167	12	18.57	Off
9218	PMP-488-1	488_HYDROMATIC_S4HRC3450_5.69"_15hp	-1	-5	-6.5	15.77	On

9217	PMP-488-2	488_HYDROMATIC_S4HRC3450_5.69"_15hp	0	-5	-6.5	15.77	Off
9226	PMP-491-1	491_HYDROMATIC_S4P750_8.25"_7.5hp	7.5	3.5	2	23.89	On
9223	PMP-491-2	491_HYDROMATIC_S4P750_8.25"_7.5hp	8.5	3.5	2	23.89	Off

#### Wet Well Flex Table

ID	Label	Operating Range Type	Elevation (Base) (ft)	Elevation (Ground) (ft)	Elevation (Initial) (ft)	Elevation (Minimum) (ft)	Elevation (Maximum) (ft)	Diameter (ft)	Section	Area (Average) (ft²)
5861	WW-054	Elevation	-15.5	1.154	-12	-15.5	6	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5953	WW-055	Elevation	-15.5	3.444	-6.3	-15.5	6	4	Constant Area - Circular	
5855	WW-056	Elevation	-12.8	3.775	-9	-12.8	4.5	5.75	Constant Area - Circular	
5849	WW-057	Elevation	-19	2.756	-16	-19	0	7.5	Constant Area - Circular	
6618	WW-058	Elevation	-15.5	1.921	-12.5	-15.5	0	7.5	Constant Area - Circular	
8363	WW-059	Elevation	-13	1.82	-9	-13	0.6	6	Constant Area - Circular	
6427	WW-060	Elevation	-14.6	1.848	-11	-14.6	5.2	8	Constant Area - Circular	
6432	WW-061	Elevation	-12.6	2.302	-9.1	-12.6	6.4	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8356	WW-062	Elevation	-18.99	2.388	-13.5	-18.99	3	12	Constant Area - Circular	
6363	WW-063	Elevation	-15.25	1.42	-13.5	-15.25	4.2	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8349	WW-064	Elevation	-16.26	2.039	-8.63	-16.26	5.2	12	Constant Area - Circular	
8370	WW-065	Elevation	-17.29	3.085	-7.3	-17.29	4.4	8	Constant Area - Circular	
8469	WW-066	Elevation	-11.5	1.85	-7.75	-11.5	3.4	13	Constant Area - Circular	
8647	WW-067	Elevation	-17.1	2.101	-14	-17.1	3.4	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8378	WW-068	Elevation	-16.63	1.911	-13.5	-16.63	3.3	8	Constant Area - Circular	
5842	WW-071	Elevation	-15.75	8	-12.1	-15.75	8		Constant Area - Non-Circular	232
6668	WW-074	Elevation	-12.8	1.902	-2	-12.8	4.5	4	Constant Area - Circular	
7989	WW-101	Elevation	-10.5	3.794	-7.67	-10.5	6.5	12	Constant Area - Circular	
2057	WW-102	Elevation	-9.5	3.645	1.28	-9.5	9.2	4	Constant Area - Circular	
1515	WW-103	Elevation	0.78	14.282	6.58	0.78	13.29	5	Constant Area - Circular	
8002	WW-104	Elevation	-14.6	9.346	-6.85	-14.6	10.9		Constant Area - Non-Circular	120
1525	WW-105	Elevation	-4.9	5.469	1.17	-4.9	12	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7983	WW-106	Elevation	8.39	18.34	12.18	8.39	18.55	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7927	WW-107	Elevation	3.11	21.121	6.68	3.11	23.26	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7922	WW-108	Elevation	7.2	23.496	8.95	7.2	21.2	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7756	WW-109	Elevation	0.25	15.643	4.53	0.25	19.7	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7941	WW-110	Elevation	6	23.62	10.25	6	24.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
4450	WW-111	Elevation	7.87	18.929	10.45	7.87	16.37	4	Constant Area - Circular	
7947	WW-112	Elevation	6.34	20.022	9.17	6.34	18.34	4	Constant Area - Circular	

5204	WW-113	Elevation	6.64	14.236	9.89	6.64	19.06	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5215	WW-114	Elevation	4.44	19.02	6.89	4.44	22.8	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5132	WW-115	Elevation	9.36	24.201	12.53	9.36	16.95	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7901	WW-116	Elevation	-2.9	18.045	0.7	-2.9	19.2	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7867	WW-117	Elevation	2	14.238	7.5	2	15	4	Constant Area - Circular	
7872	WW-118	Elevation	-1	14.016	7.98	-1	19.4	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7851	WW-119	Elevation	-2.83	19.773	3.17	-2.83	24.25	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5670	WW-120	Elevation	-10	8.059	-7	-10	6.55	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7893	WW-122	Elevation	-21.99	0.902	-16.5	-21.99	8.88	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5727	WW-123	Elevation	-9.79	0.565	-6.5	-9.79	5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5790	WW-124	Elevation	-16.6	2.352	-13	-16.6	3.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
4465	WW-125	Elevation	0.3	17.587	5	0.3	20	8	Constant Area - Circular	
3975	WW-126	Elevation	-5.4	20.234	-0.5	-5.4	20	12	Constant Area - Circular	
5044	WW-127	Elevation	2	14.414	7.16	2	14.41		Constant Area - Non-Circular	60
4507	WW-128	Elevation	2	20.071	13.75	2	20	4	Constant Area - Circular	
4511	WW-129	Elevation	-4.2	17.599	0.53	-4.2	20.2	12	Constant Area - Circular	
4638	WW-130	Elevation	-0.15	17.909	2.2	-0.15	17.2	6	Constant Area - Circular	
2363	WW-132	Elevation	2.65	14.556	6.5	2.65	18	6	Constant Area - Circular	
1747	WW-133	Elevation	-2	10.427	5.65	-2	11.9	4	Constant Area - Circular	
22745	WW-134	Elevation	-9	3.081	-5.2	-9	4.8	7	Constant Area - Circular	
2601	WW-135	Elevation	-18.8	8.368	-12.83	-18.8	7	10	Constant Area - Circular	
2697	WW-136	Elevation	-11.78	1.943	-8.17	-11.78	6	6	Constant Area - Circular	
2724	WW-137	Elevation	-7	9.084	-3.67	-7	9	8	Constant Area - Circular	
7958	WW-138	Elevation	-2.66	18.788	4.02	-2.66	18.79		Constant Area - Non-Circular	232
7995	WW-139	Elevation	-15.95	3.073	-11.45	-15.95	3.07		Constant Area - Non-Circular	232
4456	WW-140	Elevation	8.2	23.968	12.75	8.2	25.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8009	WW-141	Elevation	-5.3	9.313	-0.87	-5.3	12.71	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5209	WW-142	Elevation	9.6	19.144	13.13	9.6	22.3	6	Constant Area - Circular	
4471	WW-143	Elevation	2.93	14.643	7.34	2.93	20.09	6	Constant Area - Circular	
1489	WW-145	Elevation	-10.5	3.735	-7.13	-10.5	8.7	8	Constant Area - Circular	
7969	WW-146	Elevation	6.2	23.316	8.58	6.2	24	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8847	WW-147	Elevation	-13.69	7.063	-8.91	-13.69	9.59	6	Constant Area - Circular	
6789	WW-148	Elevation	10	20.535	14.66	10	22.66	5.5	Constant Area - Circular	
2730	WW-149	Elevation	0.21	9.496	2.46	0.21	10.21	4	Constant Area - Circular	
7933	WW-150	Elevation	2.5	24.006	5.34	2.5	24.01	10	Constant Area - Circular	

7917	WW-159	Elevation	7.2	23.935	11.67	7.2	26.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
4462	WW-160	Elevation	6.3	16.507	9.77	6.3	18.15	10	Constant Area - Circular	
22778	WW-161	Elevation	1.08	7.355	3.61	1.08	9.19	4	Constant Area - Circular	
5533	WW-201	Elevation	-8	3.194	-4.6	-8	3.9	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5720	WW-202	Elevation	-18.67	0.328	-15.17	-18.67	0.33	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7885	WW-203	Elevation	-12.75	3.883	-12	-12.75	3.88		Constant Area - Non-Circular	232
5712	WW-204	Elevation	-10.3	0.75	-8.3	-10.3	5.2	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5836	WW-205	Elevation	-13.33	3.69	-11	-13.33	4.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5879	WW-206	Elevation	-16	2.161	-12.5	-16	4.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8954	WW-207	Elevation	-13	6.32	-9	-13	7.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8943	WW-208	Elevation	-8.9	3.188	-5.6	-8.9	7.9	10	Constant Area - Circular	
8959	WW-209	Elevation	-8	4.796	0.5	-8	5	4	Constant Area - Circular	
4090	WW-210	Elevation	4.8	20.111	7.25	4.8	19	6	Constant Area - Circular	
6784	WW-211	Elevation	0.2	18.489	4.6	0.2	19.2	8	Constant Area - Circular	
6989	WW-212	Elevation	8.31	20.896	14	8.31	23.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
6964	WW-213	Elevation	9.53	24.165	12.5	9.53	25.8	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5584	WW-214	Elevation	6.93	14.857	8	6.93	16.9	4	Constant Area - Circular	
5599	WW-215	Elevation	3.9	13.752	8	3.9	16.5	4	Constant Area - Circular	
5572	WW-216	Elevation	3.77	18.855	6.77	3.77	18.27	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5538	WW-217	Elevation	-22.2	6.487	-15	-22.2	6.49	6	Constant Area - Circular	
9166	WW-218	Elevation	-13	4.746	-7	-13	6	8	Constant Area - Circular	
9154	WW-219	Elevation	-10.6	8.646	-6.5	-10.6	10	6	Constant Area - Circular	
9180	WW-220	Elevation	-16.42	5.032	-11.92	-16.42	6		Constant Area - Non-Circular	120
8323	WW-221	Elevation	-8.6	8.904	-2.68	-8.6	8	8	Constant Area - Circular	
8167	WW-222	Elevation	-4.1	2.896	-1.6	-4.1	2.9	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8163	WW-223	Elevation	-7.44	5.14	-2.5	-7.44	10	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8328	WW-224	Elevation	-7.29	8.689	-2.5	-7.29	11	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8337	WW-225	Elevation	-14	4.049	-9	-14	5.2	8	Constant Area - Circular	
9173	WW-226	Elevation	-4.7	10.387	2.3	-4.7	12.2	11.5	Constant Area - Circular	
7462	WW-227	Elevation	-4.3	9.326	1.3	-4.3	8.3		Constant Area - Non-Circular	50
7554	WW-228	Elevation	0.59	18.795	4.6	0.59	19.6	5	Constant Area - Circular	
7584	WW-229	Elevation	-9.83	0.175	-6.5	-9.83	5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7408	WW-230	Elevation	-15	8.25	-12	-15	4.5	8	Constant Area - Circular	
7415	WW-231	Elevation	-12	3.065	-9	-12	4.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7403	WW-232	Elevation	-7	6.034	-4	-7	7	6	Constant Area - Circular	

6959	WW-233	Elevation	-9.2	5.332	-6.3	-9.2	5.7	8	Constant Area - Circular	
7624	WW-234	Elevation	-10.9	5.868	-7.9	-10.9	7.1	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7728	WW-235	Elevation	-7	5	-1.5	-7	5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
6951	WW-236	Elevation	-0.4	20.155	5.6	-0.4	22.1	8	Constant Area - Circular	
7878	WW-237	Elevation	-0.25	22.104	5.077	-0.25	22.1		Constant Area - Non-Circular	232
4000	WW-238	Elevation	-0.2	21.853	4.47	-0.2	23.3	8	Constant Area - Circular	
3953	WW-239	Elevation	-0.8	23.728	4.17	-0.8	22.5	10	Constant Area - Circular	
3964	WW-240	Elevation	0.5	18.261	5.67	0.5	20	6	Constant Area - Circular	
3959	WW-241	Elevation	0	18.962	5.58	0	19	10	Constant Area - Circular	
8204	WW-242	Elevation	-4	11.901	0.3	-4	12.3	6	Constant Area - Circular	
7	WW-243	Elevation	0.32	17.278	3.2	0.32	17.7	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5495	WW-245	Elevation	-10.89	5.97	-8.39	-10.89	8.84	6	Constant Area - Circular	
205	WW-246	Elevation	-3.7	15.485	0.43	-3.7	17.93	6	Constant Area - Circular	
216	WW-247	Elevation	-14.2	1.644	-10	-14.2	7	4	Constant Area - Circular	
7953	WW-248	Elevation	-6.22	19.057	5.33	-6.22	20.5		Constant Area - Non-Circular	120
5743	WW-249	Elevation	-20.5	3.708	-16.5	-20.5	5	8	Constant Area - Circular	
8896	WW-250	Elevation	-4.2	9.652	-1	-4.2	11.5	4	Constant Area - Circular	
8855	WW-251	Elevation	-8.5	6.992	-4.5	-8.5	8.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
5509	WW-257	Elevation	-1.51	8.219	2.1	-1.51	12	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8345	WW-258	Elevation	-5.72	6.77	-3.23	-5.72	8.5	4	Constant Area - Circular	
7010	WW-259	Elevation	0.6	9.456	4.1	0.6	12.6	4	Constant Area - Circular	
7976	WW-260	Elevation	-5.78	15.346	-1.03	-5.78	15.8	10	Constant Area - Circular	
22631	WW-262	Elevation	4.17	18.264	7.92	4.17	20.42	5	Constant Area - Circular	
22629	WW-263	Elevation	-2.45	14.716	2.62	-2.45	16.12	6	Constant Area - Circular	
22630	WW-264	Elevation	1.16	16.514	4.16	1.16	18.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
190	WW-265	Elevation	-6	8.053	-2.5	-6	6	10	Constant Area - Circular	
22632	WW-298	Elevation	7.5	27.198	12.5	7.5	28.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
4688	WW-301	Elevation	3.2	19.087	10.62	3.2	17.2	5	Constant Area - Circular	
4684	WW-302	Elevation	-5.7	9.394	-1.33	-5.7	14	8	Constant Area - Circular	
4805	WW-303	Elevation	-6.7	15.073	-4.18	-6.7	14.9	10	Constant Area - Circular	
4718	WW-304	Elevation	3.7	17.696	7.27	3.7	19.09	8	Constant Area - Circular	
4703	WW-305	Elevation	1.66	14.138	5.66	1.66	17.25	10	Constant Area - Circular	
3777	WW-308	Elevation	5.38	31.944	10.63	5.38	33	12	Constant Area - Circular	
3207	WW-318	Elevation	12.67	33.666	17.67	12.67	33.67	10	Constant Area - Circular	
3189	WW-319	Elevation	9.7	29.939	19.1	9.7	32.1	8	Constant Area - Circular	

3195	WW-320	Elevation	2.06	14.797	6.82	2.06	15.82	6	Constant Area - Circular	
3773	WW-322	Elevation	8.71	29.042	21.71	8.71	31.71	6	Constant Area - Circular	
568	WW-333	Elevation	12.8	32.317	18	12.8	34	8	Constant Area - Circular	
4945	WW-334	Elevation	-6.22	18.371	-1.5	-6.22	20.5	8	Constant Area - Circular	
4953	WW-336	Elevation	0.3	16.23	4.38	0.3	17.8	6	Constant Area - Circular	
3789	WW-338	Elevation	5.7	31.908	12.2	5.7	32.7	8	Constant Area - Circular	
3766	WW-339	Elevation	5.5	27.504	10.4	5.5	28.9	8	Constant Area - Circular	
22633	WW-340	Elevation	-2.5	14.306	1.7	-2.5	16.2	6	Constant Area - Circular	
3571	WW-341	Elevation	-7.2	13.55	10.1	-7.2	15	8	Constant Area - Circular	
3201	WW-342	Elevation	-9.5	13.453	-3.5	-9.5	15	8	Constant Area - Circular	
555	WW-343	Elevation	7	29.757	12	7	30.5	6	Constant Area - Circular	
3651	WW-361	Elevation	-1.6	12.488	3.4	-1.6	15.9	6	Constant Area - Circular	
3474	WW-393	Elevation	-14.42	4.084	-11.92	-14.42	4.08	10	Constant Area - Circular	
3423	WW-396	Elevation	-10.21	4.788	-6.21	-10.21	4.79	10	Constant Area - Circular	
2413	WW-401	Elevation	7	18.159	12.17	7	23	5	Constant Area - Circular	
2500	WW-402	Elevation	-18	8.582	-14.41	-18	6.67	6	Constant Area - Circular	
2507	WW-403	Elevation	-3.01	13.488	-0.18	-3.01	13.49	4	Constant Area - Circular	
2432	WW-404	Elevation	-2.4	19.019	0.67	-2.4	18	8	Constant Area - Circular	
1734	WW-405	Elevation	9	19.012	11.33	9	22	6	Constant Area - Circular	
686	WW-406	Elevation	-2.8	15.555	-0.38	-2.8	17.7	6	Constant Area - Circular	
8841	WW-407	Elevation	1	18.329	13.33	1	20	5	Constant Area - Circular	
8016	WW-408	Elevation	-8	15.705	1.1	-8	15.7		Constant Area - Non-Circular	232
8834	WW-409	Elevation	5	19.019	11.5	5	25	5	Constant Area - Circular	
8801	WW-410	Elevation	-4.8	16.166	-1.45	-4.8	18.8	8	Constant Area - Circular	
8729	WW-411	Elevation	-6.87	15.049	-3.12	-6.87	15.05	10	Constant Area - Circular	
336	WW-412	Elevation	1	19.019	9.85	1	19.1	4	Constant Area - Circular	
410	WW-413	Elevation	-5.1	18.732	1.4	-5.1	16.9	6	Constant Area - Circular	
452	WW-414	Elevation	1	18.961	14.5	1	20	4	Constant Area - Circular	
446	WW-415	Elevation	1	16.783	11.33	1	20	6	Constant Area - Circular	
4279	WW-416	Elevation	5.15	14.655	7.9	5.15	17.9	4	Constant Area - Circular	
4170	WW-417	Elevation	5	17.885	9.7	5	17.2	5	Constant Area - Circular	
4165	WW-418	Elevation	-5.6	17.258	-0.25	-5.6	16	8	Constant Area - Circular	
4205	WW-419	Elevation	-3.2	13.954	5.62	-3.2	13.95	6	Constant Area - Circular	
4175	WW-420	Elevation	-3	13.625	5.21	-3	17.38	6	Constant Area - Circular	
4194	WW-421	Elevation	7.6	16.707	10.47	7.6	18.3	4	Constant Area - Circular	

4266	WW-422	Elevation	-1.2	19.135	12.13	-1.2	18.8	4	Constant Area - Circular
4259	WW-423	Elevation	3.59	13.841	5.59	3.59	13.84	4	Constant Area - Circular
510	WW-424	Elevation	-1.5	17.125	1.5	-1.5	16	6	Constant Area - Circular
548	WW-425	Elevation	14.5	25.36	18.36	14.5	28	5	Constant Area - Circular
608	WW-426	Elevation	10	24.305	14	10	26.5	6	Constant Area - Circular
1367	WW-431	Elevation	-6.7	9.168	-0.7	-6.7	13.8	6	Constant Area - Circular
227	WW-432	Elevation	-3.7	18.985	2	-3.7	20.5	10	Constant Area - Circular
732	WW-433	Elevation	-6.3	18.889	-1.75	-6.3	18.75	10	Constant Area - Circular
724	WW-434	Elevation	-11.5	14.16	5.21	-11.5	15	12	Constant Area - Circular
2982	WW-435	Elevation	5.8	19.58	10.8	5.8	19.1	4	Constant Area - Circular
9188	WW-436	Elevation	4.1	25.2	12.13	4.1	24	6	Constant Area - Circular
2976	WW-437	Elevation	-4.5	13.663	-0.68	-4.5	14.4	6	Constant Area - Circular
2703	WW-438	Elevation	-15.75	21.01	4.01	-15.75	21.01	6	Constant Area - Circular
2609	WW-439	Elevation	-15.9	11.817	-9.83	-15.9	11.5	12	Constant Area - Circular
2615	WW-440	Elevation	-11.25	10.669	-8.25	-11.25	11.5	8	Constant Area - Circular
1084	WW-457	Elevation	16.83	28.22	20.6	16.83	28.22	6	Constant Area - Circular
1112	WW-458	Elevation	12.24	29.46	16	12.24	30.5	6	Constant Area - Circular
4181	WW-460	Elevation	5.75	14.084	9.67	5.75	17.5	5	Constant Area - Circular
9282	WW-469	Elevation	-3.16	19.31	1	-3.16	21.5	8	Constant Area - Circular
320	WW-471	Elevation	6.1	18.516	10	6.1	18.5	6	Constant Area - Circular
1705	WW-484	Elevation	0.6	17.612	4.13	0.6	18.3	8	Constant Area - Circular
1712	WW-485	Elevation	7.8	19.076	10.5	7.8	21.5	6	Constant Area - Circular
1752	WW-486	Elevation	11	18.567	13.75	11	21	6	Constant Area - Circular
9216	WW-488	Elevation	-7.5	15.772	-0.5	-7.5	17	8	Constant Area - Circular
9222	WW-491	Elevation	1	23.891	8	1	25.5	6	Constant Area - Circular

# **APPENDIX F – FIELD TEST PLAN**



#### MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT

#### WWCS MASTER PLAN UPDATE

#### FIELD TEST PLAN

DRAFT April 2015

#### MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT

#### WWCS MASTER PLAN UPDATE

#### FIELD TEST PLAN

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

2.0 INSTALLATION OF FLOW METERS	2
	. 2
3.0 INSTALLATION OF PRESSURE LOGGERS	.7
4.0 SCADA DATA	10

APPENDIX A Event Log

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Flow Meter Locations	6
Table 2	Temporary Pressure Logger Locations – Week 1	8
Table 3	Temporary Pressure Logger Locations – Week 2	9
Table 4	SCADA Data Requirements – North Service Area	10
Table 5	SCADA Data Requirements – Southwest Service Area	
Table 6	SCADA Data Requirements – Southeast Service Area	12

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Field Test Components – North Service Area	3
Figure 2	Field Test Components – Southeast Service Area	4
Figure 3	Field Test Components – Southwest Service Area	5

### 1.0 OVERVIEW

The purpose of the Field Test is to gather data needed to quantify the flow and pressure in various areas of the wastewater collection system. The Field Test will assist in calibrating the County's wastewater collection system hydraulic models. The County's Field Test includes the following components:

- Installation of temporary flow meters
- Installation of temporary pressure loggers
- Collection of SCADA data

The Field Test Plan outlines the specific data required, recommended locations for field measurements, and instructions for installing field equipment. During the Field Test it is important for County staff to track and document any operational changes, maintenance activities, and/or weather events that may affect readings. Table A-1, provided in the Appendix, can be used to keep track of such events.

The Field Test will be completed within a two week period, from **April 14-30, 2015**. The North and Southwest service areas will be tested during Week 1, and the Southeast service area will be tested during Week 2. A detailed schedule is provided below.

### Week 1 (North and Southwest Service Areas):

- Tuesday April 14, 2015:
  - Water Resource Technology (WRT) to install flow meters
  - County to install pressure loggers
- Wednesday April 15, 2015:
  - WRT to complete installation of flow meters, if necessary
- Tuesday April 21, 2015:
  - WRT to download data and remove some of the flow meters. Several flow meters will be left in place in the North and Southwest service areas for the entire two-week period. Refer to Section 2.0 for more details.
  - County to uninstall pressure loggers and provide to Carollo to download data.

#### Week 2 (Southeast Service Area):

- <u>Tuesday April 21, 2015 (if time permits after Week 1 flow meter removal):</u>
  - WRT to install flow meters

- Wednesday April 22, 2015:
  - WRT to complete installation of flow meters
  - County to install pressure loggers
- Thursday April 30, 2015:
  - WRT to remove all flow meters (all service areas)
  - County to remove all pressure loggers

## 2.0 INSTALLATION OF FLOW METERS

Temporary flowmeters will be installed by a subconsultant, Water Resource Technologies (WRT). Figures 1 through 3 show the locations where flow meters will be installed. Accessibility to County infrastructure will be required for the subconsultant to install the temporary flow meters. Coordination between all parties is required before the start of the monitoring to ensure that the field conditions are appropriate (accessibility, existence of a point of connection, minimum traffic disruption). The County, Carollo, and the subconsultant met on February 19, 2015 to review flow monitoring locations.

Table 1 provides a list of flow meter locations for each service area. Refer to Section 1.0 for a detailed schedule. The County shall provide at least one staff member to accompany the subconsultant and Carollo staff at the time of installation and removal. The County shall also be responsible for providing MOT as needed at each location.






Table 1	Flow Meter Locations Manatee County Government Field Test Plan					
Manhole Number	Location Description	Service Area	Flow Meter Type and Quantity	Pipe Diameter and Material		
	Week 1 (April 14-2	1, 2015)				
10287 ⁽¹⁾	Upstream of Tidevue 4 MLS (at the intersection of Franklin Ave and 17th Street)	North	1 Sigma ⁽²⁾	24" PVC		
9489 ⁽³⁾	Upstream of Lift Station N1C (behind property on 39th Street Circle East)	North	1 Sigma ⁽²⁾	24" PVC		
18814 ⁽¹⁾	Upstream of MLS 5 (at the intersection of 2nd Avenue and 44th Street)	SW	1 Sigma	21" VCP		
19799 ⁽¹⁾	Upstream of MLS 5 (on 43rd Street, east of Palm Harbor Boulevard)	SW	2 Sigmas	8" PVC & 8" VCP		
19462 ⁽¹⁾	Second manhole upstream of MLS 5 (east of MLS 5)	SW	1 Flodar	15" VCP		
6090 ⁽¹⁾	Upstream of MLS 1-D (Manhole at 51st Street W, just south of 15th Ave W).	SW	1 Sigma ⁽²⁾	30" DIP		
	Week 2 (April 21-3	0, 2015)				
12318 ⁽¹⁾	Upstream of Southeast MLS on The Masters Ave (west of the driveway to Willis Elementary School)	SE	1 Sigma	12" PVC		
12435 ⁽¹⁾	Manhole upstream of Heritage Harbor MLS (at intersection of River Heritage Blvd and Montauk Point Xing)	SE	2 Sigmas	20" PVC & 15" PVC		
19950	Upstream of Pope Road MLS (Pope Road and 44th Avenue East)	SE	1 Flodar	27" PVC		
<ul> <li>Notes: <ul> <li>(1) County to provide MOT at these locations.</li> <li>(2) These flow meters will be left in place for the entire 2-week testing period. All other Week 1 flow meters will be removed at the end of Week 1 and transferred to the Week 2 locations.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						

(3) County to coordinate with homeowners for access to manhole.

## 3.0 INSTALLATION OF PRESSURE LOGGERS

Pressure loggers will be temporarily placed in the field at locations shown in Table 2 (Week 1) and Table 3 (Week 2). Locations are also shown on Figures 1 through 3.

The County will be responsible for:

- Installing and removing the pressure loggers,
- Recording the pressure logger tag numbers and elevation relative to the ground for each pressure logger installed (see Tables 2 and 3),
- Documenting any operational, maintenance, or weather event that occurs during the testing period that may affect readings (See Table A-1).

Each pressure logger has a unique tag number. It is important to keep a record of which logger is used at each location. The elevation of each pressure logger (relative to the ground) must also be recorded for each location. Tables 2 and 3 may be used to keep track of the logger tag number and elevation. The County may also choose to mark the tag numbers on Figures 1 through 3.

The following considerations should be followed when installing the pressure loggers:

- Pressure loggers shall be installed on air release valve connectors, at selected locations.
- Fittings and/or adapters may be required to install the devices (fittings have a ¼" NPT thread with 5/8" Hex).
- The device shall be accessible from grade and minimal equipment should be necessary for the installation by County staff (pipe wrench, safety equipment).
- Pressure loggers shall be placed in valve boxes or in other places where flooding is not likely to occur.
- Where a pressure logger is accessible to public, a 6-in or 8-in diameter PVC pipe shall be placed surrounding the device such that it is not visible or subject to vandalism.

Table 2       Pressure Logger Locations – Week 1         Manatee County Government       Field Test Plan						
ARV UWOW Number	ARV Location Description	Carollo Pressure Logger Tag Number ⁽¹⁾	Pressure Logger Elevation (feet) ⁽²⁾			
North Service	Area					
N/A	N1C (RTU #546) discharge piping (Erie Road just south of Desoto Drive)					
30304	8-inch pipe at Fort Hamer Rd and Old Tampa Rd					
30302 (or 30523)	16-inch pipe on US 301 at 121st Avenue East (Fort Hamer Road and Britt Road)					
119228 ⁽³⁾	10-inch pipe (River Wilderness FM) along Old Tampa Rd, west of Chin Rd					
33495	20-inch pipe on Erie Road, between Erie Lane and 55th Street East)					
36200 ⁽⁴⁾	12-inch pipe on US 41 between Buckeye Road and Moccasin Wallow Road					
36164 ⁽⁴⁾	16-inch pipe on 69th Street, east of I-75					
30598 or 30599	16-inch pipe on Erie Rd, west of Sawgrass Rd					
Southwest Se	rvice Area					
31872	SR 684 at the west end of the bridge					
42294 ⁽⁴⁾	8-inch pipe on 1st Avenue West, just west of 75th Street West					
43139(4)	42-inch pipe on 53rd Ave West, between 42nd Street West and 36th Street West					
39501	30-inch pipe on 34th Street West, north of 59th Avenue West					
46899 ⁽⁴⁾	16-inch pipe on 9th St E, at 37th Ave Dr					
<ul> <li><u>Notes:</u> <ul> <li>(1) Each pressure logger has a unique tag number. It is important to keep a record of which pressure logger is used at each location. This column is to be filled out by the County to document the pressure logger tag numbers used at each location. This table should be completed and returned to Carollo once pressure loggers are installed.</li> <li>(2) At the time of installation, the County shall record the elevation of the ARV in relation to the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>						

(2) At the time of installation, the county shall record the clovation of the ground (height above ground level).
(3) This ARV is not shown in GIS. ARV location verified by County staff.
(4) This ARV located in a manhole.

Table 3	Pressure Logger Locations – Week 2 Manatee County Government Field Test Plan		
ARV UWOW Number	ARV Location Description	Carollo Pressure Logger Tag Number ⁽¹⁾	Pressure Logger Elevation (feet) ⁽²⁾
Southeast Se	rvice Area		
30266	16-inch pipe at Lana Road and Gillis Road (just south of SR 64)		
30246	16-inch pipe at Lana Road and Gillis Road (just south of SR 64)		
44811	12-inch PVC on SR 64, just east of Upper Manatee River Road		
35382	12-inch pipe on SR 64 between Pope Road		
(or 35381)	and Rye Road East		
74342	20-inch pipe (FM 39A) on Caruso Road at 45th Avenue Drive East		
44439	16-inch piping on Lakewood Ranch Boulevard just south of Malachite Drive		
30405	12-inch piping on Lakewood Ranch Boulevard at Malachite Drive		
52000	16-inch pipe on Lockwood Ridge Rd just south of 63rd Ave East (FM 41A in median)		
30293	10-inch pipe at Lockwood Ridge Rd and Sandner Dr (just north of Tallevast Road)		
71360	10-inch pipe west of Honore Ave, north of Glen Eagles Xing (in FPL right of way)		
44428	12-inch pipe on Lakewood Ranch Blvd just south of The Masters Avenue		
30335	24-inch pipe on SR 70, west of Lorraine		
(or 30336)	Road		
30341	16-inch pipe on Lorraine Road at 70th Terrace East		
Notes: (1) Each pressu pressure log document th	ure logger has a unique tag number. It is important t gger is used at each location. This column is to be fi ne pressure logger tag numbers used at each locatio	to keep a record o lled out by the Co on. This table sho	f which unty to uld be

completed and returned to Carollo once pressure loggers are installed.(2) At the time of installation, the County shall record the elevation of the ARV in relation to the ground (height above ground level).

#### 4.0 SCADA DATA

Tables 4 through 6 outline the lift stations in each service area where SCADA data will be required. SCADA data will be required for the following dates:

- North and Southwest Service Areas: April 14 April 21, 2015 •
- Southeast Service Area: April 21 April 30, 2015
- Locations where temporary flow meters will be in place for the entire 2-week testing period shall have derived flow SCADA data collected for the entire 2-week testing period (April 14, 2015 through April 30, 2015). These locations include:
  - Tidevue 4 MLS
  - N1C
  - MLS 1-D

Carollo will notify the County of any changes to the field testing schedule.

Table 4SCADA Data Requirements – North Service AreaManatee County GovernmentField Test Plan						
Location Name	RTU Number	Pressure	Derived Flow ⁽¹⁾	Metered Flow	Rainfall	Wet Well Level
North WRF	-			$\checkmark$	✓	
Tidevue 4 Master	533	<b>√</b> (2)	<b>√</b> (3)		✓	$\checkmark$
N1B Master	549	✓		$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$
Artesan Lakes Master	838		$\checkmark$		✓	$\checkmark$
Colony Cove 6	522		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
River Wilderness 4	532		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Memphis Road	534		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
N1C	546		<b>√</b> (3)			$\checkmark$
Port Manatee 3 (N1-G)	563		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Fairway Imperial	583		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Twin Rivers 1	595		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Notes:	·	•				

notes.

(1) Each location shall have "high speed" data recorded for one day during the SCADA data collection period. The "high speed" data can be collected on any day as long as it is collected within the field testing period for this service area.

(2) A pressure sensor is scheduled to be installed. Provide SCADA data if available at time of field testing.

(3) County shall provide derived flow for the entire 2-week testing period (April 14 through April 30).

Table 5SCADA Data Requirements – Southwest Service AreaManatee County GovernmentField Test Plan						
Location Name	RTU Number	Pressure	Derived Flow ⁽¹⁾	Metered Flow	Rainfall	Wet Well Level
Southwest WRF	-			~	~	
#5 Master	071	✓	~		~	✓
27-A Master	138	✓	~		✓	✓
12-A Master	139	✓	~		~	✓
1-M Master	203	✓		~	~	✓
1-D Master	237	✓	<b>√</b> ⁽³⁾		~	✓
13-A Master	408	<b>√</b> (2)		~	~	✓
#1	054		~			✓
#11	064		~			✓
Bayshore Yacht Basin	101		~			✓
El Conquistador 1	104		~			✓
31-A	126		~			✓
29-A	129		~			✓
1-A	135		~			✓
10-D	220		~			✓
12-D	221		~			✓
9-D	226		~			✓
36-A	241		~			✓
30-AA	248		~			✓
Samoset 1	308		~			✓
26-A	418		~			~
14-A	434		~			~
2-A	439		~			$\checkmark$
16-A	440		~			✓

Notes:

(1) Each location shall have "high speed" data recorded for one day during the SCADA data collection period. The "high speed" data can be collected on any day as long as it is collected within the field testing period for this service area.

(2) A pressure sensor is scheduled to be installed. Provide SCADA data if available at time of field testing.

(3) County shall provide derived flow for the entire 2-week testing period (April 14 through April 29).

Table 6       SCADA Data Requirements – Southeast Service Area         Manatee County Government       Field Test Plan						
Location Name	RTU Number	Pressure	Derived Flow ⁽¹⁾	Metered Flow	Rainfall	Wet Well Level
Southeast WRF	-			√(2)	✓	
Tara 20 Master	323	✓	$\checkmark$		✓	✓
Lakewood Ranch Master	362	✓	$\checkmark$		~	✓
39-A Master	428	✓		✓	~	✓
Heritage Harbour Master	640	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		✓	✓
428 Booster Station	666	$\checkmark$			~	✓
Southeast Master Lift Station	677	<b>√</b> (3)	✓		√	~
Pope Road Master	683	✓	$\checkmark$		√	✓
Manatee Palms 1	313		$\checkmark$			✓
Braden Woods (#18)	326		$\checkmark$			✓
Rosedale 1	327		$\checkmark$			✓
Missionary Village	329		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Upper Manatee River Rd.	330		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Mill Creek 1	350		~			$\checkmark$
Rye Road School	355		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Lakewood Town Center 1	379		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
State Road 70	381		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
40-A	429		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Palm Aire 3	443		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
41-A	454		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Sabal Cove	470		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Garden Lakes	475		$\checkmark$			✓
Tara 2	497		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Lakewood Ranch Riverwalk	602		$\checkmark$			~
Lakewood Ranch Re- Pump	603		✓			✓
Greyhawk Landings 1	618		$\checkmark$			✓
Mill Creek 6	642		$\checkmark$			✓
Braden River High School	649		$\checkmark$			✓
Legacy 10	660		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Notes:						

(1) Each location shall have "high speed" data recorded for one day during the SCADA data collection period. The "high speed" data can be collected on any day as long as it is collected within the field testing period for this service area.

(2) SCADA data is required for both 30-inch influent force mains.

(3) A pressure sensor is scheduled to be installed. Provide SCADA data if available at time of field testing.

# **APPENDIX A – EVENT LOG**

Table A-1	Lift Station Event Log Manatee County Government Field Test Plan						
Lift Station RTU	Event (e.g. SCADA System Failure, Overflow, Pump Failure, Cleaning, Temporary Shut-off, etc.)	Date/Time Duration (hr-hr)					

## **APPENDIX G – CALIBRATION RESULTS**

- Base Calibration Scenario
- Wet Weather Calibration Scenario

Southwest County Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Update

## **APPENDIX G – BASE CALIBRATION SCENARIO**









1M Flow from SCADA Pressure-Pump Speed 1M Simulated Flow







27-A Flow from SCADA Pressure-Pump Speed







13-A 24-h Average Flow from SCADA Pressure-Pump Speed 13-A Flow from SCADA Pressure-Pump Speed



#### **Daily & Derived Flow Calibration:**

#### **SWWRF Influent SCADA Flow** vs.

**SWWRF Influent Simulated Flow** 



#### MLS 1-M Basin:



1M Flow from SCADA Pressure-Pump Speed vs.

**MLS #5 Total Metered Gravity Flow** 



#11 BEACH 064 SW Derived Flow





#### MLS1-D Basin:

1D Flow from SCADA Pressure-Pump Speed vs. **1D Simulated Outflow** 



#### vs. 10D 220SW Derived Flow 10D 220SW Simulated Flow









#### MLS 12-A Basin:

12-A Flow from SCADA Pressure-Pump Speed

12-A Simulated Flow







439 Derived Flow Calibration



## vs. 1A 135SC Derived Flow 1A 135SC Simulated Flow



## MLS 27-A Basin:









#### vs. 26A 418SC Derived Flow 26A 418SC Simulated Flow









**Simulated flow for all lift stations with derived flow data within the 27-A basin are higher than the derived flow, while the simulated flow for 27-A is lower than derived flow. Since 27-A flow data is based on pump pressure and speed, it was deemed less reliable than the SCADA derived flow from the larger lift stations within the 27-A basin.





#### vs. 14A 434SE Derived Flow 14A 434SE Simulated Flow





## Other Lift Stations with Derived Flow:



#### vs. BAYSHORE Y.B. 101SC Derived Flow BAYSHORE Y.B. 101SC Simulated Flow



*This lift station is known to have higher flows, especially during rainfall events. The derived flow during the calibration period was considered inaccurate. We recommend the County install a flowmeter (temporary or permanent) in order to obtain more accurate flow data (including I&I) for this critical lift station.













#### vs. MLS 13-A FM SCADA Pressure MLS 13-A FM Simulated Pressure











Southwest County Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Update

**APPENDIX G – Wet Weather Calibration Scenario** 

#### Wet Weather Calibration Scenario **Hourly Flow Calibration:**

SWWRF SCADA Flow SWWRF Simulated Flow vs.



Wet Weather Calibration vs. Flow from SCADA Pump Speed and Pressure



#### Daily & Derived Flow Calibration:





MLS 1D RTU 237 SCADA Flow vs. MLS 1D RTU 237 Simulated Flow





MLS 13A RTU 408 Derived Flow vs. MLS 13A RTU 408 Simulated Flow







vs. RTU 226 SCADA Flow RTU 226 Simulated Flow







vs. RTU 104 Derived Flow RTU 104 Simulated Flow







vs. RTU 221 Derived Flow RTU 221 Simulated Flow



Southwest County Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Update

APPENDIX H –LIFT STATION PERFORMANCE CRITERIA EVALUATION

# Existing Lift Station Capacity and Wet Well Volume Performance Criteria Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update

Manatee County													
		Lift Statio	n Capacity		Wet Well Volume								
Lift Station RTU	Lift Station Firm Capacity (gpm) ⁽¹⁾	Max. Simulated Flow 2015 LOS Scenario (gpm)	Max. Simulated Flow -2015 Wet Weather Scenario (gpm)	Was Firm Capacity Ever Exceeded? (LOS, Wet, or Both)	Wet Well Volume between Pump Off and Influent Invert (gallons)	Required Wet Well Volume (gallons) ⁽²⁾	Does Wet Well Size Meet or Exceed Criteria?						
Master Lift S	Master Lift Stations												
71	3,660	843	1,534	No									
138	5,900	2,675	4,218	No									
139	6,000	918	3,062	No		N/A							
203	6,786	1,661	3,485	No									
237	5,218	3,905	2,562	NO									
Satellite Lift	Stations	0,000	4,400										
54	200	50	147	No	1 060	1 200	No						
55	280	3	5	NO	1,060	1,200	NO						
56	200	25	32	No	913	800	Yes						
57	400	59	87	No	2,974	1,600	Yes						
58	225	67	110	No	1,818	900	Yes						
59 60	275	58	113	No	795	1,100	No						
60	<u></u> 50	7	37	NO	1,042	200	Yes						
62	800	161	367	No	5,076	3,200	Yes						
63	200	31	74	No	950	800	Yes						
64	1,000	379	708	No	4,907	4,000	Yes						
65	600	94	208	No	2,260	2,400	No						
67	250	38	55 88	NO	1 057	1 000	Yes						
68	320	42	99	No	1,880	1,280	Yes						
74 ⁽³⁾	40	39	67	Yes (Wet)	308	160	Yes						
101 ⁽³⁾	950	1,295	1,361	Yes (Both)	7,918	3,800	Yes						
102 (4)	100	7	41	No	-269	400	No						
103	108	32	32	No	210	432	No						
104	800	210	505	No	1,975	3,200	No						
105	80	9	25 17	NO	351	320	Yes						
100	75	8	24	No	676	300	Yes						
108 ⁽³⁾	50	141	125	Yes (Both)	934	200	Yes						
109	105	17	14	No	155	420	No						
110	125	4	4	No	647	500	Yes						
111	150	23	19	No	274	600	No						
112	100	50	41	NO	934	400	Yes						
114	325	80	67	No	1,218	1,300	No						
115	190	28	23	No	347	760	No						
116 ⁽³⁾	190	214	237	Yes (Both)	1,691	760	Yes						
117	140	52	51	No	22	560	No						
118	150	81	84	No	-482	600	No						
120 (4)	300	17	15	No	-127	1,200	NO						
122	100	20	19	No	2.749	400	Yes						
123	100	3	24	No	611	400	Yes						
124	275	29	56	No	1,248	1,100	Yes						
125	392	104	87	No	1,140	1,568	No						
126	1,542	640 51	/14	No	4,145	6,168	No						
128	128	2	2	No	360	512	No						
129	455	386	357	No	5,513	1,820	Yes						
130	125	47	39	No	913	500	Yes						
132	350	96	153	No	825	1,400	No						
133	125	1	2	No	70	500	No						
134 135 ⁽³⁾	100	2	9	NO Voc (Wet)	1,065	400	Yes						
136 (3)	037	322	098	Yes (Wet)	2,009	2,048	No						
137 (3)	280	332	327	Yes (Wet)	1,407	1 120	Yes						
137	200	22	321	res (wei)	1,590	1,120	165						

Notes: (1) Firm capacity is total pumping capacity with largest pump out of service. Based on data provided by the County (LS spreadsheet provided in Appendix D). (2) Required wet well volume (in gallons) is based on four times the firm capacity (in gpm). (3) Firm pump capacity exceeded in 2020 Wet Weather scenario. (4) Data provided by County yielded a negative wet well volume (Pump off elevation above the influent pipe invert). Pump on/off elevations and/or influent inverts were adjusted in model. Actual elevations should be confirmed to determine actual capacity.
#### Existing Lift Station Capacity and Wet Well Volume Performance Criteria Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County

		Lift Statio	n Capacity		Wet Well Volume				
Lift Station RTU	Lift Station Firm Capacity (gpm) ⁽¹⁾	Max. Simulated Flow 2015 LOS Scenario (gpm)	Max. Simulated Flow -2015 Wet Weather Scenario (gpm)	Was Firm Capacity Ever Exceeded? (LOS, Wet, or Both)	Wet Well Volume between Pump Off and Influent Invert (gallons)	Required Wet Well Volume (gallons) ⁽²⁾	Does Wet Well Size Meet or Exceed Criteria?		
140	150	11	9	No	338	600	No		
141 ⁽³⁾	48	56	59	Yes (Both)	700	192	Yes		
142	150	63	52	No	522	600	No		
143	180	9	7	No	996	720	Yes		
145	700	29	53	No	1,192	2,800	No		
146	126	50	42	No	600	504	Yes		
147	210	20	/8	NO	763	840	NO		
140	175	13	13	NO No (Mar)	555	700	NO		
149	35	24	39	Yes (Wet)	411	140	Yes		
150	120	28	23	No	430	480	No		
160 ⁽³⁾	0	20	23	Ves (Both)	450	400	Ves		
161	31	4	13	No	105	124	No		
201	100	32	59	No	656	400	Yes		
202	200	35	56	No	988	800	Yes		
204	240	53	88	No	725	960	No		
205	275	78	118	No	882	1,100	No		
206	180	43	86	No	1,136	720	Yes		
207	165	59	63	No	482	660	No		
208	320	6	29	No	1,292	1,280	Yes		
209	108	2	2	NO	282	432	NO		
210	200	54	74	No	1,015	800	Yes		
212	100	54	53	No	171	400	No		
213	180	177	131	No	846	720	Yes		
214	20	11	17	No	136	80	Yes		
215	26	7	12	No	179	104	Yes		
216	200	34	50	No	958	800	Yes		
217 (3)	207	172	298	Yes (Wet)	1,396	828	Yes		
218	600	137	199	No	2,669	2,400	Yes		
219	250	128	150	No	1,079	1,000	Yes		
220	400	183	247	NO	3,967	2,000	res No		
221	100	4	8	No	656	400	Yes		
223	275	34	60	No	584	1,100	No		
224	80	7	18	No	742	320	Yes		
225	750	130	176	No	2,451	3,000	No		
226	1,000	623	939	No	3,962	4,000	No		
227	105	9	13	No	262	420	No		
228	98	19	26	No	292	392	No		
229	100	24	38	NO	1,093	400	Yes		
23U 221	465	220	296	NO	4,068	1,860	Tes		
232	120	25	37	No	931	512	Yes		
233	170	114	152	No	1,918	680	Yes		
234	134	27	41	No	2,238	536	Yes		
235	184	44	72	No	1,079	736	Yes		
236	500	171	202	No	1,918	2,000	No		
238 ⁽³⁾	400	204	477	Yes (Wet)	1,598	1,600	No		
239	963	374	898	No	3,397	3,852	No		
240	250	26	151	No	973	1,000	No		
241	1,719	571	1,508	No	3,535	6,876	No		
242	<u>کې</u> 125	4	<u>۲</u>	NO	761	352	Tes		
243	80	30	55	No	952	320	Yes		
246	124	30	45	No	749	496	Yes		
247	70	4	6	No	265	280	No		
248	1,000	242	209	No	1,466	4,000	No		
249	200	30	44	No	2,451	800	Yes		
250	146	23	33	No	374	584	No		
251	122	14	21	No	863	488	Yes		

 201
 122
 14
 21
 100
 005
 400
 100

 Notes:
 (1) Firm capacity is total pumping capacity with largest pump out of service. Based on data provided by the County (LS spreadsheet provided in Appendix D).
 (2) Required wet well volume (in gallons) is based on four times the firm capacity (in gpm).
 (3) Firm pump capacity exceeded in 2020 Wet Weather scenario.
 (4) Data provided by County yielded a negative wet well volume (Pump off elevation above the influent pipe invert). Pump on/off elevations and/or influent inverts were adjusted in model. Actual elevations should be confirmed to determine actual capacity.

#### Existing Lift Station Capacity and Wet Well Volume Performance Criteria Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update Manatee County

		Lift Statio	n Capacity			Wet Well Volume	
Lift Station RTU	Lift Station Firm Capacity (gpm) ⁽¹⁾	Max. Simulated Flow 2015 LOS Scenario (gpm)	Max. Simulated Flow -2015 Wet Weather Scenario (gpm)	Was Firm Capacity Ever Exceeded? (LOS, Wet, or Both)	Wet Well Volume between Pump Off and Influent Invert (gallons)	Required Wet Well Volume (gallons) ⁽²⁾	Does Wet Well Size Meet or Exceed Criteria?
257 ⁽³⁾	35	32	61	Yes (Wet)	1,836	140	Yes
258 ⁽³⁾	8	23	0	Yes (LOS)	553	32	Yes
259 ⁽⁴⁾	8	3	4	No	461	32	Yes
260	705	98	81	No	3,662	2,820	Yes
262	20	3	5	No	351	80	Yes
263	118	12	24	No	596	472	Yes
264	30	1	2	No	594	120	Yes
265	30	1	2	No	5,287	120	Yes
298	205	23	65	NO	761	820	NO
202 ⁽³⁾	250	4	19		032	1,000	NO
302 (3)	480	156	552	Yes (Wet)	1,930	1,920	Yes
303 (7	525	273	/14	Yes (Wet)	2,781	2,100	tes
304	300	56	163	No	1 608	1,240	Vos
308	1,150	770	981	No	4,991	4,600	Yes
318	200	50	114	No	1,774	800	Yes
319 ⁽³⁾	133	220	231	Yes (Both)	1,256	532	Yes
320	206	20	42	No	571	824	No
322	100	10	15	No	1,180	400	Yes
333	125	37	46	No	1,748	500	Yes
334 ⁽⁴⁾	200	42	119	No	-301	800	No
336	75	37	46	No	634	300	Yes
338	342	128	174	No	-94	1,368	No
339	250	144	166	No	132	1,000	No
340	100	64	77	No	381	400	No
341 (1)	450	303	340	No	-4,643	1,800	No
342 (3)	85	163	209	Yes (Both)	282	340	No
343 (5)	35	36	58	Yes (Both)	53	140	No
361	125	8	17	No	317	500	No
393	220	72	89	NO	2,801	880	Yes
401 (4)	35	20	23	No	2,005	1 0 20	No
401	200	14	270	NO Voc (Wot)	417	1,020	Voc
402	250	24	56	No	042	620	Vos
404 (3)	195	56	130	No	1 018	780	Yes
404	195	30	105	No	1,910	736	No
406	250	108	105	No	-3	1 000	Voc
407	150	27	35	No	245	600	No
409	200	36	51	No	470	800	No
410	350	199	200	No	2,312	1,400	Yes
411	250	27	23	No	3,799	1,000	Yes
412	105	7	53	No	249	420	No
413	286	29	36	No	1,628	1,144	Yes
414	115	22	41	No	282	460	No
415	200	113	121	NO	240	800	NO
417	120	3	24	No	316	480	No
418	780	187	356	No	1,385	3,120	No
419	170	11	13	No	97	680	No
420	220	30	35	No	765	880	No
421	200	12	36	No	462	800	No
422	100	24	57	No	266	400	No
423	150	8	18	No	166	600	No
424	250	89	90	NO	1,244	1,000	Yes
425	525	01	42	No	90 <i>1</i>	2 100	No
431	200	23	35	No	1.057	800	Yes
432	550	138	146	No	1,939	2,200	No
433	651	229	241	No	2,908	2,604	Yes
434	1,400	886	921	No	4,188	5,600	No

 434
 1,400
 886
 921
 No
 4,188
 5,600
 No

 Notes:
 (1) Firm capacity is total pumping capacity with largest pump out of service. Based on data provided by the County (LS spreadsheet provided in Appendix D).
 (2) Required wet well volume (in gallons) is based on four times the firm capacity (in gpm).
 (3) Firm pump capacity exceeded in 2020 Wet Weather scenario.
 (4) Data provided by County yielded a negative wet well volume (Pump off elevation above the influent pipe invert). Pump on/off elevations and/or influent inverts were adjusted in model. Actual elevations should be confirmed to determine actual capacity.

#### Existing Lift Station Capacity and Wet Well Volume Performance Criteria Southwest WWCS Master Plan Update

Manatee Co	unty				-		
		Lift Statio	Wet Well VolumeMax. Simulated Flow -2015 Wet Weather Scenario (gpm)Was Firm Capacity Ever Exceeded? (LOS, Wet, or Both)Wet Well Volume between Pump Off and Influent Invert (gallons)Required Wet Well Volume (gallons)Does Wet Meet or Crited83No134700No426Yes (Wet)677900No400Yes (Wet)831720Y29No975480Y874No3,6105,732No647Yes (Wet)2,4751,380Y205Yes (Both)639440Y118Yes (Both)579264Y19No634300Y15No2,296700Y15No945160Y3No60340Y28No1,7221,040Y00Ht14250000Y				
Lift Station RTU	Lift Station Firm Capacity (gpm) ⁽¹⁾	Max. Simulated Flow 2015 LOS Scenario (gpm)	Max. Simulated Flow -2015 Wet Weather Scenario (gpm)	Was Firm Capacity Ever Exceeded? (LOS, Wet, or Both)	Wet Well Volume between Pump Off and Influent Invert (gallons)	Required Wet Well Volume (gallons) ⁽²⁾	Does Wet Well Size Meet or Exceed Criteria?
435	175	17	83	No	134	700	No
436 ⁽³⁾	225	196	426	Yes (Wet)	677	900	No
437 ⁽³⁾	180	77	400	Yes (Wet)	831	720	Yes
438	120	6	29	No	975	480	Yes
439	1,433	192	874	No	3,610	5,732	No
440 ⁽³⁾	345	129	647	Yes (Wet)	2,475	1,380	Yes
457 ⁽³⁾	110	209	205	Yes (Both)	639	440	Yes
458 ⁽³⁾	66	130	118	Yes (Both)	579	264	Yes
460	75	1	19	No	634	300	Yes
469	150	46	143	No	692	600	Yes
471	115	19	18	No	550	460	Yes
484	175	35	55	No	2,296	700	Yes
485	40	7	15	No	945	160	Yes
486	10	0	3	No	603	40	Yes
488	260	21	28	No	1,722	1,040	Yes
491	230	15	23	No	1,375	920	Yes

 491
 230
 15
 23
 No
 1,375
 920
 Yes

 Notes:
 (1) Firm capacity is total pumping capacity with largest pump out of service. Based on data provided by the County (LS spreadsheet provided in Appendix D).
 (2) Required wet well volume (in gallons) is based on four times the firm capacity (in gpm).
 (3) Firm pump capacity exceeded in 2020 Wet Weather scenario.
 (4) Data provided by County yielded a negative wet well volume (Pump off elevation above the influent pipe invert). Pump on/off elevations and/or influent inverts were adjusted in model. Actual elevations should be confirmed to determine actual capacity.

Southwest County Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Update

APPENDIX I – EXCERPT OF FY2015 - 2019 WW CIP

### MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT Fiscal Year 2015 - 2019 Capital Improvement Program Sources and Uses of Funds Plan Summary by Category

Wastewater									
Source of Funds	Actual	Budget	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	Future	Total Budget
All Sources	51,936,453	92,495,249	0	0	0	0	0		92,495,249
Debt Proceeds	0	0	25,020,500	23,429,250	9,172,250	16,069,000	15,090,000		0 88,781,000
Facility Investment Fees	0	0	4,021,000	9,423,750	3,318,750	5,173,500	1,000,000		0 22,937,000
Grants	0	0	1,350,000	1,410,500	0	0	0		2,760,500
Rates	0	0	17,698,350	14,676,425	3,873,250	5,854,200	10,393,000		0 52,495,225
Total Source of Funds	51,936,453	92,495,249	48,089,850	48,939,925	16,364,250	27,096,700	26,483,000		259,468,974

Use of Funds	Actual	Budget	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	Future	Total Budget
Wastewater Collections	2,724,674	4,140,836	1,950,000	5,225,000	1,250,000	5,000,000	0	0	17,565,836
Wastewater Growth Related Booster Statio	6,594,141	6,991,772	567,000	3,070,000	556,500	4,613,500	7,023,000	0	22,821,772
Wastewater Restore/Rehab	4,421,685	11,023,939	17,090,550	23,465,425	5,418,250	4,568,700	5,000,000	C	66,566,864
Wastewater Transportation Related	114,356	988,984	1,067,800	0	0	0	0	C	2,056,784
Wastewater Treatment	38,081,597	69,349,718	27,414,500	17,179,500	9,139,500	12,914,500	14,460,000	C	150,457,718
Total Use of Funds	51,936,453	92,495,249	48,089,850	48,939,925	16,364,250	27,096,700	26,483,000	C	259,468,974



Wastewater									Total
	Actual	Budget	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	Future	Budget
Wastewater Collections									
1 Force Main 41A Redirect to Tara 20	0	0	0	0	1,250,000	5,000,000	0	0	6,250,000
(WW00980 / Existing)									
2 Force Main Tara Boulevard (6079880 /	888,538	1,640,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,640,000
Existing)									
3 Parrish Village Force Main and Master	1,281,897	1,281,898	150,000	4,500,000	0	0	0	0	5,931,898
Lift Station (6069180 / Existing)									
4 SR 64 from Carlton Arms to I-75 -	524,744	713,938	0	0	0	0	0	0	713,938
Sewer Line Relocation (6059980 /									
Existing)									
5 Tara 20 Force Main Parallel to Lena	29,495	505,000	1,800,000	725,000	0	0	0	0	3,030,000
Road (6079881 / Existing)									
Wastewater Collections	2,724,674	4,140,836	1,950,000	5,225,000	1,250,000	5,000,000	0	0	17,565,836

Wastewater									Total
	Actual	Budget	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	Future	Budget
Wastewater Growth Related Booster Stations									
6 North Water Reclamation Facility	6,572,559	6,931,772	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,931,772
Expansion, Phase I (6011283 / Existing)									
7 North Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	0	0	0	252,000	1,430,000	0	1,682,000
Headworks Second Grit Removal System (WW01245 / Requested)									
8 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	0	0	0	179,000	1,033,000	0	1,212,000
Dedicated Plan Drain Station	·	·	·			·			
(WW01248 / Requested)	d	d	d	d	d	070.000	4 5 40 000	d	4.040.000
9 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	0	0	0	273,000	1,540,000	0	1,813,000
Activated Sludge & Waste									
(WW01249 / Requested)									
10 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	0	0	556,500	3,576,500	3,020,000	0	7,153,000
Storage Lakes & Pump Back Station					,	, ,	, ,		, ,
Improvements (WW01250 /									
Requested)	1		1				1		
11 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	21,582	60,000	567,000	3,070,000	0	0	0	0	3,697,000
Class V Recharge Well & Aquifer									
Storage Recovery Well (6069081 /									
12 Southwest Water Reclamation Eacility	0	0	0	0	0	333.000	0	0	333.000
New Roof Covering Bleach Tanks	9	U	9	0	0	333,000	0	9	333,000
(WW01256 / Requested)									
Wastewater Growth Related Booster	6,594,141	6,991,772	567,000	3,070,000	556,500	4,613,500	7,023,000	0	22,821,772
Stations					-				

Wastewater									Total
	Actual	Budget	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	Future	Budget
Wastewater Restore/Rehab									
13 51st Street Gravity Main Sewer	40,926	170,000	1,800,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,970,000
Replacement (6035782 / Existing)									
14 Colony Cove 1 and 2 - Gravity Sewer	120,286	982,715	350,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,332,715
Rehabilitation (6005680 / Existing)								-	
15 End of Service Life Collection Line	0	0	0	0	2,500,000	3,000,000	3,500,000	0	9,000,000
Replacement (WW01259 / Requested)	a		a	a	a	a	4 500 000		4 500 000
16 End of Service Life Lift Stations	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,500,000	0	1,500,000
Replacement & Generators									
(WWU1258 / Requested)			215 000	4 500 000			0	0	4.045.000
(WW00975 / Existing)	0	0	315,000	4,500,000	U	U	U	U	4,815,000
18 Force Main 13A Rehabilitation	0	0	1,060,000	4,400,000	875,000	0	0	0	6,335,000
(WW00976 / Existing)	I	I	I	I	I	I			
19 Force Main 15D (6022381 / Existing)	24,682	120,000	475,000	0	0	0	0	0	595,000
20 Force Main 17A Replacement	0	0	64,000	319,000	0	0	0	0	383,000
(WW01036 / Existing)	I	I	I	I	I	I			
21 Force Main 18M Rehabilitation	46,511	750,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	750,000
(6083780 / Existing)	I	I	I	I	I	I			
22 Force Main 1A Whitfield Subdivision	1,543,183	2,241,099	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,241,099
(6052280 / Existing)									
23 Force Main 1D Rehabilitation	237,983	470,000	2,350,000	3,000,000	0	0	0	0	5,820,000
(6035781 / Existing)									
24 Force Main 1M Rehabilitation	112,656	800,000	2,750,000	2,715,000	0	0	0	0	6,265,000
(6085780 / Existing)									
25 Force Main 1MA Replacement	19,548	204,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	204,000
(6085980 / Existing)									
26 Force Main 23A Replacement	0	0	328,000	0	0	0	0	0	328,000
(WW01037 / Existing)									
27 Force Main 27A Rehabilitation	0	0	340,000	1,700,000	0	0	0	0	2,040,000
(WW00978 / Existing)		100.000							
28 Force Main 27A from 51st Street West	74,027	100,000	3,000,000	0	0	0	0	0	3,100,000
to the Southwest Water Reclamation									
Facility (6082980 / Existing)			105 000	500.000					005.000
29 Force Main 31A Replacement	0	0	105,000	520,000	0	0	0	0	625,000
(VVV01038 / Existing)	d		070 000	d	d	d	d	d	070.000
SU FUICE IVIAIN 35A REPLACEMENT	0	0	210,000	0	0	0	U	U	276,000
(VVVUIU39 / EXISTING)	d		924.000	2 500 000	670.000	4			E 004 000
Si Foice Main S Kenabilitation (Anna Maria Jaland) (M/M00074 / Evicting)	0	U	034,000	3,500,000	670,000	0	U	U	5,004,000
wana isianu) (www009747 Existing)				261					

Wastewater									Total
	Actual	Budget	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	Future	Budget
32 Force Main Replacement 34A - 26th	1,448,593	2,382,683	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,382,683
Street West from Heron Way to 53rd					· · · · ·				
Avenue West (6081280 / Existing)						r	r	r	
33 Force Main Spanish Park	0	0	126,000	0	0	0	0	0	126,000
Replacement (WW01040 / Existing)									
34 Force Main Windmill Village	0	0	204,000	0	0	0	0	0	204,000
Replacement (WW01041 / Existing)									
35 MLS 12A Emergency Generator	0	0	0	457,750	0	0	0	0	457,750
Replacement (WW01225 / Requested)	T								
36 MLS 12A Pumps & Variable	0	0	0	0	0	522,900	0	0	522,900
Frequency Drive Replacement									
(WW01226 / Requested)									
37 MLS 12A Wet Well Rehab &	0	0	588,250	0	0	0	0	0	588,250
Dimminutor Replacement (WW01227 /									
Requested)									
38 MLS 13A Emergency Generator	0	0	392,175	0	0	0	0	0	392,175
Replacement (WW01228 / Requested)									
39 MLS 1D Wet Well Rehab &	0	0	0	588,250	0	0	0	0	588,250
Dimminutor Replacement (WW01229 /									
Requested)									
40 MLS 1M Emergency Generator	0	0	457,750	0	0	0	0	0	457,750
Replacement (WW01230 / Requested)									
41 MLS 1M Rehabilitation (6060783 /	0	645,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	645,000
Existing)									
42 MLS 27A (6060782 / Existing)	585,539	587,442	0	0	0	0	0	0	587,442
43 MLS 27A Emergency Generator	0	0	457,750	0	0	0	0	0	457,750
Replacement (WW01231 / Requested)		I	I	I		I			
44 MLS 27A Pumps and Variable	0	0	0	0	0	522,900	0	0	522,900
Frequency Drive Replacement									
(WW01232 / Requested)									
45 MLS 39A Emergency Generator	0	0	0	0	457,750	0	0	0	457,750
Replacement (WW01233 / Requested)									
46 MLS 39A Pumps & Variable	0	0	588,250	0	0	0	0	0	588,250
Frequency Drive Replacement			,						
(WW01234 / Requested)									
47 MLS 39A Rehabilitation (6017981 /	0	420,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	420,000
Existing)		,	_						,
48 MLS 5 Wet Well Rehabilitation	0	0	0	457,750	0	0	0	0	457,750
(WW01236 / Requested)				, -					, -

Wastewater									Total
	Actual	Budget	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	Future	Budget
49 MLS Lakewood Ranch Emergency	0	0	0	0	457,750	0	0	0	457,750
Generator Replacement (WW01237 / Requested)	· · · · ·		· · · · ·		i		· ·	·	
50 MLS Lakewood Ranch Wet Well	0	0	0	0	457,750	0	0	0	457,750
Rehabilitation (WW01238 /									
Requested)									
51 MLS N1-B Dimminutor Replacement (WW01239 / Requested)	0	0	229,375	0	0	0	0	0	229,375
52 MLS N1-B Emergency Generator	0	0	0	457,750	0	0	0	0	457,750
Replacement (WW01240 / Requested)	I	I	I	I	I	I			
53 MLS N1-B Pumps & Variable	0	0	0	0	0	522,900	0	0	522,900
Frequency Drive Replacement (WW01241 / Requested)	·	i		i	i	L. L.	·		
54 MLS Tara 20 Wet Well Rehabilitation	0	0	0	392,175	0	0	0	0	392,175
(WW01242 / Requested)	I	I	I	I	I	I		1	
55 MLS Tideview 4 Emergency	0	0	0	457,750	0	0	0	0	457,750
Generator Replacement (WW01243 /	I	ı	·						
Requested)									
56 MLS Tideview 4 Rehabilitation	0	720,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	720,000
(6060784 / Existing)				i				1	
57 Trailer Estates Sewer Rehabilitation	167,751	431,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	431,000
Phase III (6018081 / Existing)									
Wastewater Restore/Rehab	4,421,685	11,023,939	17,090,550	23,465,425	5,418,250	4,568,700	5,000,000	0	66,566,864

Wastewater									Total
	Actual	Budget	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	Future	Budget
Wastewater Transportation Related									
58 15th Street East at 301 Boulevard	8,956	44,066	0	0	0	0	0	0	44,066
Sewer (6029980 / Existing)									
59 45th Street East from 44th Avenue	11,797	150,000	260,000	0	0	0	0	0	410,000
East/SR 70 - Sewer (6025682 / Existing)									
60 53rd Avenue West (43rd Street West	0	0	650,000	0	0	0	0	0	650,000
to 75th Street West) Reclaimed Water (6082990 / Existing)									
61 9th Street East From 53rd Avenue	15,704	160,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	160,000
East to 57th Avenue East - Sewer (6040480 / Existing)									
62 US 301 at Fort Hamer Road	77,899	160,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	160,000
Intersection - Sewer (6061980 / Existing)									
63 US 301 at Ft Hamer Road -	0	0	157,800	0	0	0	0	0	157,800
Reclaimed (WW01260 / Existing)								-	
64 US 301/CR 675 to Moccasin Wallow	0	439,943	0	0	0	0	0	0	439,943
Road - Reclaimed (60854907									
65 US301/CR 675 to Moccasin Wallow	0	34 975	0	0	0	0	0	0	34 975
Road - Sewer (6085480 / Existing)		0 1,07 0	3	<b>0</b>	0				0.,010
Wastewater Transportation Related	114,356	988,984	1,067,800	0	0	0	0	0	2,056,784

Wastewater									Total
	Actual	Budget	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	Future	Budget
Wastewater Treatment									0
66 Deep Injection Well Booster Station	0	0	0	0	662,000	3,600,000	0	0	4,262,000
(WW01222 / Requested)							I		
67 Manatee Agricultural Reclaimed	0	0	84,000	481,000	0	0	0	0	565,000
System Chlorination at Southwest									
Water Reclamation Facility									
(WWU1224 / Requested)	0	d	211 000	1 720 000	0	d	0	0	2 0 4 1 0 0 0
Frie Read Main Tio In (M/W00004 /	U	0	311,000	1,730,000	U	0	0	U	2,041,000
Existing)									
69 Manatee Agricultural Reuse Supply -	111 118	815 000	2 445 000	1 630 000	0	0	0	0	4 890 000
Management Improvements (6082091	,	010,000	2,110,000	1,000,000	0	<u> </u>	3		1,000,000
/ Existing)									
70 North Water Reclamation Facility 4th	0	0	0	0	0	347,000	2,000,000	0	2,347,000
Belt Filter Press & BFP Automation	I	I		I		I	I	I	
(WW01244 / Requested)									
71 North Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	425,000	0	0	0	0	0	425,000
Automatic Backwash Filter									
Rehabilitation (WW00956 / Existing)									
72 North Water Reclamation Facility	1,022,169	4,497,719	4,500,000	0	0	0	0	0	8,997,719
Class V Recharge Wells (60/9480 /									
Existing)				700.000	0.040.000	4 500 000			4 000 000
73 North Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	0	780,000	2,340,000	1,560,000	0	0	4,680,000
Equalization Tank (VVVU1026 /									
ZISUND)	18 015	315 000	0	0	0	0	0	0	315 000
Fiber Ontics (6084900 / Existing)	40,915	315,000	U	0	U	9	U	0	315,000
75 North Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	3 000 000	1 600 000	0	0	0	0	4 600 000
Recharge Wells Pump Station		<u> </u>	0,000,000	1,000,000	0	<u> </u>	3		1,000,000
(WW01116 / Existing)									
76 North Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	1,500,000	4,137,500	4,137,500	0	0	0	9,775,000
Reclaimed Water Transmission Line									
(WW01117 / Existing)									
77 North Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	0	0	0	336,000	1,861,000	0	2,197,000
Secondary Clarifier 1 & 2									
Refurbishment (WW01246 /									
Requested)		I						I	
78 North Water Reclamation Facility	305,764	4,595,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,595,000
Sludge Holding Improvements									
(6050581 / Existing)				265					

Wastewater									Tatal
	Actual	Budget	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	Future	Budget
79 North Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	0	0	0	82,000	469,000	0	551,000
South Chlorine Contact Chamber Refurbishment (WW01247 / Requested)									
80 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	416,808	2,140,000	2,700,000	2,821,000	0	0	0	0	7,661,000
10 Million Gallon Storage Tanks and Interconnection (6084880 / Existing)	I	l.	I	ŀ		I	L. L	I	
81 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	86,410	1,235,000	360,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,595,000
Dedicated Reject Line (6083680 / Existing)	·		· · ·				·	·	
82 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	237,521	2,250,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,250,000
Headworks Rehabilitation (6083380 / Existing)		I	<b>i</b>	<b>i</b>		I	I		
83 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	119,461	2,710,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,710,000
Internal Recycle Pumps (6083580 / Existing)			· · ·	i		i			
84 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	4,783,256	5,815,000	730,000	0	0	0	0	0	6,545,000
Lake Filtering System (6073780 / Existing)									
85 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	169,256	1,070,000	1,500,000	0	0	0	0	0	2,570,000
Maintenance Building (6085080 /									
Existing)									
86 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	200,000	1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1,200,000
Existing)									
87 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	217,628	600,000	3,000,500	0	0	0	0	0	3,600,500
Septage Receiving Station (6083480 / Existing)									
88 Southeast Water Reclamation Facility	171,927	1,785,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,785,000
Sludge Holding Tank Improvements (6041981 / Existing)									
89 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	33,336	800,000	1,659,000	0	0	0	0	0	2,459,000
Automatic Backwash Filter									
Rehabilitation (6016681 / Existing)						I		I	
90 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	0	0	0	103,500	600,000	0	703,500
Belt Filter Press Electrical									
Rehabilitation & Monitoring									
(VVVV01251 / Requested)									

Wastewater									Tatal
	Actual	Budget	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	Future	Budget
91 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	0	0	0	172,000	980,000	0	1,152,000
Chlorine Contact Chamber (WW01252 / Requested)		L		I	L	I			
92 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	1,001,069	3,250,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,250,000
Clarifier 3 and 4 Rehabilitation (6078981 / Existing)									
93 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	7,502,136	7,801,526	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,801,526
Effluent Storage Tank 2 (6036083 / Existing)									
94 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	0	0	0	714,000	3,950,000	0	4,664,000
Equalization System Rehabilitation (WW01254 / Requested)									
95 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	539,344	1,160,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,160,000
Headworks Rehabilitation (6036084 /									
Existing)	40 704 004	45 740 544	a			d		a	45 740 544
96 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	13,761,284	15,716,544	0	0	0	0	0	0	15,716,544
Reject Pond (6079180 / Existing)									
97 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	0	0	0	0	2,000,000	6,000,000	4,600,000	0	12,600,000
New Headworks (WW01255 / Requested)	·	·		·	·	· ·		·	
98 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	4,444,913	9,018,929	5,000,000	3,000,000	0	0	0	0	17,018,929
Process Modifications for Nitrogen		<b>I</b>							
Removal (6079080 / Existing)			1						
99 Southwest Water Reclamation Facility	9,860	275,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	275,000
Roof Over Sludge Trailer (6047281 /									
Existing)									
100Utility Operation Warehouse,	3,099,422	3,500,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,500,000
Collections, Lift Station and Office									
Complex (6019205 / Existing)		00 0 40 74 0	07 44 4 500	47 470 500	0.400 500	40.044.500	4.4.400.000		450 457 740
vvastewater Treatment	38,081,597	69,349,718	27,414,500	17,179,500	9,139,500	12,914,500	14,460,000	0	150,457,718

Southwest County Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Update

APPENDIX J – RECOMMENDED CIP PROJECT SUMMARY FORMS

MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT												
	Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Fiscal Year 2016-2020 Proposed Capital Improvement Program											
Project Type: Status:	Wastewater Collectio	ons	Project #	SW-1	Location:	Bay	shore Yacht Ba	isin (RTU 101) Lift	Station Improvem	ents		
	Sc	cope					Pro	piect Map				
Project includ wet well, and modeled in th estimated to b 26th Street W	es new building, new pumps v 800 LF of 16-inch force main. e same location as the existin be 800 linear feet based on th 'est and South Radcliffe Place)	Legen Ma o Ac Fo Fo Re Im Re	d Inster Lift Station tive Wet Well (RTU # rce Main avity Main commended Force M provements (Project # commended Gravity I provements (Project #	ain t) Main t)		ifortus st	484 PALI					
To correct cap	Rat			BRVN, MAWRUS		101 anii anii	O HIO A MINNESO A	AVE				
	Schedule of Activity	ties					Estimated Co	ost				
	Activity	From	То	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total		
Engineering Construction Project Manag	gement/Administration		T_4-1	ć			ć		ć 2 500 000 00	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -		
			i otal:	ې -	ې - ز		ې -	> -	\$ 3,500,000.00	\$ 3,500,000.00		

			MANATEE (	COUNTY GOV	ERNMENT						
	Wa	stewater Collection Sys	tem Master Plan Fis	cal Year 2016-2	020 Proposed Ca	pital Improvem	ent Program				
Project Type: Status:	Wastewater Collectio	ons Project #	sw-2	Location: Lift Station 9-A (RTU 436) Improvements							
			Comprehe	ensive Plan Info	rmation						
	Sc	оре				Pro	oject Map				
Upsize Lift Stat	tion 9-A (RTU 436) force main	(approximately 6,060 fe	et) to 12-inch pipe								
and evaluate a Actual wet we	nd upsize wet well. Cost estir Il sizing should be determinec	nate based on a 12-foot I by project design engin	diameter wet well. eer.		6 ⁴³⁴		Leg	<b>gend</b> Master Lift Static Active Wet Well	on (RTU #)		
				ninous aur	and a second	artield		<ul> <li>Force Main</li> <li>Gravity Main</li> <li>Recommended F</li> <li>Improvements (F</li> <li>Recommended C</li> </ul>	Force Main Project #) Gravity Main		
	Rati	onale		Annandalanta	POND DECK INC. N	1. 1 A	E EOTEC	Improvements (F	Project #)		
To correct cap	acity deficiencies.					469 21/01/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11	H ST E/3		TALIDUAT RD		
	Schedule of Activi	ties				Estimated Co	ost				
	Activity	From To	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total		
Engineering									\$ -		
Construction									\$ -		
Project Manag	ement/Administration								\$ -		
		Te	otal: \$ -	\$ -		\$-	\$-	\$ 2,210,000.00	\$ - \$ 2,210,000.00		

				MANATEE C	OUNTY GOV	ERNMENT							
	Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Fiscal Year 2016-2020 Proposed Capital Improvement Program												
Project Type:     Wastewater Collections     Project #     SW-3     Location:     MLS #5 Force Main Extension       Status:     Initial Year:     Comprehensive Plan Information     Project Map   Extend MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main along Cortez Road to the MLS 1-M (RTU 203) wet well. Extend MLS #5 (RTU 071) force main along Cortez Road to the MLS 1-M (RTU 203) wet well.           Image: To relieve capacity issues in the 24-inch gravity main on Cortez Road (upstream of MLS 1-M).          Status:         Image: Cortex Road (upstream of MLS 1-M).            MLS incluations of current and future conditions have shown that during wet weather this pipe is consistently surcharged (at maximum capacity) and has a high risk of causing overflows, preventing MLS 1-M from collecting the wastewater flow from MLS #5 and other tributary areas.         Addee Wet Wet (RTU #)          Force Main													
	Schedule of Activi Activity	ties From	То	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	Estimated Cos	st FY2020	Future	Total			
Engineering										Ş -			
Construction													
	gement/Auministration									ې - د -			
		1	Total:	\$ -	\$-		\$ -	\$ 5,050,000.00		\$ 5,050,000.00			

	MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT											
Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Fiscal Year 2016-2020 Proposed Capital Improvement Program												
Project Type: Status:	Wastewater Collectio Initial Year:	ns Project #	SW-4	Location:	Force Main	Connecting Lift	Stations 2-A, 1-A	, and 16-A to Collec	tion System			
			Comprehe	ensive Plan Infor	mation							
	Sc	ope		MINNECOTA		Pro	oject Map					
and 440, respective the existing pipe	torce main connecting Lift's tively) to MLS 12-A influent eline with approximately 965	tations 2-A, 1-A, and 16-7 gravity main. Project cons i linear feet of 20-inch pip	ists of replacing	ILLINOIS AVE IOWA AYE				68TH AVE V				
				B	WISCONSIN AVE			440	Le tat			
				E BAYOU LN	134 o	5			ATH AVE W			
	Rati	onale		ANT FAILUR		41H		N. M. C.S.	1 T B 10 P			
Force main upsi	ize due to high velocity.			Legend • Lift Stat — Force M — Gravity — Recomm Improve	ion (RTU #) 1ain Main mended Force Main ements			A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	MERIDEZ			
	Schedule of Activit	ies				Estimated Co	st					
	Activity	From To	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total			
Engineering Construction									\$ - \$ -			
Project Manage	ment/Administration								\$ -			
		Tot	al: \$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$-	\$ 480,000.00	\$ - \$ 480,000.00			

				MANATEE C	OUNTY GOVE	RNMENT				
		Wastewa	ter Collection Syste	em Master Plan Fis	cal Year 2016-202	20 Proposed Capita	l Improvement P	rogram		
Project Type: Status:	Wastewater Collectio	ns	Project #	SW-5	Location:	mation	Upsize Lift	Station 7-A (RTU 13	7) Force Main	
	S	cope					Proj	ect Map		
Scope           Replace approximately 1,615 feet of 6-inch diameter force main with 8-inch diameter.           Rationale           To accommodate additional flows from USF/Airport areas and prevent high velocity through force main.							HERMANDO Oros Esta		egend Master Lift Station Active Wet Well Force Main Gravity Main Recommended Improvements (I Recommended	on (RTU #) Force Main Project #) Gravity Main Project #)
	Schedule of Activi	ties			<b>.</b>	•	Estimated Cos	t	-	
	Activity	From	То	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total
Engineering Construction Project Manag	ement/Administration									\$ - \$ - \$ -
		I	Total:	\$-	\$-		\$-	\$ -	\$ 320,000.00	\$ 320,000.00



				MANATEE C		RNMENT				
	V	Vastewater C	ollection Syster	n Master Plan Fiso	cal Year 2016-20	20 Proposed Ca	oital Improveme	ent Program		
Project Type: Status:	Wastewater Collecti Initial Year:	ons	Project #	SW-7	Location:		LS 3	6-A Influent Gravi	ity Main	
				Comprene	ensive Plan Infol	mation				
	S	cope					Pro	ject Map		
that connect 6 to 21-inch and challenges (a '	5,770 linear feet of force mai 5,770 linear feet of force mai 1 24-inch, respectively. This s "bottleneck") when simulatin	) will be upsized nt hydraulic 5.	Legend • Lift S Force Gravi Reco Impro	tation Main Main mmended Gravity ovements	Main	K II HI	334 ATH A	VT W WODD BRITCH		
	Ra	tionale			N COL				- Son	S / 151
To upsize the	gravity mains that exceed ca	pacity.			Luke Bayshors 240	241		301 11110 W	<b>302</b>	
	Schedule of Activ	ities					Estimated Co	st		
	Activity	From	То	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total
Engineering Construction Project Manag	gement/Administration							A		\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -
			Total:	Ş -	Ş -		Ş -	ş -	\$ 1,110,000.00	\$ 1,110,000.00

				MANATEE C	OUNTY GOVE	RNMENT						
	Wa	astewater Coll	ection System	Master Plan Fisc	al Year 2016-202	20 Proposed Capi	ital Improveme	ent Program				
Project Type: Status:	Wastewater Collectio	ons	Project #	SW-8 Compreher	Location: MLS 13-A Influent Gravity Main nsive Plan Information							
	So	cope					Pro	ject Map				
Approximately linear feet of fo be upsized to 2 "bottleneck") v	1,350 linear feet of existing prce main (10.3 miles) to grav 4-inch. This segment was ex when routing flow to the MLS	Legend Mas • Activ — Forc — Grav — Rece Impr — Rece	er Lift Station re Wet Well (RTU #) e Main ity Main pommended Force Ma ovements (Project # pommended Gravity N ovements (Project #	ain ) Main )	Pride Community Fask		433					
Rationale To upsize the gravity mains that exceed capacity.						406		Andre H				
	Schedule of Activit	ties					Estimated Cos	st				
	Activity	From	То	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total		
Engineering										\$-		
Construction							\$ -					
Project Management/Administration										<u> </u>		
			Total:	\$-	\$ -		\$ -	\$-	\$ 810,000.00	\$ 810,000.00		

				MANATEE C	OUNTY GOVE	RNMENT				
	Wa	astewater C	ollection System	Master Plan Fisc	al Year 2016-20	20 Proposed Cap	ital Improveme	nt Program		
Project Type:	Wastewater Collectio	ons	Project #	SW-9	Location:	Force M	ain Connecting	Lift Stations 2-A a	nd 1-A (RTUs 439	and 435)
Status:	initial Year:			Comprehe	nsive Plan Infor	mation				
	c			comprener		mation	Proi	iact Man		
Uncizo the 1.0	Jonar fact force main that		confluence for L	ift Stations 1 A	A ASE		FIU		1.0	
and 2-A (RTU	135 and RTU 439, respectivel Rat	y) from 14-i	nch to 16-inch.		WINDLER Y	314 AVE		440	STHE AVE W	439
					Lift S     Force     Grav     Reco     Impre	tation (RTU #) e Main ity Main mmended Force Ma ovements	in the second seco	6185	TURCO	
	Schedule of Activi	ties			1		Estimated Cos	st	1	
	Activity	From	То	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total
Engineering										\$ -
Construction										\$ -
Project Manag	gement/Administration									\$ -
			Total:	\$-	\$-		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 410,000.00	\$ - \$ 410,000.00

				MANATEE C	OUNTY GOVE	RNMENT							
	Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Fiscal Year 2016-2020 Proposed Capital Improvement Program												
Project Type: Status:	Wastewater Collectio	ons	Project #	SW-10	Location:		Gravity Main Ir	mprovement Upst	ream of MLS 1-M				
				Comprehei	nsive Plan Infor	mation							
	S	cope					Pro	ject Map					
	Rat	ionale	avity pipe with 1	2-inch diameter.	Legence Mas • Acti — For Gra Imp Rec Imp	ster Lift Station ve Wet Well (RTU #) ce Main vity Main commended Force Ma rovements (Project #) rovements (Project #)	n ain ja relli serve	AVEC IS NOT	257 • 257	245 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
To upsize the gr	ravity mains that exceed cap	acity.				203			SOTH ST W				
	Schedule of Activi	ties					Estimated Cos	st					
	Activity	From	То	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total			
Engineering	- 1									\$ -			
Construction										\$ -			
Project Manage	ement/Administration									\$-			
			Total:	\$ -	\$ -		\$	\$ -	\$ 320,000.00	\$ - \$ 320,000.00			

	MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT											
	W	astewater Coll	lection System	Master Plan Fisca	al Year 2016-202	0 Proposed Capit	al Improvemen	t Program				
Project Type: Status:	Wastewater Collectio	ons	Project #	SW-11	Location:		Pum	p Replacement Pr	oject			
		<u> </u>	I	Comprehen	sive Plan Inforn	nation						
	S	cope			Project Map							
Replace pump	s at the following lift station P	₹TUs:										
RTU 136 457 To prevent ma	HP     Number of Pumps       15     2       10     2         10     2         Rat   Anhole overflows and surcharge	:ionale ging in the upst	tream gravity sy	ystem.	Legend Maste Active Recor Replace Force	r Lift Station Wet Well (RTU #) nmended Pump cements (RTU #) Main			City of Palmeto			
					Gravit	y Main nmended Force Ma vements (Project #)	in			e ouversimen		
					Recon	nmended Gravity M vements (Project #)	lain )					
	Schedule of Activi					Estimated Cost	t					
	Activity	From	То	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total		
Engineering										\$-		
Construction										\$ -		
Project Manag	gement/Administration	<u> </u>								\$ -		
			Total:	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$-	\$ 80,000.00	\$ - \$ 80,000.00		

				MANATEE CO	DUNTY GOVER	RNMENT				
	W	astewater Co	ollection System	Master Plan Fisca	al Year 2016-202	0 Proposed Capit	al Improvemen	nt Program		
Project Type: Status:	Wastewater Collectio	ons	Project #	SW-12	Location:		Purr	np Replacement Pr	oject	
		<u> </u>		Compreher	sive Plan Inforn	nation				
	S	соре					Proj	ect Map		
Replace pump	s at the following lift station F	₹TUs:								
Replace pumps at the following lift station RTUs:         RTU       HP       Number of Pumps         217       15       2         437       5       2         Rationale         To prevent manhole overflows and surcharging in the upstream gravity system.					Legend Maste Active Recor Replay	r Lift Station Wet Well (RTU #) mmended Pump cements (RTU #)			Chy of Palmeto	
		Gravity Main Recommended Force Main Improvements (Project #)								
		Recommended Gravity Main Improvements (Project #)								
	Schedule of Activi			Estimated Cos	t					
	Activity	From	То	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total
Engineering										\$-
Construction										\$ -
Project Manag	gement/Administration									\$ -
			Total:	\$-	\$ -		\$ -	\$-	\$ 70,000.00	\$ - \$ 70,000.00

			MANATEE (	COUNTY GOVE	RNMENT						
Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Fiscal Year 2016-2020 Proposed Capital Improvement Program											
Project Type: Status:	Wastewater Collectio	ons Project #	SW-13	Location:		Pun	np Replacement P	roject			
		-II	Comprehe	ensive Plan Infor	mation						
	S	соре				Proj	ject Map				
Replace pump	s at the following lift station F	RTUs:									
RTU 217 437 436	HP Number of Pumps 5 2 2 2 40 2			NLES O				City of Patimeto			
	Rat	tionale		Logond				· · · ·			
To accommod	ate additional flows from USF	/Airport areas.	Maste Active Recon Replay Force Gravit Recor Improv Recor Improv	er Lift Station Wet Well (RTU #) mmended Pump cements (RTU #) Main y Main mmended Force Ma vements (Project #) mmended Gravity M vements (Project #)	in						
	Schedule of Activi	ties			Estimated Cos	t					
	Activity	From To	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total		
Engineering									\$-		
Construction									\$ -		
Project Manag	gement/Administration								\$ -		
		Total	:\$-	\$ -		\$ -	\$-	\$ 110,000.00	\$ - \$ 110,000.00		

					MANATEE C	OUNTY GOVE	RNMENT							
		Wa	astewater Col	llection System	Master Plan Fisc	al Year 2016-20	20 Proposed Capi	tal Improveme	nt Program					
Project Type:	v	Vastewater Collection	ns	During II	C) 4 4 4	Location: Pump Replacement Project								
Status:		Initial Year:		Project #	SVV-14	Location:		Pun	np Replacement Pl	roject				
					Comprehe	nsive Plan Infor	nation							
		Sco	ope					Proj	ject Map					
Replace pump	os at the fo	llowing lift station RI	FUs:											
RTU	НР	Number of Pumps				and a state of the			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	City of Paimetto				
108	15	2							ton 2	WINNIN L				
116	20	2				MLS6 LOTA	5 2.			۲) ۱۹۱				
141	15	2				e		R R		City of Bradenton	12.8			
258	2	2				À	Paim Solo Bay			28TN AVE W Q				
319	15	2				· ·	20000							
342	30	2				)								
						_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·	SVWVRF	-1 ⁻¹ -1	site Big			
		Ratio	onale			Legend				· · · · · ·				
To accommod	To accommodate additional flows from USF/Airport areas.						r Lift Station Wet Well (RTU #) nmended Pump							
						Replacements (RTU #)     Force Main								
						Gravity Main								
						Recon	nmended Force Ma /ements (Project #)	ain <u>(</u>		140	e eleversity ex			
						Recon	nmended Gravity M vements (Project #)	lain )						
Schedule of Activities							Estimated Cos	it						
	Activi	ty	From	То	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019	FY2020	Future	Total			
Engineering											\$-			
Construction											\$ -			
Project Manag	gement/Ac	dministration									\$ -			
				Total:	\$ -	\$-		\$ -	\$-	\$ 240,000.00	<u> </u>			



Southwest County Wastewater Collection System Master Plan Update

# APPENDIX K – RESULTS OF THE EVALUATIONS OF CURRENT CIP PROJECTS AS PLANNED BY THE COUNTY

#### FY 2015-2019 CIP Projects Evaluation Southwest WWCS Service Area Manatee County

The following CIP projects are currently part of the adopted FY 2015-2019 CIP. These projects were evaluated using the updated hydraulic model to determine if any potential modifications should be made to the projects. Recommendations were issued to the County ahead of the Master Plan report submission. These projects are either in design or planned for near future design. None have been constructed to date.

The following color scale was applied to the tables below where velocities of the pipe segments are shown:

Velocity Range (fps)	Color
Less than 2	
2 - 6	
Above 6	

#### 1. Force Main MLS #5 Rehabilitation:

Description of Current FY 2015-2019 CIP Project: Replacement of approximately 12,000 linear feet of 20-inch ductile iron pipe with 24-inch high-density polyethylene (HDPE) force main.

#### Additional facts:

- The 12,000 feet include three force main segments. The first segment runs from MLS #5 to Lift Station 2-C (RTU 057). The second segment starts at the latter and ends at the discharge from Lift Station 1-C (RTU 056). The third segment ends right before the crossing of Sarasota Bay begins.
- The GIS database showed the existing force main as 18-inch DIP. The County confirmed that the force main is 18 inches, which means that the project, as planned by the County, included the upsizing of the force main.

#### Evaluations:

After running the model with the latest data/peaking factors, Carollo presented the County with three options:

1) Option 1: CIP as currently planned by County (24-inch).

2) Option 2: 16-inch for the first 2 segments, and 12-inch for the third segment.

3) Option 3: 18-inch for the first 2 segments, and 16-inch for the third segment.

While Option 2 meets the County's velocity performance criteria of 2-6 fps, the County may be more comfortable with the slightly larger size and resulting lower velocities of Option 3. Option 3 fits better within the performance range for the slight increase in cost. Option 3 still includes substantial savings by decreasing from the originally planned 24-inch pipe, as shown in Chapter 7.

<u>MLS 5</u>	Length		Maximum Velocities (fps)										
	(feet)		Opti	on 1		Option 2				Option 3			
		2020	2020	BO		2020	2020	BO	BO	2020	2020	BO	BO
		LOS	Wet	LOS	BO Wet	LOS	Wet	LOS	Wet	LOS	Wet	LOS	Wet
FM Segment 1	8,781	1.22	1.94	1.2	1.91	3.91	5.61	5.85	5.7	2.85	4.24	2.95	4.25
FM Segment 2	3,465	1.43	2.54	1.3	2.53	4.09	5.09	5	5.06	3.39	4.17	3.39	4.11
FM Segment 3	1,311	1.45	2.57	1.43	2.61	4.08	5.25	5.01	5.15	3.4	4.4	3.4	4.25

#### 2. Force Main MLS 1-M Rehabilitation

Description of Current FY 2015-2019 CIP Project: The CIP calls for the replacement of approximately 8,700 linear feet of 24-inch and 3,200 linear feet of 30-inch ductile iron pipe force main with 27-inch and 36-inch HDPE force main.

#### Additional Facts:

This project evaluation was split into two parts:

- Replacing/rerouting approximately 8,700 LF of 24-inch force main from MLS 1-M to the SWWRF (Segments 1, 2, and 3).
- Replacing the 30-inch force main along 66th Avenue from Cortez Road to the SWWRF (Segments 4, 5, and 6). This corresponds to the force main downstream of the current point of confluence of the MLS 1-D and 1-M force mains. After the planned reroute of the MLS 1-M force main, this pipe will no longer have to carry flow from both MLS (rather, only from MLS 1-D). Therefore, it is evaluated and described separately as Segments 4, 5, and 6.

#### Evaluations:

1) Option 1: Reroute and diameter of the first three segments as planned by the County (27-inch).

2) Option 2: Reroute as planned by the County, but diameter at 24-inch.

Simulations indicate that the design for the first three segments as planned by the County is appropriate and does not need to be revised.

<u>MLS 1-M</u> (Segments 1, 2, and 3)	Length (feet)	Maximum Velocities (fps)									
			Option 1		Option 2						
				BO				BO			
		2020 LOS	2020 Wet	LOS	BO Wet	2020 LOS	2020 Wet	LOS	BO Wet		
FM Segment 1	4,683	2.11	4.82	2.77	5.09	2.78	6	3.52	6.15		
FM Segment 2	3,108	2.12	4.81	2.78	5.1	2.78	5.95	3.56	6.14		
FM Segment 3	4,769	3.12	5.57	3.79	5.89	4	6.96	4.74	7.13		

#### FM Segments 4, 5, and 6 (from MLS 1-D to SWWRF):

	Length	Maximum Velocities (fps)										
	(feet)		As Proposed (20-inch, 24-inch, an									
		As Currently Pla	As Currently Planned by County (36-inch HDPE)					for Segments 4, 5, and 6, respectively)				
					BO			BO				
		2020 LOS	2020 Wet	BO LOS	Wet	2020 LOS	2020 Wet	LOS	BO Wet			
FM Segment 4	900	0.85	1.18	1.01	1.29	2.69	3.67	3.20	3.99			
FM Segment 5	1,364	0.97	1.34	1.13	1.40	2.30	2.97	2.71	3.12			
FM Segment 6	977	1.16	1.47	1.32	1.55	2.72	4.06	3.29	4.70			
# 3. Force Main MLS 1-D Rehabilitation:

Description of Current FY 2015-2019 CIP Project: The CIP calls for the replacement of up to 12,000 linear feet of 20-inch ductile iron pipe force main with 24-inch and 27-inch HDPE force main.

# Evaluations:

1) Option 1: As planned by County (24-inch and 27-inch).

2) Option 2: Replace the existing pipe with 20-inch HDPE.

A 20-inch HDPE pipeline (Option 2) will result in optimal hydraulic conditions in the discharge force main of MLS 1-D under all scenarios through build-out. As such, the County may consider revising the existing design of the CIP from 24-inch and 27-inch HDPE to a 20-inch HDPE force main.

<u>MLS 1-D</u>	Length	Maximum Velocities (fps)										
	(feet)		Optior	Option 2								
						BO						
		2020 LOS	2020 Wet	LOS	BO Wet	2020 LOS	2020 Wet	LOS	BO Wet			
FM Segment 1	4,637	1.77	2.47	2.04	2.68	2.51	3.48	2.88	3.78			
FM Segment 2	7,513	1.4	1.95	1.61	2.12	2.51	3.5	2.89	3.8			

## 4. Force Main MLS 12-A Rehabilitation:

Description of Current FY 2015-2019 CIP Project: Replacement of the entire 20-inch DIP force main with 24-inch HDPE pipe.

#### Additional Facts:

- Bayshore Yatch Lift Station (RTU 101) connects after the first force main segment. Some deficiencies have been identified at this lift station. Any change made to the 27-A MLS force main alignment will affect the discharging head and pressure for Bayshore Yatch lift station. Therefore, this lift station should be evaluated once this CIP project is complete.
- Pump capacities at lift stations RTU 104, 106, 107, 108, 150, and 110 should be verified for compatibility with any major changes in the 27-A MLS force main alignment.

## Evaluations:

- 1). Option 1: As planned by County (all 24-inch HDPE).
- 2). Option 2: Modification of pipeline diameters:
  - 20-inch for Segment 1, which runs from MLS 12-A to the tie-in of LS 101.
  - 24-inch for Segments 2-7, which run from the tie-in of LS 101 to the tie-in with the force main coming from MLS 13-A.

3). Option 3: Same as Option 2, but 27-inch for Segments 3-7, which run from the tie-in of LS 104 to the tie-in with the force main coming from MLS 13-A, instead of 24-inch.

Option 2 is recommended even though Segments 3-7 experience a velocity higher than 6 fps as shown in the table. Close observation throughout the 72-hour wet weather simulations show that these high velocities will only occur for a limited duration (no more than 2 hours), and therefore can be controlled by manual pump manipulation.

<u>MLS 12-A</u>	Length	Maximum Velocities (fps)											
	(feet)	Option 1			Option 2			Option 3					
		2020	2020	BO	BO	2020	2020	BO	BO	2020	2020	BO	BO
		LOS	Wet	LOS	Wet	LOS	Wet	LOS	Wet	LOS	Wet	LOS	Wet
FM Segment 1	3,393	1.18	3.44	1.3	3.52	1.8	4.88	2.05	5.1	1.74	4.89	2.01	4.99
FM Segment 2	4,382	2.66	5.31	2.78	5.38	2.72	5.43	2.86	5.45	2.69	5.26	2.87	5.39
FM Segments 3-7	2,522	3.91	6.39	4.07	6.34	3.93	6.33	4.18	6.34	3.22	5.08	3.3	5.07

# 5. Force Main MLS 13-A Rehabilitation

Description of Current FY 2015-2019 CIP Project: The CIP calls for the replacement of approximately 13,000 linear feet of 24-inch ductile iron pipe force main with 27-inch and 36-inch HDPE force main.

#### Additional Facts:

- The portion to be replaced with 27-inch is 97% of the length of the project (Segment 1).
- The portion that makes up the remaining 3% is currently 30-inch DIP (not 24-inch) and is called to be replaced with 36-inch HDPE (Segment 2).

#### Evaluations:

- 1). Option 1: As planned by the County (replace with 27- and 36-inch HDPE).
- 2). Option 2: Replace entire length (Segments 1 and 2) with 27-inch (don't upsize Segment 2).

The current plan and sizing for 13-A is correct, although we do not think it is necessary to upsize the last small segment of pipe. Since the flow in the small end segment is the same as the upstream segment, it is recommended that the diameter of the first 12,840 linear feet be extended to the full length of the project (Option 2).

<u>MLS 13-A</u>	Length	Maximum Velocities (fps)										
	(feet)		Option 2									
							BO					
		2020 LOS	2020 Wet	BO LOS	BO Wet	2020 LOS	2020 Wet	LOS	BO Wet			
FM Segment 1	12,950	3.06	4.01	3.74	4.35	3.06	4	3.74	4.34			
FM Segment 2	304	1.8 2.43 2.24 2.61										

## 6. Force Main MLS 27-A Rehabilitation:

Description of Current FY 2015-2019 CIP Project: Replacement of approximately 3,200 linear feet of 20-inch ductile iron pipe force main with 24-inch high-density polyethylene (HDPE) force main.

### Evaluations:

1) Option 1: As planned by County.

The CIP design was found consistent with all performance criteria and therefore it is recommended to proceed as currently planned by the County.

<u>MLS 27-A</u>	Length (feet)	Maximum Velocities (fps)								
		As Currently Planned by County								
		2020 LOS	2020 Wet	BO LOS	BO Wet					
Segment 1	3,127	3.99	5.12							

# Other evaluated CIP projects recommended to proceed as planned by the County are:

- Force Main 27A 53rd Avenue West from 43rd Street West to 75th Street West: Replacement of 30-inch DIP with 42-inch DIP.
- <u>Lift Station 18-M (RTU 116) Rehabilitation:</u> Replacement and reroute of approximately 3,000 linear feet of 6-inch ductile iron pipe force main with approximately 2,140 linear feet of 8-inch HDPE force main
- <u>Lift Station 17-A (RTU 404) Force Main Reroute and Rehabilitation:</u> Abandonment of 3,500 linear feet of 6-inch ductile iron pipe and reroute of the lift station discharge towards gravity tributary of MLS 13-A (RTU 408) using 1,600 linear feet of 6-inch PVC pipe
- Fiddler's Green Lift Station (RTU 250) Pumps Replacement: Pump replacement and force main reconnection

# Other evaluated CIP projects with recommended changes to CIP:

• <u>Lift Station 23-A (RTU 410) Force Main Rehabilitation:</u> The CIP calls for the in-kind replacement of approximately 1300 linear feet of 6-inch ductile iron pipe. Carollo proposed and included in the model that the force main be replaced with 8-inch pipe rather than 6-inch, to comply with the velocity performance criteria.

# In-kind replacements that were evaluated and are recommended to proceed as planned by the County are:

- <u>51st Street Gravity Main Sewer Replacement:</u> In-kind replacement of approximately 3,300 linear feet of existing 30-inch gravity force main with 30-inch PVC pipe. Replace 11 manhole locations along the route from 8th Avenue to MLS 1-D, including reconnecting all laterals and associated appurtenances within the collection system.
- <u>Lift Station 31-A (RTU 126) Force Main Renewal:</u> In-kind replacement up to 2,750 linear feet of 14-inch cast iron pipe with PVC pipe.
- <u>Spanish Park Lift Station (RTU 213) Force Main Renewal:</u> In-kind replacement of 900 linear feet of 6-inch ductile iron pipe with PVC pipe.
- <u>Windmill Village Lift Station (RTU 405) Force Main Renewal:</u> In-kind replacement of up to 700 linear feet of 4-inch ductile iron pipe with PVC pipe.

#### HDPE Inner vs. Nominal Diameter:

The exact inner diameters of HDPE pipe depend on several parameters, such as fabrication method, the standard used in fabrication by each manufacturer, and the pressure rating. The numbers included in a design by external consultants may be from one manufacturer, or specified by the designer of the project, or actually measured from pipes in the field. The diameters used in the evaluation of the County's CIP projects came from the ANSI/ASME standards for HDPE for use in water, and follow the following relationship. It should be noted that while these specific CIP projects were evaluated using the associated internal diameter based on the County's CIP project description (when the CIP called for HDPE pipe), the Master Plan scenarios, model, and Master Plan submitted to the County are based on nominal diameter. The CIP projects should be evaluated in more detail by the design engineer for each project.





Engineers...Working Wonders With Water®