



1112 Manatee Avenue West
Bradenton, FL 34205
purchasing@mymanatee.org

Solicitation Addendum

Addendum No.: 2
Solicitation No.: 21-TA003796JH
Project No.: 6098660
Solicitation Title: 26th Avenue East From 27th Street East To 45th Street East Sidewalk
Addendum Date: September 22, 2021
Procurement Contact: Jeb Hayter

IFBC 21-TA003796JH is amended as set forth herein. Responses to questions posed by prospective bidders are provided below. This Addendum is hereby incorporated in and made a part of IFBC 21-TA003796JH.

The deadline to submit all inquiries concerning interpretation, clarification or additional information pertaining to this IFBC was September 3, 2021.

CHANGE:

DATE, TIME AND PLACE DUE

The Due Date and Time for submission of Bids in response to this IFBC is ~~September 24, 2021~~ September 30, 2021 at 11:00 AM ET. Bids must be delivered to the following location: Manatee County Administration Building, 1112 Manatee Ave. W., Suite 803, Bradenton, FL 34205 prior to the Due Date and Time.

CHANGE:

SECTION A, INFORMATION TO BIDDERS; A.01, BID DUE DATE

The Due Date and Time for submission of Bids in response to this Invitation for Bid Construction (IFBC) is ~~September 24, 2021~~ September 30, 2021 at 11:00 AM ET. Bids must be delivered to the following location: Manatee County Administration Building, 1112 Manatee Ave. W., Suite 803, Bradenton, FL 34205 and time stamped by a Procurement representative prior to the Due Date and Time.

CHANGE:

SECTION A, INFORMATION TO BIDDERS; A.51, SOLICITATION SCHEDULE

The following schedule has been established for this Solicitation process. Refer to the County’s website (www.mymanatee.org > Business > Bids & Proposals) for meeting locations and updated information pertaining to any revisions to this schedule.

<u>Scheduled Item</u>	<u>Scheduled Date</u>
Question and Clarification Deadline	September 03, 2021
Final Addendum Posted	September 10, 2021
Bid Response Due Date and Time	September 24, 2021 September 30, 2021 11:00 AM ET
Due Diligence Review Completed	October 08, 2021
Project Award	November, 2021

QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES:

Q1. Project Specifies for a Load Test on a Non-Production in a location determined by the geotechnical engineer. Where is this to be performed?

R1. We recommend the load test location be as near as practical to End Bent 2 (the east end bent), but the actual location will be coordinated with the engineer, owner, and contractor after the contractor (and his proposed load test apparatus) have been identified.

Q2. The Soils report B-13 shows a level of 100% Circulation in rock at approx. 12’ which could mean uncontrolled grout loss. Is it 1 CY or 20 CY? Could it migrate to the creek?

R2. We assume that this refers to boring SP-02 in our report of 11/23/20. This boring was performed in the adjacent roadway and not in the actual bent location. Circulation loss of drilling fluid in an approximately 4” diameter test boring is not directly relatable to grout loss from auger-cast piles. The contractor is responsible for controlling grout losses, if necessary, during auger-cast pile installation.

Q3. The Soils report B-13 shows “weight of hammer” at approx. 4’-7’ which is very soft is that material strong enough to blow out toward the creek?

R3. We assume that this refers to boring SP-01 in our report of 11/23/20. This is a very loose silty fine sand that likely has an internal friction angle of about 25 degrees and is at relatively shallow depth (about at the proposed pile cap bottom elevation). It seems unlikely that grout pressures at this depth would be adequate to cause a blow out to the creek in this layer, but it is the contractor’s responsibility to control grout pressures or take other measures to prevent blow outs.

Q4. Could the Engineer evaluate the foundation for alternates to the Auger Grouted Piles?

R4. A discussion of a footing foundation was included in our report of 11/23/20, but a deep pile foundation is the recommended foundation.

Q5. Could the Engineer discuss options with FPL to de-energize the OH power? And for what period of time would this be allowed?

R5. Per discussion with FPL, de-energizing the power lines can be accommodated as required. FPL requests that 2 months' notice be provided if de-energizing will be necessary.

Q6. Could the bridge be shifted away from the Road enough to allow for a larger shallow spread footing

R6. See our response to Q4.

NOTE:

Items that are ~~struck through~~ are deleted. Items that are underlined have been added or changed. All other terms and conditions remain as stated in the IFBC.

INSTRUCTIONS:

Receipt of this Addendum must be acknowledged as instructed in the solicitation document. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum may result in the response being deemed non-responsive.

END OF ADDENDUM

AUTHORIZED FOR RELEASE