



1112 Manatee Avenue West
Bradenton, FL 34205
purchasing@mymanatee.org

Solicitation Addendum

Addendum No.: 1
Solicitation No.: 22-TA003951CD
Project No.: 6108360, 6107860, 6108260, 6107560, 6107660, and 6107760
Solicitation Title: Professional Transportation Engineering Services for Six (6) Capital Improvement Plan Transportation Projects
Addendum Date: February 3, 2022
Procurement Contact: Chris Daley, CPPO, CPPB- Procurement Project Manager

RFQ No. 22-TA003951CD is amended as set forth herein. Responses to questions posed by prospective proposers are provided below. This addendum is hereby incorporated in and made a part of RFQ No. 22-TA003951CD.

QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES:

Q1. RFP page 15, states, “*The Successful Proposer shall ensure all its electronic information, documents, applications, reports, and deliverables required in the proposal are in a format that meets the requirements of Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act and best practices (W3C WCAG 2).*” Please clarify, does the proposal need to be 508 compliant upon initial submittal? Or rather are the successful proposer(s), upon selection, required to provide Manatee County with a 508-compliant copy of their proposal?

R1. Article A.35 requires the successful Proposer(s) to be 508-compliant with any deliverables resulting from any award of this RFQ.

Q2. Can you provide details on the County’s SBE/MBE requirements for this contract?

R2. There are no minimum SBE/MBE requirements for this solicitation.

Q3. Does the County anticipate shortlisting, say, three firms for each of the six projects or several firms to present on all projects, and then select/assign projects to the larger pool of shortlisted consultants after presentations?

R3. The County will evaluate and do a short list of firms for each project. Each project will be awarded separately. Qualified firms may be awarded more than one project if they are able to demonstrate the ability and capacity to perform the services for multiple projects concurrently.

Q4. Would interested firms for this RFQ be required to submit for all 6 CIP projects?

R4. No, firms do not have to submit a response to all six projects. However, a firm's chance of being successful is enhanced by the number of projects that they submit a response to. Firms submitting on more than one project are requested to provide a prioritized list of the projects they seek to design.

Q5. Can you provide clarification on the Response Formats? For example, if a firm is interested in pursuing the 59th Street West corridor project (Exhibit 3), would the response format include requirements from both Exhibit 2 and 3?

R5. All firms submitting a response are required to submit the information contained in Exhibit 2. Firms shall submit the responses for each project as outlined in Exhibits 3 through 8. Should a firm not wish to submit on all six projects, they shall provide a statement to that effect under the tab for any project they are not submitting a response on.

Q6. Does a firm have to submit on all six listed projects as a Prime?

R6. See response to question 4 above.

Q7. Who are the members of the review committee?

R7. The voting members of the evaluation committee are Eric Shroyer, Jim Renneberg, and Vishal Kakkad.

Q8. Who will be serving as the project manager for each of the six projects?

R8. The project manager for each project has not been determined at this time.

NOTE:

Items that are ~~struck through~~ are deleted. Items that are underlined have been added or changed. All other terms and conditions remain as stated in the RFQ.

INSTRUCTIONS:

Receipt of this addendum must be acknowledged as instructed in the solicitation document. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum may result in the response being deemed non-responsive.

END OF ADDENDUM

AUTHORIZED FOR RELEASE