# MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT INTENT TO AWARD

PROCUREMENT Le REPRESENTATIVE Pro	eslie Peer, rocurement Agent III roperty Management	PROJECTED AWARD DATE	June 12, 2024	
<b>RFQ NO./TITLE</b> Se Se	rchitectural & Engineering ervices Emergency Medical ervices Lake Manatee EMS cation	DATE POSTED	MC	DS

#### **NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD**

The Manatee County Procurement Division provides notice of its intent to award a contract with Sweet Sparkman Architects Inc. for the provision of professional engineering and architectural services.

### **ENABLING/REGULATING AUTHORITY**

Manatee County Procurement Ordinance, Sec 2-26.

# **BACKGROUND/EVALUATION**

The County requested proposals from qualified firms to provide Professional Engineering and Architectural services for Emergency Medical Services Lake Manatee EMS Station.

The solicitation was advertised on the Manatee County website, and DemandStar. It was also provided to the Manatee County Chamber of Commerce, the Manasota Black Chamber of Commerce, Gulf Coast Builders Exchange, and Gulf Coast Latin Chamber of Commerce for release to its members. Responses were received from the following firms:

- 1. Sweet Sparkman Architects, Inc., Sarasota, FL
- 2. Goodwyn Mills Cawood, Montgomery, AL
- 3. Hall Darling Design Studio, Sarasota, FL
- 4. Wannemacher Jensen Architects, Inc., St. Petersburg, FL

The following firms were deemed non-responsive/non-responsible: None

## **EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

Leslie Peer Procurement Division, non-voting Tom Yager, Deputy Director, Property Management Robert Wenzel, Manager CYDM, Development Services James Crutchfield, Deputy Director, Public Safety

### **EVALUATION SUMMARY:**

The Evaluation Committee first convened on March 15, 2024 and conducted technical evaluations of the responsible, responsive, proposals received. On March 19, 2024 the chair sent clarifying questions to the responsible/responsive firms for the purpose of holding discussions with the sort-listed firms. On April 3, 2024 the evaluation committee met for the final technical evaluations and requested authorization to enter into negotiations with the following firm:

1. Sweet Sparkman Architects, Inc.

INTENT TO AWARD Page 2

# **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Evaluation Committee unanimously recommends award to the following firm(s):

Sweet Sparkman Architects Inc.

ATTACHMENTS		COST	\$305,458.39
(List in order of attached)	None		