



1112 Manatee Avenue West
Bradenton, FL 34205
purchasing@mymanatee.org

Solicitation Addendum

Addendum No.: 2
Solicitation No.: 24-TA005095SAM
Project No.: 6110783
Solicitation Title: Southwest Water Reclamation Facility Expansion to 18 MGD
Addendum Date: February 14, 2024
Procurement Contact: Sherri Adams-Meier

RFQ No. 24-TA005095SAM is amended as set forth herein. Responses to questions posed by prospective bidders are provided below. This Addendum is hereby incorporated in and made a part of RFQ No. 24-TA005095SAM.

The deadline to submit all inquiries concerning interpretation, clarification or additional information pertaining to this RFQ was February 9, 2024.

CHANGE TO:

EXHIBIT 2, PROPOSAL RESPONSE, TAB 8, ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE AND CAPACITY

Delete Exhibit 2, Proposal Response, Tab 8, Organization Structure and Capacity, Item 12 as follows:

~~12. Provide details of Proposer's capacity to bond the project. Include a letter of intent form Proposer's bonding company which confirms Proposer's bonding capacity.~~

CHANGE TO:

EXHIBIT 2, PROPOSAL RESPONSE, TAB 9 – SIMILAR COMPLETED PROJECTS

Change Tab 9 – Similar Completed Projects as follows:

Provide a list of up to 3 wastewater capacity improvement projects designed by the ~~Proposer~~ proposers' team with an aggregate value of over \$50 million dollars, particularly those aerobic granular sludge/AquaNereda (AGS) reactors, which Proposer has provided design

services since January 1, 2010. Wastewater expansion projects over 120 million in aggregate value will be preferred. Include the following information:

QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES:

- Q1. With respect to the size and complexity of this project and the 30 single-sided page limitation for Tabs 6, 7, 8 & 9, we kindly request that Manatee County consider allowing the following modifications:**
- Under Tab 6 Item 4, please allow resumes to be provided as an exhibit in a separate attachment to Tab 6. The intent would be to identify and outline the key staff in the narrative within Tab 6 and provide the supporting detailed resumes in the attachment to Tab 6. This was similarly allowed for Tab 9 content.
 - Under Tab 7 Item 3, we ask that the county allow the implementation schedule to be provided on 11 x 17 format and count as one (1) page only.
 - Under Tab 8 Item 4, we ask that the county allow the organizational chart to be provided on 11 x 17 format and count as one (1) page only.
- R1. No objection
- Q2. In Tab 8, Item 12, the County is asking for demonstration of bonding capacity and a Letter of Intent (LOI) from the bonding company. Since this RFQ is for Professional Engineering Services, can the County please confirm the bonding requirement is not required?**
- R2. See Change to Tab 8, Item 12 above.
- Q3. With respect to the size, complexity, and importance of this project, along with the County's unique requirements for innovation and intended use of a new technology, proposing teams have partnered to provide the County with industry leading expertise and the appropriated resources to ensure that the County has the opportunity to select the most qualified team to deliver this critical infrastructure project in collaboration with the County and a to be selected Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) Contractor. We kindly request that the County, per the information below, confirm that the intent is to include references for the team (proposer/respondent or it's subconsultant/subcontractor) for the minimum qualifications (Tab 2), the respondents and team's experience (Tab 6), and the similar completed projects (Tab 9).**
- R3. Tab 2, Item 3 is a minimum qualification of previous services, a very brief email with 3 to 4 questions will be sent to your or the subcontractors' client, if the client cannot confirm you have performed these services, your proposal will not be considered or evaluated. Tab 6, Item 7 the provided client will receive a SurveyMonkey® with 8 to 10 questions, these responses will be provided to the evaluation committee to use during their evaluation of your proposal.
Tab 9, see Change to Tab 9 above.

Q4. In Tab 2 Minimum Qualification Requirements Item 3, the County is asking for a minimum of three (3) clients references for wastewater capacity improvement projects that have been completed by the proposing team (proposer or subcontractors) since January 1, 2010. In Tab 6, Respondent and Team’s Experience Item 7, the County is asking for a minimum of three (3) client references that the proposing team (Proposer or its subconsultant) has completed three (3) wastewater treatment plant expansion projects with an aggregate value in excess of 50 million dollars since January 1, 2010, with preference given to firms which have completed at least one wastewater treatment plant expansion project in excess of 120 million dollars in the same timeframe. Please confirm that for Tab 9 Similar Completed Projects, it is the intent of the County to request “up to three” projects by the proposing team (proposer and/or it’s subconsultants).

R4. See response to Q3.

Q5. Please confirm that Tabs 6, 7, 8, and 9 are limited to 30 single sided pages combined for all 4 sections.

R5. Tabs 6,7,8, and 9 are limited to 30 double sided pages.

Q6. Do resumes count in the total page count?

R6. No

Q7. Can the implementation plan and schedule in Tab 7 be on an 11x17 format?

R7. Yes

Q8. Can the organizational chart in Tab 8 be on an 11 x 17 format?

R8. Yes

Q9. Please clarify the definition of completed project in Tab 6, section 7:

“Provide a minimum of three (3) client references that Proposer or its subconsultant has completed three (3) wastewater treatment plant expansion projects with an aggregate value in excess of 50 million dollars since January 1, 2010 with preference given to firms which have completed at least one wastewater treatment plant expansion project in excess of 120 million dollars in the same timeframe. Provide the following information for each qualifying project.”

Examples of completed projects may include projects that have been fully commissioned or have received regulatory receipt of approval to place into service.

R9. The county would prefer completed projects where a certificate of occupancy has been issued, however we will accept references where the design is completed and construction is ongoing provided the percent complete is included in the response.

Q10. Tab 9 – Similar Completed Projects asks for up to three projects where proposer has completed design services. Please clarify if the projects should have design services completed or the entire project completed to certificate of occupancy.

R10. See response to Q9.

NOTE:

Deleted items will be ~~struck through~~, added or modified items will be underlined. All other terms and conditions remain as stated in the RFQ.

INSTRUCTIONS:

Receipt of this Addendum must be acknowledged as instructed in the solicitation document. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum may result in the response being deemed non-responsive.

END OF ADDENDUM

AUTHORIZED FOR RELEASE