# MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT NONCOMPETITIVE PROCUREMENT INTENT TO AWARD

SUBJECT	Replacing McQuay chillers at the Public Safety facility	DATE POSTED	MC 11/21/17 TB 12:42 PM
PURCHASING REPRESENTATIVE	George Earnest CPPB, Buyer, X 3044	DATE CONTRACT SHALL BE AWARDED	Five (5) business days after posting
DEPARTMENT	Property Management	CONSEQUENCES IF DEFERRED	Failure of the HVAC system
SOLICITATION	Task #18R067746GE Valid 11 / 27 / 2017	AUTHORIZED BY DATE	George Earnest CPPB, Buyer November 20, 2017

### NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD

Notice of Intent to Award a non-competitive procurement for the purchase and installation of HVAC Chiller units at the Public Safety complex for the Property Management Department from Daiken Applied for a total amount not to exceed \$78,000.00.

## **ENABLING/REGULATING AUTHORITY**

Manatee County Code of Laws

### BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

- The Property Management Department Division has determined that the McQuay HVAC chiller units at the Public Safety complex have exceeded their useful lifespan and are no longer cost effective to maintain. These units must be replaced before December 31, 2017.
- This procurement is for Daiken Applied to provide and install the McQuay chillers and provide a maintenance agreement.
- Funding for this project is provided in the Property Management general operating budget account key 0010020512-534000.

If a vendor believes this item is not a noncompetitive procurement, Manatee County Purchasing Division requires prospective vendors provide information regarding their ability to supply the commodity or contractual services described prior to the date indicated for "date contract shall be awarded".

ATTACHMENTS (List in order of attached)	• None	FUNDING SOURCE (Acct Number & Name)	X Funds Verified In Account #0010020512- 534000
соѕт	\$78,000.00	AMT/FREQ OF RECURRING COSTS (Attach Fiscal Impact Statement)	Not Applicable



# Sole Source/Noncompetitive Purchasing Request

Department:	Property Managem	ent Contact:	David Thompson	_ Ext: _3	016
Purchase Red Number:	quest (PR)	R067746	D	)ate: _11-2	-17
Description: (Explain reques		ete for Daiken to insta blic Safety, originals ha	all new Condenser coi	ls on both C	hillers
Vendor:	Daikin Applied / Da Hoelzer	vid Phone:	813-334- 2150 C	ost: _\$78,	000.00
PART I – SOLE SOURCE PURCHASING ( <u>Sole source purchasing</u> is defined as the acquisition of commodities or services where there is only one available source for the required commodity or service. <u>Ex:</u> proprietary software or equipment, copyright)					
1. Are these co	mmodities or services	only available from	one single source?	☐ Yes ☐	No
meet your ne	the commodity/serviceds and why alternationability, compatibility,	ives are unacceptab			
	vendor the <u>only</u> source information. (Attach s				rts made
	consequence to the (act, if the sole source			ollar amour	nt of the
modifie	case where the County se cation, alteration or repa rst make the written find	ir of any county-owne	d facility, the Board of	County Cor	struction, mmission

exp or ι	juisition of commodities or services from a unique source or provider based upon particular skills and pertise (Ex: standardization, warranty, compatibility) where other competitive sources may be available; upon a determination that the likely, non-speculative cost of obtaining competitive quotes would exceed a potential savings and benefit to the County.)				
1.	Explain why the commodity/service being requested is the <u>only</u> commodity/service that can meet your needs and why alternatives are unacceptable. Be specific regarding specifications, features, capability, compatibility, etc.				
	Other vendors are available and have submitted quotes, however our service contract with Daikin (P1800026) Agreement MAJC083016 under Terms & Conditions, clause 16 voids our warranty on the coils if not installed by Daikin.				
2.	Explain the advantages of this noncompetitive purchase on the basis that only one practical source exists. Describe the efforts made to verify this information. (Attach manufacturers or distributorships letter as documentation)				
	See Item # 1.				
3.	Will this purchase obligate us to a particular vendor for future purchases, either in terms of maintenance or compatibility should the need arise to purchase a 'like' item in the future?				
	Yes as long as agreement is in effect.				
4.	Describe your efforts to obtain the best price from the vendor and provide any documentation to substantiate your findings. Give the result of the initial offer versus the final offer.				
	N/A				
5.	Would you prefer Purchasing to contact vendor for the best price? ☐ Yes ☒ No				
6.	Explain the consequence to the County or its taxpayers, including a dollar amount of the financial impact, if the noncompetitive purchase is not approved.				
	If future failure of installed equipment happens, tax payers would have to pay for another full replacement cost if deemed necessary.				

PART II - NONCOMPETITIVE PURCHASING (Noncompetitive purchasing is defined as the

Dalking -		Dard	Thomas	ر دو	1/16/17
<b>Division Manager's Sign</b> (up to \$25,000)	nature	Print Name	, , , , ,	Da	te
CUPS/	/ !t	c how	s Bshy		116/17
Department Director's S (Greater than \$25,000)	ignature	Print Name	,	Da	te
FOR PURCHASING DIVIS	— — —	. – – –			
		and the same of th			
	five (5) busines	gories Three and F is days. Category f or a minimum of se	Five requires BCC	approval and w	ill be posted via
Sole Source:	board agenda i	or a minimum or se	ven (/) calendar o	lays prior to boa	ra meeting.
Noncompetitive:	business days p	gory Three require prior to award. Ca ria board agenda fo	tegories Four and	Five require BC	C approval and
•					
Reviewed and Approved b	y: Juny Buyer Nam	Simil .	George &	carnest	11-17-1
	(up to \$100				
	Contracts/l	Buyer Manager /	Date		
	(up to \$250				
		Official / Date			
	(Greater tha	ın \$250,000)			