MANATEE COUNTY GOVERNMENT INTENT TO NEGOTIATE

SUBJECT	Discrete Analyzer Unit for Central La	poratory DATE POSTED	MC 11/21/14 / SE DS 11/21/14 / SE CC 11/21/14 / SE
PURCHASING REPRESENTATIVE	Jacob Erickson, 3053	DATE CONTRACT SHALL BE AWARDED	Upon Completion of Successful Negotiations
DEPARTMENT	Utilities Department	CONSEQUENCES IF DEFERRED	None Waller
SOLICITATION	RFP #14-2380JE	AUTHORIZED BY DATE	Melissa M. Wendel, CPPO
	NOTICE OF IN	FENT TO NEGOTIATE	
Notice of Intent to Neg County Utilities Depar		ide a Discrete Analyzer Unit for Central I	_aboratory for the Manatee
	ENABLING/REG	ULATING AUTHORITY	
Federal/State law(s), administrative ruling(s), Manatee County Comp Plan/Land Development Code, ordinances, resolutions, policy.			
		ounty Code of Laws	
BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION			
	<u> DAORO</u>		
PROJECT BACKGROUND:			
for the Manatee Coun		ir experience and qualifications to provide ces including sample analysis, data inte	
SOLICITATIONS:			
		emandStar, in the Bradenton Herald and for release to its members. Two (2) pro	
Manatee County Firm None	ns that were directly solicited:		
Manatee County Firm None	ns that submitted proposals:		
Local firms that subm	itted proposals include:		
ATTACHMENTS (List in order of attached)			Various CIP Accounts Per Work Assignment
		FUNDING SOURCE (Acct Number & Name)	Funds Verified Insufficient Funds
COST	\$100,000	AMT/FREQ OF RECURRING COSTS (Attach Fiscal Impact Statement)	N/A

Other (non-local) firms that submitted proposals include:

Seal Analytical, Inc.

Mequon, WI

Thermo Fisher Scientific

Fremont, CA

(Thermo Fisher Scientific's proposal was deemed non-responsive pursuant to "Section C" of the Request for Proposal)

EVALUATION COMMITTEE (VOTING) MEMBERS:

Kayse Hasiak – Laboratory Supervisor, Utilities Department Gregory Blanchard – Environmental Program Manager – Air/Water, Parks & Natural Resources Department Jacob Erickson - Contracts Negotiator, Financial Management Department (Chairman)

Non-Voting Staff Attending:

None

EVALUATION RESULTS:

The Evaluation Committee (the "Committee") convened to review evaluation committee responsibilities and the Florida Sunshine Law as applicable to public meetings and proceeded to review the responsive proposal to the requirements of the RFP. As a result of the discussion, the Committee unanimously voted to commence negotiations with Seal Analytical, Inc.

Seal Analytical, Inc.

Seal Analytical, Inc. demonstrated exceptional qualifications by means of their proposal. Their submitted proposal was responsive and responsible, meeting all necessary criteria set forth in the RFP. Seal Analytical, Inc. has a 25 year track record in discrete analysis. One of the desired components of Seal Analytical, Inc's proposal was the ability of the instrument to add samples on the fly (ad-hoc). This gives the Central Laboratory the ability to test multiple samples without waiting for a complete analysis to take place, thus reducing the amount of time necessary to produce analysis results. Additionally, Seal Analytical, Inc.'s product maintenance and warranty plan specifically addressed the challenges faced by the Central Laboratory. Coupling this product coverage with their proven track record of providing exceptional Discrete Analyzer Unit services renders Seal Analytical, Inc. as a desirable and strong candidate.

The Evaluation Committee unanimously determined that Seal Analytical, Inc. has the experience, capabilities and product plan necessary to provide a Discrete Analyzer Unit, whose services include sample analysis, data interpretation, and consultation to assist in water treatment and permit compliance.

ESTIMATED COST OF SERVICES: The estimated annual expenditure is \$100,000.00 annually before negotiation.

FUNDING: 401-0010200-564000 / Utilities Wastewater-Central Laboratory

The above justifications are a generalized summary of major observations intended only to provide a sufficiently detailed overview of the main observations of a majority of Committee Members. Each Committee Member may have considered one or more facts or factors more or less important than the other Committee Members when voting, and this summary of the Evaluation Committee's decision is not an attempt to exhaustively describe each of the relevant factors which motivated each of the Committee Members to select the rankings described.

The Evaluation Committee voted unanimously 3 to 0 to proceed with Seal Analytical, Inc.

The resulting agreement will be managed by the <u>Utilities Department</u>.